Capitol Pacific Reporting

Court Reporters Since 1978

2401 Bristol Court SW, Suite C-103, Olympia, WA 98502 • Ph: 800.407.0148

Electrical Board Meeting

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

April 27, 2023



Production:

production@capitolpacificreporting.com

Scheduling:

scheduling@capitolpacificreporting.com

Website:

www.capitolpacificreporting.com

- Full-sized and condensed PDF transcripts
- Hyperlinked word index
- Hyperlinked exhibits
- Bookmarked examinations and exhibits
- Other common file types including: txt, lef, sbf, mdb, xmef, and PTX e-Transcript
- Exhibits and other files found under the paperclip icon within Adobe Acrobat (Reader)
- Copy-and-paste while maintaining formatting
- Files accessible via online repository

1	
2	DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND INDUSTRIES
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON
4	
5	
6	
7	ELECTRICAL BOARD MEETING
8	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
9	April 27, 2023
10	
11	Pages 1 through 171
12	
13	CERTIFIED
L 4	TRANSCRIPT
15	Transcribed from audio recording by:
16	Andrea L. Clevenger, CCR, RPR
L7	Washington Certified Stenographic Court Reporter #3041 for
18	Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. (800) 407-0148
19	www.capitolpacificreporting.com
20	admin@capitolpacificreporting.com
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1		AGENDA INDEX	
2	7	AGENDA ITEM	PAGE NO.
3	1.	Safety Message	6
4	2.	Approve Transcript From	8
5		January 26, 2023, Electrical Board meeting	
6	3.	Appeals	9
7		a) Randy's Heating	9
8		b) NCR Corporation	9
9		c) VIP Production Northwest	74
10		d) Cavalier Corporation	105
11	4.	Departmental/Legislative Update	127
12	5.	Secretary's Report	140
13	6.	Certification/CEU Quarterly Report	161
14	7.	Public Comment Regarding Items Not on the	163
15		Agenda	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
			Page 2



meeting was held on Thursday, April 27, 2023, at 9:02
a.m., before CHAIRPERSON JASON JENKINS, BOARD MEMBERS
BOBBY GRAY, KERRY COX, DOMINIC BURKE, IVAN ISAACSON, MIKE
NORD, DYLAN CUNNINGHAM, DON BAKER, JACK KNOTTINGHAM,
ERICK LEE, JAMES TUMELSON, and SECRETARY/CHIEF ELECTRICAL
INSPECTOR WAYNE MOLESWORTH. Also present was ASSISTANT
ATTORNEY GENERAL BEN BLOHOWIAK, representing the Board;
WHEREUPON, the following proceedings
were had, to wit:

12 <<<<< >>>>>

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. It is April 27th, 2023, in Spokane, Washington, at approximately 9:02 a.m. My name is Jason Jenkins, electrical board chair. I'd like to call the Washington State Electrical Board meeting to order.

So I want to thank you, everyone, for attending.

It's been a -- for some of us, a longer drive than

others, so it's -- happy to be here.

As we mentioned already, the court reporter is not here today. So we'll be recording this meeting to be transcribed later. And to maintain a good record, I'm going to ask all to please speak your name prior to



1	saying anything. It's going to feel awkward. If you
2	give me a hand on this to help me out, if someone is
3	speaking that maybe have them stop, let them speak
4	their name so we can keep the recording (inaudible). I
5	appreciate that.
6	So and as a reminder, our next meeting is a
7	special meeting in Olympia, Washington. It is an
8	evidentiary meeting evidentiary hearing on June 1st
9	and 2nd, Thursday and Friday, 2023.
10	You all should have received an email on that. If
11	not, let me know. We'll make sure it gets sent out. And
12	if you can't attend, I much appreciate an email back.
13	That way, we can make maybe a quorum for this meeting.
14	Next regularly scheduled meeting is going to be in
15	Pasco, Washington, on July 27th and/or (inaudible) as far
16	as details.
17	So we'll start off with the roll call. So Board
18	Member Erick Lee.
19	BOARD MEMBER LEE: Present.
20	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Board Member Don
21	Baker.
22	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Here.
23	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Board Member
24	Ivan Isaacson.
25	BOARD MEMBER ISAACSON: Here.



1		CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Board Member
2	Dylan Cunningham.	
3		BOARD MEMBER CUNNINGHAM: Here.
4		CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Board Member
5	Bobby Gray.	
6		BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Here.
7		CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Board Member
8	Dominic Burke.	
9		BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Here.
10		CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Board Member
11	Jack Knottingham.	
12		BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Here.
13		CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Board Member
14	Mike Nord?	
15		BOARD MEMBER NORD: Here.
16		CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Board Member
17	Kerry Cox?	
18		BOARD MEMBER COX: Here.
19		CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: And Board Member
20	James Tumelson?	
21		BOARD MEMBER TUMELSON: Present.
22		CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: And the
23	secretary for the	board, Wayne Molesworth.
24		SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Present.
25		CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you.
		Page 5



So for the record, we do have a quorum, and first item on our agenda today is the safety message. And I've asked Wayne Molesworth, would you please lead that.

driving.

SAFETY MESSAGE

5ECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So yesterday we
had a supervisors meeting here in Spokane, and one of the
safety topics I thought was really relevant to travel,
because some of you have traveled a long ways to get
here, probably drove, and the safety topic was distracted

And, you know, we think of distracted driving as being on your phone, texting, and just in general talking on the phone. You know, that's the -- that's what people think. Right?

But talking on the phone is not with your hands -even in hands-free mode, talking on the phone is
distracting because you're thinking about something else
and not thinking about what's happening in front of you
and behind you in the vehicle.

And so we're having conversations about new policies that are coming out in the agency about hand-free use. We've decided that maybe we should encourage people not to do hands-free use on the move because you're not able to think ahead.



You know, I've had instances myself where I've been talking on the phone, private vehicle, my own time -- want to make sure that's clear -- and -- and went by my exit, right, completely went by my exit.

Hit that exit every day on my way home. Went right by it because I was distracted. I was talking about something else. I was deep into that thought about that conversation and -- and realized that it was dangerous for me to be doing that.

So my topic today is virtually, when you're thinking about distracted driving, don't just use your cup holder or your thing that's glued to your windshield to put your phone in and then be using your phone while you're driving. Anything can distract you from what's happening in front of you and behind you.

Maybe you don't see that car coming up on you really quick because they're texting on their phone, and they hit you, and you don't get out of the way because you're talking to somebody else, and you're not paying attention to what's happening around you.

So please, for your safety and mine, I would encourage you to think about distracted driving as a whole. Anything that's not concentrating on what's in front of you, behind you, beside you should be considered distracted driving.



1	So thank you. That's my safety topic for today.
2	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. Thank you
3	very much.
4	
5	APPROVE TRANSCRIPT FROM
6	JANUARY 26, 2023, ELECTRICAL BOARD MEETING
7	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: This is the
8	chair. Chair would entertain a motion to approve the
9	Washington State Electrical Board minutes of
10	January 26th, 2022.
11	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Board Member Nord,
12	motion.
13	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: We have a
14	motion.
15	Do we have a second?
16	BOARD MEMBER ISAACSON: Board Member
17	Isaacson, second.
18	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: We got a motion
19	and a second. Any discussion?
20	Hearing none, all in favor, signify by saying aye.
21	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
22	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any opposed?
23	Motion passes.
24	////
25	////
	Page 8



1	APPEALS
2	RANDY'S HEATING
3	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: So moving on to
4	our next item is appeals, as well as the we received
5	the agenda, the Randy's Heating has been Randy's
6	Heating, ECHBO01063, ECHBO01065, ECHBO01066, and
7	ECHBO01067 has been continued to the July meeting.
8	
9	NCR CORPORATION
10	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: So moving on to
11	our next item is the NCR Corporation appeal.
12	Do we have someone from the Department, for NCR, and
13	do we have a (inaudible)?
14	Will you please take a seat up there and identify
15	yourself for the for the recording.
16	MR. BARNES: My name is John Barnes,
17	I'm an assistant attorney general, and I represent the
18	Department of Labor and Industries.
19	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very
20	much.
21	MR. FERN: Hello. My name is Alex
22	Fern. For the record, that's A-l-e-x, F-e-r-n. I am
23	local counsel for NCR Corporation. I'm local attorney
24	from Kutak Rock.
25	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very Page 9



much. The matter before us today is an appeal of the matter of NCR Corporation, Docket No. 02-2021-LI-01613. The hearing is being held on pursuant and due proper notice to all interested parties in Spokane, Washington, on April 27th, 2023, at approximately 9:08 a.m.

This is an appeal of the proposed order on the subject judgment issued by the Office of Administrative Hearings on January 6th, 2022.

It is my understanding that the decision affirmed citation notices ELYOD02202 and ELYOD02203 issued by the Department of Labor and Industries on September 22nd, 2020. It is further my understanding the appellant has timely appealed the decision to the electrical board.

So the electrical board is a legal body authorized by the legislature -- legislature to not only advise the Department regarding the electrical program, but also to hear appeals when the Department issues citations or makes some other adverse action regarding electrical license, certification, or compliance.

The electric board is a complete, separate entity from the Department and, as such, will independently -- independently review the actions taken by the Department.

When the Department issues penalties, the hearing is assigned to the Office of Administrative Hearings to conduct hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedures



Act. The ALJ who conducts that hearing then issues a proposed decision and order. If either party appeals, that decision is subject to review by the electrical board.

Please keep in mind that, while our review is de novo, for example, we sit in the same position as the administrative law judge and will review the entire record regardless of whether the certain piece of evidence is referenced by the ALJ, we are bound by the evidence in the record, and no new evidence can be submitted at this hearing.

Each party will be given approximately 15 minutes today to argue their merits of the case. Any board member may ask questions at -- anytime, and the time may be extended at the discretion of the board.

At the conclusion of this hearing, the board will determine if the findings and conclusions reached by the ALJ are supported by the facts and the rules pertaining to the electrical installations.

Are there any questions before we begin?

MR. FERN: None here.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: As the

(inaudible) party, you have the burden of proof to establish the proposed decision isn't correct.

Therefore, we hear from you first.



