

Safety and Health Review Committee Meeting

Thursday, April 25, 2013 * 8:00a.m. – 10:30 a.m. * SHIP Conference Room

Present: June Nailon, Richard Nadolny, Ed Wood, Mike Bonkowski, Dave Kalman

SHIP: Jenifer Jellison, Caprice Catalano, Anar Imin, Arlene Hallom, Krystel Jackson
(Note-taker)

Absent: Larry Gore – Safety and Health Review Committee Member

Mike Fallon – Safety and Health Review Committee Member sent
recommendations for funding to SHIP by email.

Meeting was opened with welcome, explanation of processes and a safety tip.

THE CINTAS CORPORATION

Recommendation: Do not fund.

Main Points of Discussion:

- Everything was done by contractor.
- Only applies to own employees.

FARMSAFE AND GORGE DELIGHTS, INC

Recommendation: Do not fund.

Main points of Discussion:

- Based out of Oregon and only used partner from Washington for name.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE TRAINING COMISSION

Recommendation: Do not fund.

Main Points of Discussion:

- Protecting trainees from noise and lead exposure is a notable goal.

- They would need a partner because they are addressing training for more than just their own employees.
- Majority of budget is equipment purchase.

Suggestions:

- Need to get other employers involved as partner, instead of only training their own trainers.
- Develop protocols for training with equipment.

SPOKANE CONSERVATION DISTRICT

Recommendation: Potential fund with changes.

Main points of Discussion:

- Purchase equipment.
- No resumes for the individuals who would be doing the training.
- No evidence of actually doing any safety training with employees.

Suggestions:

- Instead of buying equipment, do a survey of rental equipment.
- Create safety protocols for the equipment.
- Test and approve safety protocols for the equipment.

WASHINGTON WINE FOUNDATION

Recommendation: Fund contingent.

Main points of Discussion:

- Budget is high.
- No resumes.
- Address gaps for training.

- No workplace impact.
- More details on outcome.

Suggestions:

- Resumes.
- Decrease budget.
- Choose a venue. (App, video, etc.)
- More details regarding translation costs.
- Research and see where the gaps are and then address the gaps for training.
- More detail regarding what they are going to do and what is the product they want to create.
- Could they revise what they previously created?

WASHINGTON ONSITE SEWAGE ASSOCIATION

Recommendation: Fund.

Main points of Discussion:

- Presented the problem well.
- Budget for salaries is too high.
- Not definite about training plans and results.
- Concerns regarding spending money for doing basic measure of pathogens. Check and see if there are materials already available.

Suggestions:

- Decrease the budget.
- Would like to see this made part of the CEU's as part of the required course.
- Discourage them from doing primary collections. See if there are materials available and if not address the gaps.
- Survey of workplace practices.
- Letters of support from employers.

SMART ASSOCIATION AND MASTER BUILDERS ASSOCIATION OF SNOHOMISH AND KING COUNTIES

Recommendation: Fund.

Main points of Discussion:

- Liked product that was produced from previous grant. Shows they can produce a quality product.
- Liked that they were addressing Russian translation.
- Liked that they are going to create new App.
- Evaluation part was weak regarding the App.
- Concerns regarding how many workers will have smart phones.
- Concerns regarding will on-site have time for translating when using the app with smart phone.

Suggestions:

- Need to work on evaluation of app.
- Possibly accessing analytics from the cloud.

WASHINGTON GROWERS LEAGUE

Recommendation: Fund contingent.

Main points of Discussion:

- Timeline is tight
- Budget is low for translations and video.
- Concerns regarding translation of Spanish. Cultural awareness.
- Safety and Health committee needs to already be established.
- Need to demonstrate comprehension regarding Hazcom.
- Requires active participation by DOSH.
- More participation with DVD.

- Using real people and not actors in DVD.
- Concerns regarding having some means of showing DVD to workers?
- Distribution is vague.

Suggestions:

- Expand timeline.
- Expand budget.
- Farmer buy-in.
- Focus on one or two areas for video.
- Drop the tractor safety and chemical portions.
- Active participation by DOSH. Need to be signed off before fund.
- Safety and Health Committee be established. Involve worker with field experience in Safety and Health Committee.

WASHINGTON STATE PHARMACY ASSOCIATION

Recommendation: Possible contingent.

Main Points of Discussion:

- Budget too high.
- Tight time frame.
- Dependent on L & I for information sharing.
- Committee meeting time is excessive.
- Need more details regarding in-kind.
- Vague evaluation plan.
- Concerns regarding resumes. More information on roles and responsibilities.
- Concerns regarding getting the right expertise so they can get a valuable product. Need hygienist during the development before implementation.
- Outcomes are not identifiable.

- Concerns regarding availability of volunteers.

LEGACY SALMON CREEK HOSPITAL FOUNDATION

Recommendations: Fund.

- Innovative.
- Willingness to share is refreshing.
- Concerns regarding two different funding amounts.
- Test for effectiveness. Large sampling vs. smaller sampling. Using different variables.
- If problem is taken care of at the source may have better results in a room.

Suggestions:

- Consider submitting final report to national magazine for publication.
- Use higher testing amounts.

NORTHWEST INDEPENDENT CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION

Recommendations: Fund.

Main Points of Discussion:

- Commercial sources are already filling the need.
- Obstacles are bad.
- Universal need for the product.
- Demonstrated good things in past.
- Public domain video can be a reasonable answer to what is already available for large amounts of money.