

## **Safety & Health Review Committee Meeting**

Wednesday, March 06, 2013 \* 8:30 a.m. – 10:45 a.m. \* SHIP Conference Room

Present: Richard Nadolny, Larry Gore, Ed Wood, Mike Bonkowski, Mike Fallon, Dave Kalman via phone conference

SHIP: Jenifer Jellison, Caprice Catalano, Arlene Hallom, Krystal Jackson (Note-taker)

Absent: June Nailon – Safety & Health Review Committee Member

Anar Imin- SHIP Grant Manager

Meeting began at 8:30 with welcome, introductions, overview and review of current focus, emergency information and a safety tip.

### **RENTON TECHNICAL COLLEGE - CCE**

**Recommendation:** Fund contingent

#### **Main Points of Discussion:**

\*Would address information delivery mechanism more prevalent accessed for younger workers.

\*Sub-contractor budget does not provide enough detail.

\*Good group of organizations to sponsor project.

\*A built in assessment for the Smart Phone App.

\*Concern over apparent lack of interaction between partners as the project moves through each step of the process.

#### **Suggestion:**

\* Grantee needs to address concerns identified by the SHIP program to include budget clarification. Committee also recommends that applicant reduce the budget by 20 percent.

### **WALACET**

**Recommendation:** Do not fund.

#### **Main points of Discussion:**

\*No direct impact to work place safety and health.

\*Not fundable by rule. Stated intention for outputs of project would be for the purpose of lobbying policy makers and legislators.

\*Too narrow a focus for study.

### **VIGILANT**

**Recommendation:** Fund

**Main Points of Discussion:**

\*Cost per student likely includes costs outlined as in-kind.

\*Obstacles were not addressed well.

\*Cost per student allows for scalability. If not full number of train the trainer occurs, full fund amount will not be used.

\*How will they assure full classes.

**Suggestion:**

\*Break down the cost per student.

### **JOSEPHINE SUNSET HOMES, INC.**

**Recommendation:** Fund

**Main Points of Discussion:**

\*Concern regarding whether budget request would be sufficient.

\*Nursing home workers the focus. Many safety and health projects appear geared toward hospital settings.

**Suggestion:**

\*Additional information. Additional distribution methods should be identified and included.

\*Contingent on: including lifting devices in videos/training.

\*Employer and employee cooperation.

### **EASTERN WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY**

**Recommendation:** Fund

**Main Points of Discussion:**

\*Underserved population – dental hygienists.

\*Need to have enhanced justification on equipment and software purchase.

**Suggestion:**

\*Survey to see if there are any changes in behavior.

**RCAW**

**Recommendation:** Do not fund.

**Main Points of Discussion:**

\*Main activity funded twice before. Applicant was previously informed that they needed to find a sustainable fund source for this activity outside SHIP funding.

\*Find another source to fund project.

**INSTRUCTO VISION**

**Recommendation:** Fund

**Main Points of Discussion:**

\*Good partnerships.

\*Addresses underserved population.

\*Addresses fatigue.

**NEW BUSINESS**

Safety and Health Grant Review Committee would like 2 to 3 weeks to review the applications before the meetings are scheduled.

Safety and Health Grant Review Committee would like to get an e-mail regarding the outcomes of the grants after a final funding decision has been made.