1 So once again, if you would please speak your name 2 and spell it for the court -- recording again. 3 MR. FERN: Yes. Once again, my name is Alex Fern, attorney for NCR Corporation. The name -or my name is spelled A-1-e-x. Last name is spelled 5 6 F-e-r-n, like the plant. 7 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: You may begin. MR. FERN: Okay. Well, thanks, 8 9 everyone, for taking the time to meet with me today -- to 10 meet with us. 11 I know that it's a pretty long record, so, you know, I'm going to take the liberty of providing a bit of 12 13 background. I'm assuming that everyone here has had an 14 opportunity to at least somewhat review the record, but I 15 know it's north of 3,000 pages. So I hope that I -- it's 16 okay with everyone just to provide a bit of background. 17 So NCR Corporation is a corporation based out of 18 They're a business-to-business corporation. 19 They do not serve consumers directly. A lot of what they If you've used an ATM, you probably used 20 do is software. 21 software that's been developed by NCR Corporation. 22 And so that's -- that's really what they do. 23 here, NCR -- you know what? I'm just going to start from 24 the beginning. What I am here today to appeal and where NCR 25



Corporation feels like there was an erroneous decision by the administrative law judge through the Office of Administrative Hearings is, it is the position of NCR Corporation that these citations that were issued in this matter were issued for a particular reason.

And I'm going to get into that reason in just a minute, but it is our position that the reason that those citations were upheld was for a completely different reason.

So when you look at the OAH's decision, what they're talking about is, they talk about a contract between NCR and Starbucks. And that's a nationwide contract. That's a contract that NCR entered into Starbucks with in order to set up really point of sale systems in Starbucks all across the country because that's what NCR does.

Starbucks is in the coffee business. They're not in the business of setting up their computers, setting up their software, all that stuff. They leave that to NCR. Then they go -- once that's set up, they go and they sell their coffee.

So -- however, on this issue for summary judgment, when NCR was reviewing the record, they were looking at the reason that the inspector in this matter, Inspector Lyon (phonetic) -- I hope I'm pronouncing that correctly -- Inspector Lyon issued these citations.



Now, when you look at Inspector Lyon's deposition, it's pretty lengthy, but there is one point in particular where Inspector Lyon is asked point-blank very, very directly, Why were these citations issued?

And when he is asked that, his response is, quote,
The basis of the citation was written on the principle of
when I asked a contractor -- two different contractors
who they were hired by, I was told NCR. And when I spoke
with someone who identified themselves as a project
manager for NCR representing Starbucks, that person
indicated that they hired two different subcontractors to
perform the installations.

So what we've got here is, we've got really two different sets of contracts, if you will. So if what we've got is -- NCR is this massive nationwide company, and what they did is, they contracted with two other nationwide companies, LMI and SDS.

And they went to LMI and SDS and said, "Okay. We need you to, you know, subcontract, you know -- we don't know electrical contractors in each town in Washington State. You do. That's what you do. So we're going to contract with you to contract with other people to run this electrical wiring."

So NCR contracts with LMI and SDS. LMI and SDS contract with these regional companies. These regional



1 companies contract with local companies. So what happened here is, Inspector Lyon shows up to the site, 2 3 and he looks at -- he finds the local guy, the guy who's actually doing the work -- and by all accounts, I think 4 it's fair -- you know, I think it's undisputed that the 5 6 guy doing work on-site that day did not have a current appropriate license. 7 So Inspector Lyon said, "Okay. Well, who hired 8 9 you?" 10 (Inaudible.) 11 "Okay. It's the regional company that hired you. Well, who hired the regional company?" 12 13 "LMI and SDS." 14 "Well, who hired them?" 15 "NCR." 16 And NCR is who I'm here representing today. So it looks like, you know, I'm appealing NCR's citations, but 17 18 from what I can tell from the record, it looks like fines 19 were issued all the way up the board. And it's the official position of the inspector of 20 21 the Department and of the Office of the Attorney General 22 that each and every one of these four companies, all the 23 way down the chain, needed to have an electrical license. 24 Now, as an NCR representative, I can tell you I'm 25 kind of thrown off by that because, you know, it's a



Georgia corporation. We're here entering these contracts in Georgia, and, you know, we're not holding ourselves out saying, "Oh, we're going to be sending NCR people in to do wiring."

In fact, if you look at the Office of the Attorney General, if you look at their own briefing, they say -- and I quote -- hang with me here.

So if you look at their briefing and their response to our motion for partial summary judgment, they say that NCR, quote, contracted with Starbucks to ensure that various electrical and telecommunication equipment was installed in Starbucks stores across Washington.

So, you know, if you look at the deposition, there's a little bit of back-and-forth. We feel like that's pretty clear. Even the Office of the Attorney General who is representing, you know, L&I in this matter isn't really taking the position that, you know, NCR is really going and saying, "Well, as a software company, we're going to try and figure out how to run wiring on our own. NCR doesn't do that."

What they do is, they enter into nationwide contracts with Starbucks, and they say, "We're going to find people who do that."

But based off -- if you look at the depositions of, you know, Inspector Lyon and Mr. Jordan, it's pretty



clear that they say -- it's not pretty clear. It's perfectly clear that they say, if you're going to subcontract this work -- if you're going to say, "Okay, we're going to find somebody -- you know, we'll set up your Starbucks, and we'll find somebody to run the wiring," that everybody in that chain needs to have a license.

We just have a hard time believing that's a fair and justifiable position to be taking, that that's not an erroneous and, you know -- I would use the words arbitrary and capricious because that's the legal standard, an arbitrary and capricious application of the law.

Sure, the guy on-site needs to have a license.

Maybe the guy who subcontracts him. Maybe, you know,
even the regional contractor.

To say that the software company based out of Georgia also needs to have an electrical license they were holding them -- when they said, "Okay, we'll make sure it gets done," you know, that's just -- that's just too far, in our opinion.

And so what happened, though, in this case -- and so the way we're getting presented before you is that that's the argument we went in and made. We made this argument that I'm making right now, you know, to the Office of



Administrative Hearings.

And so -- but when you look at their decision, they're not talking about the contract that NCR made with LMI and SDS and the contracts down below and the contracts down below that. They're looking at the contract between NCR and Starbucks, the master agreement.

Well, when you look at the depositions and you look at why the citations were originally issued, they weren't issued because of that contract with Starbucks. That's sort of a Monday morning quarterback situation is my -- is NCR's position on that.

So, sure, later on the inspector went, after the citations were issued, after that decision was made, "Okay, we've got some violations here."

Then there was some additional discovery made, and they said, "Okay, well, there was this agreement with Starbucks, and we think this agreement with Starbucks -- you know, that you -- when we look at the language you had with Starbucks where you say, 'Okay, we'll -- we'll make sure that this electrical wiring is run,' that -- that's you agreeing to do electrical work."

And, you know, when you look at the language of that contract -- and I'm going to get into this a little bit later, but when you look at the language of the contract, I could see if somebody really wanted to read it that



way.

Maybe they could say, "Well, NCR is saying that they're going to run the electrical wiring themselves," but it's -- even, you know, the Office of the Attorney General, after, you know -- after all this discovery was conducted, even in their own language, they concede, by the very way they phrase things, that it was really just NCR saying, "We're going to ensure that it's done."

NCR has never tried -- you know, we don't even have people. I'm local counsel. They don't even have anybody hired in Washington. Nobody even comes remotely close to Washington.

So that's really our main issue here that we're here to ask for a reversal on is because, when you look at -you know, and Inspector Lyon -- later on in this
deposition, he talks about, "Well, there were multiple
violations and there were -- I think there were
violations when you look at the Starbucks contract and
all that."

Once again, I contest that that's a Monday morning quarterback-type situation here, where he's saying, "Okay, well, after the citations were issued, I went and I found these other reasons that they could have been issued."

But I'm not here to talk about why they could have



been issued. I'm here to talk about why the citations were issued because that's really the fundamental -- you know, when we talk about this being America, fundamental notions of due process.

You know, citations were issued for a reason. We should be able to appeal them on the reason they were issued, not the reason that looking back maybe, well, they could have issued citations for that.

If that had happened, we would have made those defenses, but we didn't make those defenses. We went to the, you know, Office of Administrative Hearings, and we said, you know, "This series of four contracts, we just feel like that's too attenuated."

So on that priority basis, we'd ask that it be reversed.

Second off, you know, we just feel like, you know, the -- and I know L&I gets a lot of due deference. The law is really clear on that. All agencies in Washington get a lot of deference in terms of how their -- how the law is applied. They got to look at a statute. They got to look at the regulations.

You know, and there's some pretty clear case law where it's like, okay, you guys -- you guys are the electrical inspectors. You're the inspectors. I don't know what the first thing -- I could barely plug in a



computer.

So when it comes to who we're going to defer to, maybe we should be giving some deference to electrical inspectors. Absolutely. Completely in agreement with that, but that's got to be within the bound of reason.

And we think to say that, you know, it's a safety measure that some company in Georgia can't reach a nationwide contract with -- with Starbucks and then contract with a nationwide electrical company who is going to contract with a regional company and then just enter contracts that say, "Okay, nationwide electrical company, you're going to go hire these regional or local people, and we're going to have insurance, and you're going to provide us proof of insurance, and there's going to be bonds" -- you know, to say that you're going to go all the way up the line to the software company in Georgia and apply the fines to them, you know, it -- in our opinion, that's just -- that's just too far.

That's not a reasonable application of the law that's reasonably calculated to ensure that people entering a Starbucks and the workers of Starbucks are going to be able to operate their equipment in a safe and effective manner.

Now, fine the heck out of the guy who didn't have a license. That was foolish. If you're on-site, you got



to have a license. Maybe fine the guy who hired him.

The guy who hired him has got to be making sure that he's got a license.

But there are really two reasons -- you know, I just don't -- we just think it's too far an interpretation to say that, you know, the software company has to -- I'm repeating myself at this point.

But the -- and the second point that was raised was that, you know, if you look at the -- in addition to safety is -- was that, okay, well, we've got to make sure that there's licenses and bonded and insured. That's why NCR needs to be -- needs to have an electrical license.

Well, first off, bonded and insured or insured at least is certainly different than licensed. NCR did have appropriate insurance. Their contractors had appropriate insurance, but to say that they need to have a license in order to subcontract down the line to ensure that there's a bond in case somebody does something wrong -- you know, the argument was that, okay, Starbucks had a contract with NCR. NCR needs to be bonded because then if some poor worker gets, you know, shocked and gets sent to the ER and has a \$20,000 bill, there needs to be some bonded insurance in place, and NCR needs to be licensed so they also have bonds and insurance.

Again, our two cents, far too attenuated. Sure,



there needs to be bond and insurance. Sure, NCR needs to maybe look at the contract and look and make sure there is insurance in place, that there's -- you know, usually you get a million dollars per complaint is sort of typical, and I think that's what the policy was here.

So -- but so long as, you know, there's reasonable bonds in place that they -- that NCR took reasonable steps and that they had -- that they're going to these nationwide companies and they're saying, "You're going to have insurance. You're giving us assurances that there's going to be insurance, you know, maybe we're getting proof of that insurance," we think that really takes away that whole risk altogether, so that sort of takes away the basis of -- of the whole, "Well, there needs to be insurance."

I do need to get one additional thing on the record.

That is that here -- and this is just sort of follow-up to a previous argument.

We went to the Office of Administrative Hearings, and we took the position that, okay, we think, you know, this contract from NCR down to LMI and SDS, the national contractors, all the way down the line, we think the fact that we're four steps removed contractually speaking from the guy on-site who wasn't licensed, we feel like that's an unreasonable application of a statute.



And we're not disputing what the contracts between the local guy and the regional guy and the contract between the regional guy and the national guy and the contract between the national guys and NCR say.

So there's no dispute what the contract says. There's no dispute what the law says.

So let's go to summary judgment on that. And for those of you who may not be aware, summary judgment is just going to the judge or, here, the office of the --you know, the administrative law judge and saying, "Okay, we don't have any dispute on those facts. So as a matter of law, just looking at the contracts and looking at what the statute says, should these fines have even been issued? Is that an unreasonable interpretation to say that, you know, when the electrical contractor needs to be contracted, that -- that includes NCR?"

What happened here is, the Office of Administrative Hearings said, well -- and we were very clear. If you look at it, we talk about how the issues can be divided into buckets on the December 6th transcript, and so we say, "Okay, on this bucket, there's no dispute. Let's -- let's see if we can get it dismissed on this case," but we didn't waive everything.

You know, there's substantial factual things. We didn't even get a hearing. And here the administrative



law judge said, "You don't get a hearing on anything.

We're dismissing the whole thing on summary judgment,"

and -- but there are significant disputes of material

facts that we've got to raise because, here, the

administrative law judge -- and if you do uphold it, you

say, "Well, I think it was reasonable to look at that

Starbucks contract even though it was not something that

was looked at until after the fact, and we think that

your contract with Starbucks is you agreeing that you

have done electrical work, even though we didn't look at

that contract until after the fines were issued or after

the citation decision was made," it's just -- there are

additional material facts that we -- that we feel are

substantially in dispute.

So we would ask for a reversal also based on those grounds, that we only asked for the judge to decide a small issue, and he said, "Well, you're waiving as to every issue."

And if you look at the transcripts of that

December 6th hearing, the judge says, you know, Are

there -- are there material facts still contested here?

Are there things we need to have evidence on?

And we say absolutely. Now, we don't -- we think there's no material facts on this small issue, but there's material facts on a bunch of other stuff, and,



frankly, I don't know -- I haven't been able to understand the administrative judge's law ruling where he says, "Well, we can't have it both ways. Either there are disputes of material facts or there's not."

We take the position that you can say we have no arguments on this point but still have arguments on other points.

Here the material fact being disputed is, what was the intent of the contract between Starbucks and NCR? You know, we didn't have an opportunity to present -- and I know we're not hearing new evidence here, but had there been evidence, for example, where we're able to bring a Starbucks CEO in -- not a CEO but a Starbucks person in and an NCR person in and they both talk about, "No. NCR has been doing work for Starbucks for 20 years. Nobody ever thought they're going to run electrical wire. They're a software company. That's crazy to think they would be running electrical wire, so, no, that's certainly what we didn't mean by this contract" -- we never had an opportunity to make that argument.

The whole thing got dismissed before we could even take it for hearing. We were scheduled for a week of hearing. It's a long time. It's a seriously long hearing.

So just want to raise that point.



1 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Don't want to 2 interrupt too much, but we're at our 15-minute mark. MR. FERN: Okay. Well, I think I am 3 just about done. 4 So thank you for taking the time to hear me, and I would welcome any questions once 5 6 Mr. Barnes is had an opportunity to present. CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. 7 Thank you very much. So (inaudible) introduce and spell 8 9 your name for the recording and then go ahead and take 10 the floor. My name is 11 Thank you. MR. BARNES: 12 John Barnes, J-o-h-n, B-a-r-n-e-s, and I represent Labor 13 and Industries. 14 The facts in this case are not that complex. 15 is that NRC Corp. is not an electrical contract- --16 registered electrical contractor in the state. They're not a telecommunications contractor in this state, and 17 18 they're not even a general contractor in this state. 19 Yet they entered into a contract with Starbucks, the basis of which was -- the subject of it included 20 21 electrical and telecommunication installations. 22 Specifically they installed Category 5 and Category 6 23 telecommunication cables, as well as running electrical out to -- or audio and for mic services at the 24



drive-through.

25

As part of that, that was installing a conduit, pulling strings, and junction boxes. So that is what they -- the contract included.

So even if NCR Corp. was not going to be responsible to be the ones that actually did the wiring, they were responsible for that contract, which included the electrical installations. That's why they were cited.

Now, the definition of an electrical contractor includes an entity that offers to undertake, undertakes, or submits a bid for installing or maintaining wires or equipment that convey electrical current.

NCR certainly undertook and offered a -- made a bid for this contract. At the very heart of it was the installation of electrical and telecommunications equipment, as well as the installation of the hardware and software that eventually was run to. So that is why the Department cited them.

Now, NCR contends that it is not an electrical contractor because -- simply because they didn't do the work. That's the crux of their argument. Somebody else did it.

They contracted -- well, in fact, they subcontracted to two different companies who neither one of them were electrical contractors who then contracted further down the line to -- eventually to the people who did the



installation. So, yes, in this particular framework, there were many violations by different companies throughout it.

Now, the contract itself -- the contractual relationship between Starbucks and NCR was, NCR agreed to manage the installation of equipment to provide on-site installation services to obtain low voltage installation permits, which they weren't -- weren't able to do anyway because they're not electrical contractors, and to install conduit, pull strings, and junction boxes. So that was the nature of the -- of that contract.

There were a number of arguments made. Some of them that were made dealt with whether or not the statute was ambiguous. And if it was ambiguous, then they went to statutory interpretation principles.

Of course, the IHA and the Department argue that the statutes are pretty clear on their face. I'd just remind you that NCR received 31 violations of -- for 31 violations for electrical -- maintaining the electrical equipment without having a valid electrical contractor's license and 53 violations for the telecommunications systems.

Now, the way that the contract actually worked was that NCR billed Starbucks. Starbucks then -- billed Starbucks and then NCR paid the sub. So Starbucks just



made one general payment, and then NCR was the one who managed the -- the whole contract and including the installations. So Starbucks simply paid them. They then paid their two subcontractors who then would pay whoever they hired and so forth.

Now, if there was any additional work that came about upon request, they were to -- they would be -- paid for this by a fee, and there was a fee schedule in the record.

Now, NCR in their contract, they also said that they will provide on-site cabling installation services in a professional manner by trained and experienced personnel. Well, we know at least from the one that Inspector Lyons got, that was not the case.

Now, what seems to be the big hang-up is this subcontracting and -- because the statute for electrical work or telecommunication or electrical work does not include subcontracting.

It includes offering to submitting a bid, advertising, conducting. You know, there's six different ways that statute can be -- can be met. Subcontracting is not one of them.

And NCR takes that and says, "Oh, therefore, those violations do not count." However, when you read Inspector Lyon's deposition, what he's saying is, NCR is



actually conducting business -- or electrical business by themselves subcontracting that portion of the contract out.

So that's how the subcontracting plays in, not that subcontracting is not included in the -- in the statute, but by subcontracting, NCR was actually conducting and acting as an electrical contractor, and pursuant to that is why those citations were issued.

Now -- and it also ignores the fact that there are six enumerated provisions in this statute that would define you as an electrical contractor, and NCR ignores all of them.

The only thing they cite is the subcontracting, but they did offer and they did submit a bid, which is a violation of the statute right there, to -- by signing this contract or by entering into this contract which, for all intents and purposes, were for electrical and telecommunication work.

So I don't think they can simply avoid it by saying,
"Well, okay, yes. We do -- we agree that we will -- that
we fall under this statute because, yes, we offered and
we submitted a bid and -- but, however, there's no
subcontracting, so that would take us all the way out of
the statute." That's just not a reasonable
interpretation of the statute.





And there's also -- just because subcontracting is not mentioned in the statute, you know, doesn't mean that -- that there's no violation of the statute.

Let me just review my notes real quick.

And as far as the safety is a concern, the reason for the electrical code is so that -- is for safety and for consumer protection and also protects the other electricians out there.

And it doesn't make a whole lot of sense on a safety issue if a nonelectrical contractor is, you know, able to perform this work and escape any liability for it.

And then I did want to just kind of finish up with one thing that -- in this -- the actual agreement between the parties, this is between Starbucks and NCR, and in their -- which was referred to in their responsibilities in Exhibit A to -- I believe it's Exhibit 41 -- it says that NCR will have an on-site representative at store location during NCR's performance of services. In 6.2, it says, "You are responsible for obtaining all necessary permits, licenses, and rights-of-way or all out-of-scope services."

Well, again, one of their requirements was to get all the necessary permits, electrical permits, which they couldn't do themselves.

And then finally, it says, "You are responsible for



1 installing conduit with pull strings and junction boxes per applicable code." 2 Now, those certainly are electrical activities that 3 require either an electrical contractor's license or that, you know, that should be an electrical --5 6 electrician in order to perform those. None of those things happened. 7 So then the final argument he made appears to be one 8 9 that they only moved for partial summary judgment and not 10 total summary judgment. Somehow the IHA confused the 11 two, but if you look at the record, that's not correct. 12 They moved for summary judgment. The Department 13 moved for summary judgment when they responded, so it was 14 in response that -- to the summary judgment that the IHA 15 ended up finding for the Department that these citations 16 were upheld. 17 So that's all I have. If you have any questions, 18 I'd be happy to answer. 19 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very 20 much, from the chair. 21 Any comments or questions from the board members? 22 (Simultaneous speaking.) 23 BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Yeah. Member Dominic Burke, B-u-r-k-e. 24 This one is a real struggle for me. Our general contractors do this all day 25



every day in the state of Washington, and they have electrical subcontractors that are meeting the requirements of the licensing that we have in the state of Washington.

There isn't a general contractor I know that has an admin license or has an electrical contractor's license, and they subcontract every day.

So just for discussion, I -- I -- I don't -- I guess maybe I'm not seeing something correctly, but the contractual obligation for the general contractor to ensure good installation and have a representative on-site, it has nothing to do with the electrical licensing laws.

They are the -- they are the QC/QA or maybe in a GCCM contract and they will subcontract that work. So, to me, I mean, that -- whoever the contractor was that was hired and the electricians that did the work that weren't licensed, I would put my focus there.

But if -- if -- if something like this is upheld, I think we're going down a really slippery slope, and you better go meet with every general contractor in the state of Washington and tell them (inaudible). Just makes no sense.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Board Member Baker. It was fun watching your facial expressions,



Dominic, during the presentations.

Yeah. 100 percent agree. Our general contractors engage in contracts like this all the time.

Mr. Barnes, I think you said that in the contract they are responsible for the installation of the conduit and wire. Our general contractors are responsible for that installation.

We ultimately are responsible to adhere to the laws of the state by getting permits and having licensed electricians and following all the rules and regulations, which the subcontractors in this case would have been responsible for.

In addition to that, we have integrators throughout our state -- I'm not going to mention their names -- that oftentimes will subcontract under a mechanical contractor, and then they will subcontract to an electrical contractor for DVC controls and very similar to this case.

And the electrical contractor is responsible for the permit. They're responsible for the installation.

They're responsible for inspections.

And, yeah, I agree with Dominic. If -- if -- if, by subcontracting, NCR acted as an electrical contractor, that is a really dangerous statement to say because every general contractor, all of our integrators, a lot of our



1	clients contract with electrical contractors.
2	I mean, do you where is that line of demarcation?
3	Yeah. I'm struggling with Dominic to understand, you
4	know, where is the smoking gun here? What did NCR do
5	wrong because this looks this looks like normal
6	day-to-day business to me.
7	So I'm asking other board members to point it out to
8	me because I can't see it.
9	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very
10	much.
11	Secretary Wayne Molesworth?
12	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Wayne
13	Molesworth, M-o-l-e-s-w-o-r-t-h. Question for NCR's
14	counsel, is NCR a general contractor in the state of
15	Washington?
16	MR. FERN: No.
17	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: No. Would it
18	be acceptable to think, since an electrical inspector
19	looked at this, that the law actually requires that, in
20	order to subcontract in the state of Washington, that you
21	have a general contractor's license at the minimum?
22	MR. FERN: I'm sorry. Could could
23	you repeat the question?
24	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Is it
25	reasonable to or is it do you understand that in Page 36



the state of Washington, that you have to have a general contractor's license in order to subcontract at a minimum? Electrical contractors can also subcontract for that work that's (inaudible) their (inaudible)?

Is it reasonable to believe that since this was done by an electrical inspector, ECORE person, that he was applying the electrical license because he had already

established there wasn't a contractor's license?

MR. FERN: I mean, that's certainly not reflected in the record. That's not -- that was not the basis of the citation that there was, you know, not a general contractor's license. So that's -- that's not why the fine was issued or upheld, at least so far as the record shows.

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: But are they aware they needed a general contractor's license at a minimum to -- to do -- either-or to do --

MR. FERN: It's my understanding that a general contractor needed to be involved, that, you know, a general contractor needed to be subcontracted with. So that general contractor needed to be involved. I'm speaking a little bit out of turn here because this was not -- but --

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So I'm just establishing their relationship, according to what the



1 other board members have mentioned, is that this is not a scope -- it really isn't because they didn't have any 2 license in the state of Washington that would allow -- or 3 other general contractors in the state of Washington have to have a license in order to subcontract to other 5 6 contractors. And so I would ask Mr. Barnes if that's -- if I'm 7 accurate in that statement from a legal point. 8 9 MR. BARNES: Well, yes, you are. And 10 like I mentioned right off the top, that NCR was not even 11 a general contractor. They --12 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Right. 13 MR. BARNES: That might be the distinction in this case because they were not -- while 14 15 general contractors can generally subcontract, if you're 16 not even a general contractor, then you're just a corporation taking it on yourself, and I think --17 18 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: And I think I'm 19 understanding that the contract specifically was between 20 Starbucks and NCR. So what NCR was doing was 21 establishing a contractor relationship with Starbucks 22 through that contract. 23 MR. FERN: I would disagree. 24 argue that they were signing on as a project manager. That's the term that was continually used by NCR and by 25



1 Starbucks, both, you know, in the contracts and the 2 depositions and throughout. So they were signing on as a 3 project manager to appoint appropriate parties. You know, I would also very respectfully argue I think that may be -- I think your question may be beyond 5 6 the scope of what's at issue here, just looking at the record and looking at the basis of OAH's decision and 7 whether that decision should be upheld or overturned. 8 9 But I think your point is well taken. I would -- I would contest that in a similar situation in which a 10 11 general contractor may not be involved -- you know, for example, I'm thinking of a situation where -- where --12 13 here's one. So we've got a landlord -- a property manager for a 14 15 commercial facility and --16 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: One second. Is this part of the -- Jason Jenkins, chair. 17 18 Is this part of the packet, this conversation you're 19 starting? 20 MR. FERN: My example? 21 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Yes. 22 MR. FERN: It is not contained within 23 the packet. 24 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Yeah. Let's 25 stay out of that.



1	MR. FERN: Okay.
2	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: I'm just
3	establishing the overall relationship compared to what
4	the other two board members had actually discussed about
5	what our general contractors can do in Washington and
6	that NCR is not a general contractor. So that does not
7	apply to them.
8	And a project manager, just for the board, there's
9	nothing in the law that allows somebody to act as a
10	project manager, per se, without having a contractor's
11	license. So they would have to have a general
12	contractor's license at a minimum to be able to act in
13	that in that in that way.
14	MR. FERN: But to your points, I mean,
15	do you think it would be permissible if NCR had hired a
16	company who hired a general contractor who hired an
17	electrician?
18	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So not with the
19	contract the way it sits between NCR and Starbucks.
20	MR. FERN: Okay.
21	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: That's the
22	problem here in Washington with the law the way the
23	law is set up in Washington.
24	I did want to make one comment, though, as far as
25	when you talked about that the inspector mentioned
	Page 40



And you said that -- he said there was other instances that he could have cited, and you mentioned it was kind of a Monday morning quarterback, that he could have done that.

They often do that to show leniency. We could have, but we only kept it to this scope of -- of citations, right, or this many. It shows leniency, that we're taking into consideration the scope and the broadness of that citation.

Just wanted to make sure we're clear on that. It wasn't that he was threatening or anything. He was demonstrating leniency. Okay.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you.

Jack Knottingham?

BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Board

Member Knottingham, K-n-o-t-t-i-n-g-h-a-m. I have a

question. I really don't know who to address it to, if

it would be Wayne or the AG, but if a contractor license
is required, can we really cite them underneath

electrical if the GEC is required?

I'm kind of struggling with that. I understand what Dominic said, and Don, and I agree with that, but I'm wondering if citations were issued by the wrong department.



1	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Do you mind if
2	I
3	MR. BARNES: Go ahead.
4	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So so Wayne
5	Molesworth. So when we look at that instance and I
6	can't tell you right offhand if if the electrical
7	inspector asked NCR if they were a licensed general
8	contractor or if they didn't, but we can actually cite
9	them under either code.
10	And since this was an electrical inspector doing
11	this investigation, he cited them as a as an
12	electrical contractor.
13	The defense would have been, no, NCR is a general
14	contractor, and, therefore, we have the ability to
15	subcontract. That wasn't the defense. Right?
16	So it doesn't matter which entity actually cited
17	them. Either could, and and but this but the
18	agency actually the electrical inspector that was
19	investigating cited it for not being an electrical
20	(inaudible).
21	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right.
22	Thank you very much.
23	So Board Member Dominic Burke?
24	BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Sorry. I was
25	just going to ask a question.
	Page 42



1	So the electrical inspector could not have cited for
2	not having a general contractor's license; correct?
3	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: He would have
4	made a referral to the contractor compliance division.
5	BOARD MEMBER BURKE: That's not the
6	electrical department's in their purview to cite for
7	no GC license; correct?
8	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Not for a
9	general, but but he is able to cite him as not having
10	the electrical contractor's license.
11	BOARD MEMBER BURKE: I agree with
12	that. But he can't cite for not having a GC license;
13	correct?
14	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: No. Correct.
15	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Chair Jason
16	Jenkins. My thought on this is, he didn't have a general
17	contractor license. Therefore, they can't subcontract.
18	Therefore, the State went (inaudible), if you follow the
19	progression of electrical contractor's license,
20	electrician. You have the contractor. You have the
21	subcontractor. The next step up would have been the
22	another electrical contractor or GC.
23	Since they weren't there, the State said, "Well,
24	since the licensing is missing, we're going to cite you
25	on not having a contractor's license."



2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Had they had a GC license (inaudible). So I can see where the State stands on that, and I get it, and I -- I don't know if this (inaudible) four steps away from the actual (inaudible) makes any difference. At some point there has to be a general contractor or an electrical contractor subbed out. That's where I stand on that.

(Inaudible) place there (inaudible) violation is still a law.

Question, Board Member Kerry Cox?

BOARD MEMBER COX: Board Member Cox, C-o-x.

So isn't that what the SDS and the LMI did? Were they not -- NCR is a software company. As a telecom and 06 contractor, we've been that fourth party, that we get called by somebody like a -- well, a regional company from -- from the state of Washington that wants to contract us to install in a bank or wherever it might be, some card readers, whatever it is, install some network cable.

We're contracted to them, who then contracts out to a -- I think you referred to it as an aggregator. Their job is to simply know who all the contractors are in that particular state, who then works with another company that might be regional in the Pacific Northwest, who ultimately works for -- is hired by Oracle out of



Colorado to install their software and servers and what have you, and part of that is installing some cable for an end point.

Is that not the responsibility then -- because you -- I just understood you to say somewhere along the line, you've got to have a contractor license in the state of Washington that can subcontract.

And as I understand the record -- and, yeah, it was a lot -- is that's what NCR did, is they have a management contract with Starbucks nationwide where they contract with folks who are licensed in order to do the subcontracting with the local entity.

Like I said, myself and my company have been in that fourth-party position. You're right. Where does that stop? It looks like LMI and SMS or whatever it was -- that's where that should -- should have stopped or the subcontracting began because NCR is simply a project management company, as I understand the record.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Jason Jenkins, chair.

The one thing I would say (inaudible) if you follow the money, the money went from Starbucks to NCR. NCR at that point is now the general contractor.

They're the ones that should have -- they could have subcontracted it out all at that point there since



they're doing -- they're not the owner of the business. Starbucks is.

Starbucks should have gone to NCR -- or not to NCR -- but to SM- -- the other companies. If they pay them directly, the subject is null because at that point there, they're paying the subcontractor directly. It's their contractor that they're dealing with.

In this case here, they're dealing with NCR, and NCR is not a contractor. (Inaudible) explanation you gave before, where you are a contractor, so you are the burden. You're the starting point. Some customer pays you. You're the starting point, and you can subcontract all you want because you're the final say.

There is no contractor when you deal with NCR.

They're hiring somebody that has no responsibility if something goes sideways. They can say, "Well, that's their problem. That's their fault. We don't carry insurance for that because they're supposed to."

If you have a contractor's license, now you've taken responsibility for the umbrella portion of it, and there is no umbrella portion when it comes to NCR.

Now, my understanding is, they have insurance and everything else and they can probably be backed up, but the general picture to all the rest of the state and anybody else doing this type of work, if there's no



contractor, you take no responsibility.

There's no chain. It's you (inaudible) contractor's license (inaudible). So an umbrella portion would start at NCR.

And like I said, if Starbucks had gone to their subcontractors who paid them directly and said, "We're going to hire you" on their discretion, their input, I'd say, yeah, this is (inaudible) this whole conversation, but they paid NCR to hire those contractors. So follow the money in that direction.

BOARD MEMBER COX: As I understand the record, Starbucks corporately paid NCR as -- for software and systems, project management. NCR then hired someone who could carry that out.

It's not -- when I've gone out on -- on these fourth-party job sites, I'm hired by a company out of Washington State to go out and I'm told, "Here is how you will represent yourself."

I'm not going to get paid by the local -- the -- the company -- if I'm going out and doing a U.S. Bank job,
I'm not getting paid by U.S. Bank. I'm not going to send an invoice to U.S. Bank. U.S. Bank doesn't see my contractor's license. It doesn't see my insurance and my bond and all of that.

I'm doing that to this regional company who then is
Page 47



being hired by a national company, that their position is to go out and know who the contractors are hiring.

The money from U.S. Bank goes to a national company that manages all their software, servers, end points.

It's not a matter of following the money.

It's -- I agree with you. You have to have someone who ultimately can -- whose license in Washington State to contract -- you know, to subcontract that.

As I read the record, NCR is not that company. NCR is simply receiving the moneys from Starbucks as a national contract to manage all of their information technology systems.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Board Member Baker?

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: You said a lot of things there, Jason, that I don't necessarily align with, and I don't know if -- when we're talking about general contractors and insurances and responsibilities and liabilities, I don't know that we have enough knowledge at this board to address that.

We do understand the electrical side, and I do not believe NCR was acting as an electrical contractor when putting this contract together. I don't believe they violated law and that they advertised to do electrical work.



I think they signed a contract -- it's my opinion that they signed a contract that is going to ensure that -- what it says in the statements of facts here is they contracted Starbucks to manage the installation of electrical telecommunication.

At that point we have to ask the question, how were you guys planning on managing that? Were you going to self-perform it? Because if they were self-performing, now you have to have a license. Now you have to get a permit. Now you have to follow all those things.

But their method of managing it was very similar to our general contractors and our integrators. They went to a professional industry and said, "Hire an electrical contractor," which they did. And that electrical contractor, I think, some had licenses and some of them didn't. Some of them had permits; some didn't.

That, I believe, is a line of demarcation where L&I should have pointed their focus. They should not have gone all the way back to NCR and the contract with Starbucks.

Every -- every company in our state does this thing. They're all doing this. They're all hiring agencies like NCR to manage these type of networks. Companies like his are engaged with them all the time. Our company is engaged with them all the time.



So I believe that L&I -- well, I haven't seen anything that convinces me otherwise that L&I didn't overstep. So help me understand where they -- where NCR went wrong here because I do not see it. (Inaudible.)

(Simultaneous speaking.)

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Wayne

Molesworth. So when we look at aspects of this as the board, we have to look at the law. Right? This is not how we've done business, how others do business. This is how we do by the law in the state of Washington.

What -- what we've -- what we're describing is a general contractor relationship when we talk about, as we did earlier, that they entered into a contract. They paid them money for them to hire others to do work.

Right? That's subcontracting by definition.

And so in order to subcontract in this state, the law requires that you either have the proper license for the category you're in or a general contractor's license in order to subcontract that work.

Now, there could have been different relationships in these other instances, but in this instance, it was a definite legal contract between them, whether it was national, local, or otherwise. And we also found people that were not licensed at the bottom of this. Right?

Now, I would ask counsel, was there anyone in this



line that was actually a licensed electrical contractor, starting at the guy that actually did the work in Starbucks?

MR. BARNES: Yes, there was. There was an electrical -- there was some -- you need an electrical contractor to pull the permits, so downstream from --

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So now we've got a problem then because now we've got somebody that's purchasing a permit for an unlicensed contractor to do work.

So there's -- there's so many problems in this, and so what we have to do is, we have to make sure that we're equally enforcing this law for everybody. Unfortunately, NCR is a big company, but they've still violated the law as it's written.

And so we have to make sure that our general contractors in this state are being applied the same way as we apply this to national companies. We do have a lot of national companies that come into this state and do similar things, and we require them to be contractors, and a lot of them are.

But the problem here is that we're trying to make an exception when it's clear to me that we have a clear contractual relationship between Starbucks and NCR --



or -- yeah, NCR, and that NCR then hired others to go downline and do this work, and so that's considered subcontracting.

They should probably change business practice, and

They should probably change business practice, and that's why this state issues citations, is to change behavior. Right? This is not a penalty. This is, "You need to change your behavior and this is why in this state."

This is not -- this is not -- I'm not talking about the rest of the nation. This is the state of Washington. Right? We have specific laws that pertain to this.

So I'm going to stop there because I'm a little -editorializing a little bit, but I think we need to keep
in mind that we apply this to all contractors evenly, and
anybody that's subcontracting in this state, that's from
this state, has a general contractor's license, period.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Thank you. Board Member Baker.

So -- so, Wayne, that's -- that's where I guess I struggle, and going back to Jack's question, if they have to have a contract -- a general contractor's license, why is L&I the one issuing citations here?

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So Molesworth again. So we have a contractor compliance section in L&I, and we have an electrical section in L&I. Sometimes



they can -- they can overlap.

In this instance, we have an electrical inspector employee doing the investigation, and he can cite them because they didn't have a general contractor's license.

So he can also cite them for not having an electrical license because they could have subcontracted if they would have had an electrical license. They could have subcontracted if they had a contractor's license -- or general contractor's license.

But since our staff was doing that inspection, they cited them for -- for electrical instead of giving them a referral to contractor compliance.

So either entity inside L&I has that authority to issue that citation for subcontractor, so --

BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Board Member Burke.

So if I'm understanding your question, where you're going, I mean, it seems like it was improper citation under electrical, and it should have been referred and possibly a citation for general contractor, but -- but that didn't happen. There's no citation for no general contractor license.

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: But it's not. Either entity can issue a citation for not legally subcontracting. We could issue it under electrical



1 because it was an electrical installation. They could issue it -- if they had come on-site, 2 they could have issued it for not having a general 3 contractor's license. So either entity can -- can cite for illegal 5 subcontracting, right, and being -- having to be an 6 electrical contractor or a general contractor in order to 7 have that relationship. 8 9 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Board Member 10 Baker. 11 Then, I guess, what law -- what RCW was broken in the electrical department? Can you point me to --12 13 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Right. Do you 14 have that, John? 15 MR. BARNES: Yes, I do. There was 16 They were cited under RCW 19.28.041 for not having two. the electrical contractor license, and under 19.28.420, 17 18 and that's the telecommunication -- not having a 19 telecommunications contractor license. 20 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Board Member 21 Erick Lee? 22 BOARD MEMBER LEE: Yeah. Board Member 23 Lee, L-e-e. 24 Wayne, I think there's a question for you, and I 25 think you might have touched on it earlier. So the Page 54



1 electrical inspector saw the -- issued the citations, went down the route through the L&I department, and the 2 defense could have -- could have been at that point, 3 4 "Well, hey, we have a general contracting license"? That's -- but the electrical inspector didn't pursue it, 5 6 and the NCR didn't bring it up in defense. SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: It could have 7 I don't know for a fact that the electrical 8 inspector didn't ask him if they were a general 9 10 contractor. 11 BOARD MEMBER LEE: Thank you. 12 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: And I don't 13 think -- Counsel, you can tell me if they -- you've told 14 us that they're not a general contractor. 15 That's my understanding. MR. FERN: 16 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: But you can't 17 tell me if the inspector actually asked that -- asked 18 that question. 19 There's nothing in the MR. FERN: record to show that he did. 20 It's my understanding that 21 he didn't. 22 I mean, these citations were made, you know, as 23 Mr. Barnes said, not under general contracting statute but under -- for failure to have an electrician license, 24

not for failure to have a general contracting license.



25

1	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any board member
2	questions?
3	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Board Member
4	Baker.
5	I I can't support those citations. I thank you
6	for the conversation and being able to understand where
7	it was coming from. I understand that, but I can't
8	support those. It's just contrary to how we do business
9	in the state and how we contract.
10	I believe it should have gone through the
11	literally should have had a general contractor's license.
12	I would have liked to have seen this go to the other
13	department within L&I.
14	From the electrical side, it just is contrary to my
15	40 years of experience in the industry and how we
16	function in this state and how we contract. If these are
17	upheld, I've got a dozen jobs right now that are in
18	violation.
19	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So I
20	Secretary Molesworth. I disagree with that just because
21	you're a general contractor, and you don't have anything
22	that's illegal.
23	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: We are an
24	electrical contractor.
25	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: What's that?



1 Oh, you're an electrical contractor. BOARD MEMBER BAKER: 2 Yes. But to my point -- to my point, Wayne, is, we are subcontracted by 3 people like NCR that are not contractors, and they would be in violation, and these are well-known integrators in 5 the state of Washington, very well known. 6 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: By the 7 Right. law and by this particular contract, they've gone into a 8 9 contract as an electrical contractor by law -- by the 10 definition of the law. 11 So we're not interpreting this law. It's pretty clear that -- that they've entered into a legal binding 12 13 It's specific to electrical installations in contract. 14 They've decided to subcontract, which they the contract. 15 can't do, so they would have had to have been an 16 electrical contractor because they weren't able to subcontract. 17 18 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: I understand. 19 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Period. 20 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: I understand. 21 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Board Member 22 Cox? 23 BOARD MEMBER COX: Board Member Cox. If it had been 24 Question for Secretary Molesworth: 25 referred to the general contractor division with L&I, how



many citations would have been issued for failure to have a general contractor's license?

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Probably --

MR. BLOHOWIAK: I'm just going to --

that's -- that's way outside of the -- the record.

Like, you're asking the secretary to hypothesize about what may or may not have happened in this. It's just not in the record.

And then also I just want to remind the board members that your job here today is to decide whether there's substantial evidence to support the findings of fact and conclusions of law in the OAH's order.

And so as you're looking to make your decision, you need to also be considering the record you reviewed and what evidence you're going to point to, to reverse, modify, or uphold each of those findings of fact and conclusions of law.

So I just wanted to remind the board to -- the board does not have any equitable authority to decide whether or not something is just or inappropriate.

The board is confined to the statutes and laws written and the evidence in the record, and so you need to -- as you're looking to make a decision today, just remind you to consider the record you reviewed confined to findings of fact and conclusions of law and whether



there is substantial evidence to support -- support them 1 or support your conclusions to modify or reverse. 2 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you. 3 Any other questions, comments, concerns, board members? 5 Yes? BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Thank you, 6 Mr. Chair. Board Member Gray, G-r-a-y. 7 I struggled just like I heard everybody else, and I 8 read through all of this, and when I read the words in a 9 10 contract, I -- to me, it would be a reach to believe that 11 that contract with NCR and Starbucks intended to identify 12 NCR as the contractor that was actually going to install 13 the electrical equipment and do all that because they --14 they've been doing this all over the country. 15 So I just never read that as, "We expect you to go be the contractor to go install these things." 16 I read it as, "We expect you to go manage whatever 17 18 it is that will support your work, which is to provide 19 software for our company here, and you go do that. 20 paying you to go get that done." 21 So, I mean, I'm -- I'm right in the same boat, I 22 think, as Board Members Baker and Burke and Cox on this. 23 I just -- I think if -- not disagreeing that a citation 24 probably should have been assigned, but I'm not sure it 25 was assigned to the right place based on what I



1	understood when I read through the transcripts and the
2	other information.
3	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
4	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you.
5	Any other questions, comments, concerns, board
6	members?
7	BOARD MEMBER LEE: I do. For the
8	Board Member Lee, L-e-e.
9	For the folks that are in the same boat and
10	struggling with this, on the in the record on Page 14,
11	it says, "The electrical statute defines an electrical
12	contractor as any entity that offers to undertake,
13	undertakes, submits a bid for, or does the work."
14	If they say they're going to manage it, does that
15	get them away from this definition? They're not
16	submitting the bid for that's not the way that it's
17	seen or is that the way I mean, can you legally argue
18	away from that definition?
19	That's the part I'm struggling with. Can you
20	convince me of that?
21	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Not without
22	bringing in new information.
23	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Board member
24	sorry. Chair Jenkins.
25	In the actual document, they actually have a pricing



sheet showing what it's going to cost to install individual devices. So whether or not they're doing it or not, that would tell me that they have the LHL contractor license to submit their bidding to do this work at this price.

There are individual components in here.

(Inaudible) for that and some of it is electrical work.

Therefore, they're saying, "I'm a general contractor.

I'm going to have an electrical contractor do this work,

but I'm making this bid to cover all the different

components."

There's an actual question sheet for each of these in each individual step of this process. So whether or not they intended to do it internally or external, I don't think it matters.

If they didn't want to do it internally and don't have a license for it -- they also didn't have a general contractor's license to even submit that type of bid, but that's (inaudible) events, so --

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Board Member Baker.

Don't want to keep beating a dead horse. Don't want to be that guy at the table, but I don't know. Maybe they should have had a general contractor's license. I'm not here to decide that.



2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I can tell you that our general contractors will sit down with our clients, and they have a spreadsheet and they show them our pricing matrices. We provide those to them, and they sit down. They show them exactly what it's going to cost and they show the electrical. And, yes, they do commit to the installation of the electrical system. Doesn't mean they're going to do it, but they're committing to it. They're taking responsibility for it. CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: I would propose to take a short break, for a couple reasons. First of all, I notice some members here have requested to be able to check out of their hotel room in order to (inaudible). I would suggest maybe a 15-minute break and discuss the legal steps here, what we can and can't do. Any questions? So we'll take a recess until 10:30. (Pause in the proceedings.) CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. Ιt is now 10:33 on April twenty-UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Seventh. CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: -- seventh. Thank you. And we're bringing the Washington State Electrical Board meeting back to order. All right. So after discussing our options here and Page 62



1 I see our discussion has been tailing off and so our options are, one, we can affirm the order from the AHJ to 2 reverse the order from the AHJ. 3 The other option we have, if we feel there is some type of -- more information needed, we can remand it back 5 to the OAH to supplement the proceedings, get more 6 information on the electrical contractor versus general 7 contractor information. 8 So those are our options for moving forward. 9 10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, 11 Mr. Chair. Does that mean we could ask for more evidence to be entered into the record so that we could evaluate 12 13 that? Is that what that says? 14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. 15 Thank you. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 16 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Hearing no more 17 questions/comments from the board, the chair would entertain a motion. 18 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: 19 Board Member 20 Baker. I'll make a motion that we reverse the order. 21 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: And we have a 22 motion. Do we have a second? 23 BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Board Member 24 Burke. Second. 25 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: So we have a Page 63



```
1
     motion and a second. Any discussion? Hearing none,
 2
     all --
                                               The discussion
                       UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
 3
     I would have is, based on what evidence are we reversing
 4
     it? Can I ask that question?
 5
                       CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: So we have
 6
     discussion.
 7
                       MR. BLOHOWIAK:
                                       Yes.
                                              Yeah.
 8
                                                     I mean,
 9
               It's a little out of order.
10
                       UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                                               So I would ask,
11
     based on what evidence would we reverse this -- this
     decision that's inside of our evidence package at this
12
13
     point?
14
                       CHAIRPERSON JENKINS:
                                              Well,
15
     (inaudible) more information on this. We're all seeing
16
     the same thing.
17
         Our violation that we're talking about is
18
     (inaudible) right out of the book. And this says
19
     19.28.41, No. 1 is unlawful for any person, firm,
     partnership, corporation, or any other entity to advise,
20
21
     offer to do work, submit a bid, engage in conduct, and
22
     carry the business of installing or maintain wires or
23
     equipment to convey electrical current or having --
24
     undergoing (inaudible) and so forth.
         So that's the section that they're applying this to.
25
```



1 Any other discussion? Hearing none, all in favor of 2 reversing the AHJ's order, all signify by raising your hand, please. 3 We have, one, two, three, four, five, six in favor. 4 5 Opposed? One, two, three. 6 Motion passes to -- to reverse the AHJ's orders. 7 (Inaudible.) So, once again, the motion passes to 8 One second. 9 reverse the AHJ's proposed order, and we need to now 10 review the actual order to discuss the individual items 11 we're reversing. 12 MR. BLOHOWIAK: So there were -- this 13 is Ben Blohowiak. 14 There are two violations here, 19.28.041 and 15 19.28.420. One is for electrical installations. The 16 other one is for telecommunications installations. The language in each statute is identical, other 17 than the reference to electrical and telecommunications 18 19 installations. And as Jason said, but I'll just reiterate, it is 20 21 unlawful for any person, firm, partnership, corporation, 22 or other entity to advertise, offer to do work, submit a 23 bid, engage in, conduct, or carry on the business of installing or maintaining telecommunications or 24

electrical systems without having a valid



25

telecommunications or electrical installation contractor license.

It is now -- I'd ask the board to point to specific evidence in the record to -- to establish that those violations have not occurred. We'll need to cite to specific parts of the record, and once we've identified those portions of the record, I will ask counsel for the appealing party -- for the failing party to draft a proposed order.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)

MR. BLOHOWIAK: Yeah. So we're looking at Section 6.2 and Section 6.3 of the proposed order issued by the Office of Administrative Hearings.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: So (inaudible) need to be changed to, "NCR Corporation did not violate RCW 28.41 by offering to perform, submit, bid, or engage in business installing" (inaudible) so on and so forth, and 6.3, where NCR did not violate RCW 28.420.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The evidence in the documents that I would point to, I believe, would be statement of facts under 4A. It states, "NCR contracted with Starbucks to manage the installation of electrical and telecommunication equipment."

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Do you have a specific page in the record you're looking at?



1	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, Lord.
2	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm sorry. We
3	just have to make sure that we're very clear what
4	evidence we're relying on.
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's a fair
6	question.
7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Page 3.
8	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Chair Jenkins.
9	You're talking about Page 3 of the electrical board
10	packet?
11	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's the
12	electrical board brief.
13	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Board brief.
14	The packet. Do you have a page number?
15	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Page 3 of the
16	brief.
17	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay.
18	(Inaudible) board brief. Thank you. Can you repeat what
19	you were asking or saying?
20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's it's
21	the statement of facts under bullet point 4A. It states,
22	"NCR contracted with Starbucks to manage the
23	installations of electrical and telecommunication
24	equipment."
25	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. So if I
	Page 67



1 get you right, you're saying manage versus offer, advise, submit, bid, engage, conduct? You're saying that's 2 outside that -- the scope of the law? So using the word 3 4 "manage" versus the others? 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Correct. CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. So did 6 counsel get that information? 7 8 MR. BARNES: No. I --9 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: So if I 10 understand this correctly, he's referring to the brief 11 where -- on Page 3 where NCR contracted with Starbucks to manage the installation of the telecommunication 12 13 equipment versus the code -- or the law requirements that 14 do not violate that simply because it -- managing is not 15 advertised to do work, submit bid, engage in conduct --16 and conduct business, so on and so forth (inaudible) 19.20.41. 17 18 MR. FERN: Alex Fern, NCR. 19 have that. I'm not sure what page of the appeal packet 20 I'm looking at. 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. So --22 and I'm sorry, Chair Chris Jenkins. What Board Member 23 Baker is referring to is the Department's brief filed in 24 this case. 25 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.



1	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: But I think
2	and, Board Member Baker, please correct me if I'm wrong,
3	but it's the language that's used I think it's in
4	also in the agreement between NCR and Starbucks that
5	they're going to manage these installations.
6	So, Counsel, the citation you're probably looking
7	for is to references to management inside the the
8	evidentiary record (inaudible) Office of Administrative
9	Hearings.
10	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: That sounds
11	reasonable.
12	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is that your
13	understanding?
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Correct. Based
15	on that description, I'm confident I can draft an
16	appropriate proposed order.
17	MR. BARNES: I do have a question on
18	that. Is Member Baker referring to my trial brief rather
19	than the proposed order?
20	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Currently, yes,
21	that is what I'm referring to at this moment.
22	MR. BARNES: I don't think a brief is
23	evidentiary.
24	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And,
25	Mr. Barnes, that's why I was asking Mr. Baker because Page 69



he's not a trained attorney. If -- if it's that language of project management was what he was relying on, I think he was looking at your brief as a bit of a shortcut, but your brief references project management in the actual evidentiary record.

So that's why I've indicated counsel and clarified with the board member that that's his understanding and that was his -- that was the evidence he was looking at, was this language of project management versus the submit a bid, engage in, conduct, or carry out the business of electrical or telecommunications installation.

MR. BARNES: I just have a point of order because I don't want this coming right back down here again, but I think that it would necessarily have to be something in the order that they found to be incorrect rather than something that I wrote or somebody wrote in a brief, which is not evidence.

And I'm afraid if we go up on appeal on this, that it will just get sent down for further findings.

MR. FERN: Alex Fern, for NCR. I may be able to provide -- I just want to state my understanding just -- it's my understanding that, based on the contract between NCR and Starbucks, NCR is offering to manage the project.

It's not a violation of RCW 19.28.041 to perform,



1	submit a bid, engage in the business of installing or
2	maintaining electrical equipment. And that's the board's
3	decision that would be reflected in the proposed order
4	pulled from the contract itself rather than the brief.
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: This is Board
6	Member Baker's motion. I would at least counsel
7	(inaudible) understanding correct?
8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I understand
9	you're trying to clean it up. I'm pulling something out
10	of a brief. We need to find it in the actual document.
11	Yeah. That's exactly what I'm trying to do. Your
12	definition of a shortcut is very accurate, so
13	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Board Member
14	Knottingham?
15	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
16	BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Board
17	Member Knottingham, K-n-o-t-t-i-n-g-h-a-m.
18	In the electrical board packet document, I think
19	it's referenced on Page 1554, project management. That's
20	Exhibit A for services that NCR restore (inaudible)
21	provide.
22	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Board Member
23	Chair Jenkins.
24	The page number once again?
25	BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: 1554. And
	Page 71



1 then above it, it says (inaudible) Exhibit 2, 2 Page 000023. CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: So make sure I 3 say this correctly. Evidence that you're pointing to 4 that removes the violation is shown -- also shown on 5 6 Page 1554 where they bring up project management. 7 (Inaudible) the project shall (inaudible) project management and support tasks. That is what you're 8 9 referring to for support for the change? 10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Correct. 11 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you. So given that, (inaudible). That would apply to both the 12 13 violation of the 19.28.041 and violation of 19.28.420. BOARD MEMBER BAKER: 14 Board Member 15 Baker. 16 That's correct. 17 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. Jason, 18 Chair. 19 Thank you very much. The board has made a decision. 20 Mr. Alex Fern, if you -- please do not leave today until 21 you let us know whether you'll need additional time or 22 reached an agreement. 23 Please be advised that, if you do not reach an 24 agreement today, then that will be automatically set for 25 presentment at the next regularly scheduled board



1	meeting.
2	If an agreed order has not been received by this
3	date, parties will be expected to file their proposed
4	orders, appear, and advise why their proposed orders are
5	there the proposed order best reflects the board's
6	decision. Hopefully this will not be necessary.
7	If you're able to reach an agreement as to the form
8	of the order by the next meeting, please forward the
9	secretary of the board's office, and they will make sure
10	it gets signed and copies provided to the parties.
11	Thank you very much.
12	MR. BARNES: Thank you.
13	MR. FERN: When you say "agreements,"
14	you mean agreement (inaudible) Office of the Attorney
15	General?
16	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Yes. That is
17	correct.
18	MR. FERN: Just want to get that
19	confirmed.
20	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: And make sure
21	the order best reflects what the board's decision was
22	today.
23	MR. FERN: Thank you.
24	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very
25	much.



1	VIP PRODUCTION NORTHWEST
2	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. So
3	moving on to the next item of the agenda, (inaudible)
4	time for a break.
5	Moving on to VIP Production Northwest, is there a
6	representative for the appellants for VIP Production?
7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah.
8	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: If you can
9	please take a seat.
10	And (inaudible) representation of the parties.
11	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
12	(Inaudible.)
13	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right.
14	the matter for today is an appeal of a matter of the
15	VIP Production Northwest, Incorporated, Docket
16	Nos. 12-2019-LI-01240 and 12-2019-LI-1 01241.
17	This hearing is being held being held pursuant
18	due to the proper notice of all interested parties at
19	Spokane, Washington, on April 27th at approximately
20	10:52 a.m.
21	This is an appeal of the initial order offer
22	initial order issued by the Office of Administrative
23	Hearings on June 22nd, 2022. It is my understanding
24	that the decision is affirmed. Citation notices
25	EALRD00557, EBUJU00629, EBUJU00630, and EBUJU0063 [sic]



1	issued by the Department of Labor and Industries on
2	August 9th and 16th, 2019. It is further my
3	understanding that the appellant has timely appealed the
4	decision to electrical board.
5	Did you hear my remarks to the previous procedure?
6	MR. REIT: Yes.
7	MS. ZURLINI: Yes.
8	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: So we do not
9	need to go over those?
10	MS. ZURLINI: No.
11	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. So any
12	questions before we begin?
13	MR. REIT: No.
14	MS. ZURLINI: No.
15	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. So
16	VIP Production's representative, please speak and spell
17	your name for me.
18	MR. REIT: I brought my own name tag
19	just to be sure you can read it. My name is Troy,
20	T-r-o-y. Last name is Reit. It's spelled R-e-i-t.
21	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: You are the
22	(inaudible) party. You have the burden of proof to
23	establish the proposed decision is incorrect. Therefore,
24	we'll hear from you first, and you have 15 minutes. You
25	may proceed.



MR. REIT: Thank you.

Members of the board, for society to work, every citizen has to know and understand the rules. The only reason we can drive -- safely drive (inaudible) cars on the same road with hundreds of other people is we all know the rules, such as which side of the street to drive on and what is expected at a red light.

If one police district decides to issue tickets for stopping at a red light while the rest of the country continues to expect motorists to stop at the red lights, it would be confusing for motorists and chaos would ensue.

This is the situation we have in Washington State right now. There is significant confusion about how to interpret the electrical laws as they apply to the portable temporary systems the entertainment industry uses for small festivals, and it seems like the Spokane L&I office is like the police department that is issuing tickets for stopping at red lights.

Everywhere else in the state -- and we do hundreds of shows all over -- we don't need a permit for the small shows with just a few lights, like the ones in question today. And only one permit is required for a bare wire hookup, and that's usually pulled by the electrician tying us in to power.



I had an experienced inspector outside of Spokane

County call me to ask who would be pulling the permit for
a show in his jurisdiction, and he verified that my

company didn't need one because the client had hired an
electrician.

However, within the Spokane County and specifically at the show we did in Airway Heights, Inspector Busskohl required the City to have a permit for their generator and spider box. VIP had to have a permit for our lights. The audio company needed a permit, and every band that played on stage needed a permit to plug in their power strips.

The inspectors are coming to very different conclusions when interpreting the exact same laws. When I try to pull a permit outside of the Spokane office's jurisdiction, the inspectors look at me like I'm crazy.

The inspectors also seem to be confused about the equipment we use. They're referred to a computer as a dimmer and think that DMX cable can't be classified as telecommunications cable even though the D in DMX stands for digital.

And the ANSI standard clearly states that DMX communicates with packets of information. RCW 19.28.400, Paragraph 13A, states that data cable is telecommunications cable.



And we can install -- and I use that term loosely because that would -- I would consider that more for buildings -- up to ten telecommunications outlets without needing a permit.

The inspectors are looking at the wrong law because they don't know anything about the entertainment cables we use. For example, the speaker wire behind me would be a local dig- -- communication cable, local digit control circuit, but DMX is more like the Ethernet cable that you use in your computers.

It's not just the inspectors. We did the exact same show in Airway Heights both in 2018 and 2019 with the same equipment in the same location and with the same power source.

We were cited by the same inspector for the same infraction for both shows, and challenged them both. We have two hearings, and two different judges came to opposite conclusions when presented with the same evidence.

I tend to be a little idealogical. In the hearing for the 2018 show, I was looking for a decision to set a precedence so that we could all know whether or not I really needed a permit for these small shows. Apparently it doesn't work that way.

I'm not an attorney, just in case you were



wondering. I'm the CEO of the company. Here's the problem: I need to know what I am expected to do with two completely different interpretations being applied, depending on where we set up our shows.

I am sincerely hoping that this body is able to provide that kind of clarification, not only for me but also for the local L&I office that seems to be using a different interpretation than the rest of the state.

The mission of the Department of Labor and Industries is to keep Washington safe and working. How does it make the event safer to require the city, the lighting company, the audio company, and every band that performs on stage to require a permit -- to purchase a permit?

If it's about safety and those permits are really that critical, why didn't either inspector involved in these citations also issue citations to the audio provider and the bands?

In Medical Lake, the audio system was present and plugged in when Inspector Alred was there, yet he never even talked to that company. I asked the owner. The same festival happened again last fall like it was done every year other than during COVID, but no inspector showed up. No permits were purchased, and no citations were issued.





How is it about safety when we need a permit to plug in a few listed LED lighting fixtures into a single GFCI protected outlet per the manufacturer's instruction? For an agency that is funded by permits and citations, that looks a little suspicious.

Don't get me wrong. My company is all about safety in the entertainment industry. We regularly utilize large amounts of power, hang things over people's heads, and install permanent systems.

I personally have directed many (inaudible)
understanding of what the law requires and recently wrote
a blog encouraging our clients to get permits when
required as a matter of safety.

I'm not asking you to release the entertainment industry to its own devices. You can find my specific arguments in my brief regarding the citations and Ms. Zurlini's responses to my appeal, so I won't spend much time on them here.

However, the crux of the matter can be summed up in this one question: Did the inspectors in the Spokane office get a little overzealous in their interpretation of the rules, or is this trend going to continue to the point that the whole state is going to be held to a different standard of enforcement where, according to Inspector Busskohl and the Spokane office, every power



strip outside of a residence requires a permit before you plug it in?

I'm sure the right answer or maybe the safe answer lies somewhere in between, that no one knows where that line actually is. Are the local inspectors correct?

That would mean that the rest of the state is wrong.

By the way, this complex setup in this room is more complex than the system that we use to plug in a few lights, and it uses power strips. So I didn't see a permit posted in this room. This is definitely not a residence, so by their interpretation, this installation would have required a permit.

The only law they can cite to support their citations is a Currents newsletter article, and when the author of the article, then Chief Inspector Thornton, found out about the -- about Inspector Busskohl's statements about power strips, he said -- and I quote -- Oh, he shouldn't have said that, end quote.

Seems fairly clear to me who was mistaken. The other two citations regarding failing to request inspection and material misrepresentation are easily explained. Without clear direction, I hastily procured a permit for Airway Heights to attempt to comply while awaiting a verdict regarding the 2018 show.

In my haste, I accidentally put accurate information





into the website form, like I have done for every permit as the 06 admin for my company. To purchase a permit for temporary lighting at a location I don't own, you have to commit perjury -- and it says it right there on the website. "I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct" -- by claiming to be the real property owner.

The legal definition of misrepresentation requires intent to deceive, which did not exist. That one is pretty clear. The L&I office schedule doesn't track well with the entertainment industry, which works mostly weekends. So without the advanced notice, we couldn't call for inspection until the date after all the equipment had been removed.

I'm sure Ms. Zurlini will assert that I'm the one that's confused, and maybe I am, when it comes to this double standard of rule interpretation, but I've clearly demonstrated that the inspectors are confused about the application of the law and, even by their own admission, don't understand the equipment we use.

It would be unjust to hold my company accountable for the inspectors' confusion and double standard. I am asking this body to reverse these citations and affirm that the inspectors overstepped the intent of the law.

If you affirm these citations, it leaves my company





1 with an undue burden of having to navigate the double 2 standard. We don't know when to stop at the red light. And indulge me for just a moment longer. This 3 doesn't actually pertain to this case, but beyond these citations, I'm also asking that you work with the chief 5 6 inspector in your legal advisory role to provide some clarity regarding the application of the law to these 7 temporary entertainment systems. The Spokane County 8 9 inspectors and my industry needs these answers. 10 Thank you. 11 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very 12 much. AAG Ms. Zurlini. 13 14 MS. ZURLINI: Yes. 15 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Can you spell 16 your name for the recording, and at that point, you may go forward. 17 18 MS. ZURLINI: Thank you. My name is I'm the assistant attorney general who 19 Angela Zurlini. 20 represents the Department in this case. My first name is 21 A-n-g-e-l-a. My last name is Zurlini, which is 22 Z-u-r-l-i-n-i. 23 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you. 24 MS. ZURLINI: Thanks. So I'm going to 25 start off by saying, there isn't any confusion. Maybe Page 83



the confusion rests with VIP and its interpretation of the law, but I would objectively say there is no confusion.

This isn't about power strips. It's not about the electrical Currents argument -- or article, and it's also not about overzealous inspectors. We have Department inspectors who go out every single day, looking for electrical violations, and that's what we found with VIP.

To simplify and reduce this whole case to power strips and the electrical Currents newsletter is reductive, and that's not what we're here today to discuss.

So VIP -- it focuses on light fixtures and dimmers and DMX cables and the confusion about what is meant by the word "distribution," so I thought we would start there.

All the pictures I'm showing you are in the board record, and if you at any point need me to cite to where it's at, just let me know.

Distribution of power. So this is the Medical Lake -- right? Medical Lake? Yes -- the Blue Waters Bluegrass Festival, which I'm just going to call the festival because that's a mouthful.

So at the Medical Lake festival, you have -- and these are pictures taken by the inspector. You have the



permanent utility -- or fixture right there, bringing power in from the utility, and I know you guys obviously know about this more than I do.

Okay. So power coming in, being distributed to a circuit panel with circuits that were on, going down to distributing power to the GFCIs, okay, going through what Mr. Reit testified about as being their Socapex cable where you can see on this side, all the cables that are coming out. And this is in the testimony as well.

All of these cables coming out of these GFCIs are then bundled together in the Socapex, which then is threaded across the festival grounds where then it is broken apart.

All the cables obviously break loose, and that's how they're powering -- distributing power from that primary source all the way across the festival grounds to the stage to provide electricity to all of the different devices that Mr. Reit has referred to.

And there are numerous pictures of the same. At the Airway Heights location, the same -- now this -- here, instead of it being a permanent utility fixture, it was two generators that the City brought and same deal, bringing power to -- from the generators to the stage. So we've got the same pictures.

I want to -- and I'm sure you read this in the



transcript, but Mr. Reit, he did confirm that the configuration used at the Medical Lake location is the same configuration that it used at the Airway Heights location. So we're talking about the same configuration.

On Pages 145 and 146 of the board transcript,

Mr. Reit says in Medical Lake -- keeping in mind, again,
same configuration, both locations -- "In Medical Lake we
used a cable called a Socapex cable. A Socapex cable is
multiple cables combined into one. So stagehands are
lazy. We try to do everything as quickly and efficiently
as possibly -- oh, as we possibly can. So if we can pull
one cable, even though it's really heavy, instead of
pulling six, we're going to do that -- we're going to do
it that way.

"VIP typically uses that in place of running multiple extension cords because" -- or excuse me -- "which can often cause more of a tripping hazard because a bigger cable is easier to see and multiple cables are not."

That's distribution of power. Okay. If you read in VIP's brief submitted to this tribunal -- let's see here -- he says, "The general consensus between entertainment professionals and inspectors is a permit is required when there is a high amperage" -- let's go back -- "a high amperage connection to an electrical





service that is then broken" -- sorry. I'm going through these kind of fast -- "broken into multiple lower amperage branch circuits. The dictionary definition of distribution fits this idea, taking a large portion and breaking it into smaller pieces for multiple recipients."

I would argue VIP absolutely knows what distribution means. You can't describe something and then claim confusion over what you just described. So I believe it does know what distribution means.

Respectfully, VIP is -- wants you to focus on the dimmers and the light fixtures and the DMX, which we'll get to in a moment, and confusion over whether or not the law applies to those devices.

Respectfully, there shouldn't be any confusion over the distribution -- how the electrical laws are applied to the distribution of power from that main utility or the generator through that Socapex cable breaking apart at the stage.

Okay. That should be clear, and that is the violation. When it comes to both of the -- all of these citations, that's the violation. The dimmers and the light fixtures and the DMX, like I said, we'll talk about here, but those are additional violations, but on the grounds alone of the distribution of power, I would ask that the board affirm the proposed decision -- or I think



it's an initial order on appeal.

Moving briefly to those other devices -- let's see here -- we'll start with the light fixtures and the DMX cables. So -- and, again, these are all -- this is all in your board packet.

So in VIP's brief to you, they say basically the light fixtures have proprietary interconnecting cables built into them that cannot be used by other devices. The power passes through each fixture to the next, but, quote, pass through, end quote, doesn't fit the vague definition of distribution in the April 2017 Currents newsletter.

So if you go to that Currents newsletter, when it speaks about the interconnecting cable, it says, "A permit and inspection is required for any type of temporary distribution, a power distribution system. A distribution system means interconnecting wires or other equipment that is installed to distribute power."

That is what that is. And in support of that, their Exhibit A, this is the diagram that VIP offered at the time of hearing, and this is what shows you the interconnecting cables. Again, you can't describe something and then claim confusion over what you just described.

So to use that phrase, the power passes through each



fixture, in plain speak, that's distribution.

Moving now to the DMX cable -- so I'm going to confuse myself. Okay. So the DMX cable, VIP argues that the judge and inspectors are in error, calling DMX cable a lighting control cable or a low voltage control cable. Again, this is from VIP's exhibits. This is from -- this is the -- I guess the DMX cable that they identify on their Exhibit A.

Controlling lighting equipment and accessories. It says it right there, "Controlling lighting and accessories." So maybe the DMX cable can do a bunch of different things, but at minimum we know it can control the lighting equipment.

So when VIP asks for the board to find that it is exempt, that DMX cable is exempt under a telecommunications law, which, in support of our position, the Department argues that Subsection 19.28.400, Subsection 13C, under this, it says, "Telecommunications systems do not include lighting or control systems -- lighting or control systems."

VIP argues that this listing of different pieces or different devices refers -- and everyone knows it just refers to analog, and that what he's talking about or what it's talking about is digital.

Yet the law doesn't distinguish between analog and





digital. It just says lighting -- or lighting control systems do not constitute telecommunications.

So in support of VIP, they offer 13A, which talks about telecommunication systems include and then talks about some things, but it also says with or within -- or within or between buildings including voice, data, video, and audio. That's not what we're talking about here.

We're talking about festival and event grounds.

And then finally, when it comes to perjury, and at the time of hearing, Mr. Reit and I just agreed to disagree on that, but what I would point out is, if you look at board packet Page 249, this is an example of a permit that VIP properly took out with VIP identified as the purchaser.

They identified themselves as the site owner because, if you look at the Electrical Currents, it talks about, if you own your own equipment, then you use your -- you are the site, I guess. So this is an example of how they got it right.

When it comes to the -- the citation for the material misrepresentation, first of all, intent is not a factor. What Mr. Reit testified to was, he was in a hurry. The inspectors had already been out, had already seen the site.

They had already put the lights up. They had not



obtained an electrical work permit, and in a hurry, this is the permit he pulled. So the idea that he had to perjure himself, I'm not exactly sure what that means, but what I can tell you is that VIP knew how to pull a proper permit. They've been doing it for 30 years. Right?

So he testify -- I'm sorry. Mr. Reit testified that he was in a hurry and he acted in haste, and that's why he put the City of Airway Heights down instead of VIP, which, under the law, he was required to identify.

Let's see here. So I guess, in conclusion, VIP was properly cited at both locations, at both the Medical Lake and Airway Heights locations. They did not obtain an electrical work permit as required by the law. Again going back to the distribution of power, I mean, if you just focus on that alone, the citations should be affirmed.

So at both locations, VIP did not obtain an electrical work permit prior to starting that electrical work, did not obtain an inspection at the Airway Heights installation, and made a material or false statement on a permit.

In its appeal, VIP assigns error to conclusions of law 5.1, 5.15, and 5.16. The remaining findings of fact and conclusions of law are unchallenged.



1	As discussed in the Department's briefing, the
2	errors assigned to conclusions of law 5.11, 5.15, and
3	5.16 do not change the fact the violations occurred. VIP
4	did not meet its burden to prove that the June 22nd,
5	2022, initial order is incorrect, and for that reason, I
6	would ask that this board affirm that initial order.
7	Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right.
9	Thank you very much. This is chair Board Member
10	Jason.
11	Any questions from the board? Yes, Board Member
12	Cox?
13	BOARD MEMBER COX: Board Member Cox,
14	C-o-x.
15	For the appellant, on the Socapex cable, is there an
16	electrical plug on one end of that cable that would plug
17	into an individual 120-volt electrical outlet, and on the
18	other end of the cable, you would have on the opposite
19	end of that connection, would you have 120-volt
20	electrical receptacle or yeah, on the end of the cable
21	on that Socapex cable?
22	MR. REIT: Yes.
23	BOARD MEMBER COX: Does that make
24	sense?
25	MR. REIT: Yep.



1	BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay.
2	MR. REIT: (Inaudible) think of it as
3	a glorified extension cable.
4	BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay.
5	MR. REIT: There's six of them bound
6	together.
7	BOARD MEMBER COX: Six into one?
8	MR. REIT: Right.
9	BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay. So if I have
10	the first connector on the end that plugs into the GFCI,
11	and I have and that would correspond to the receptacle
12	at the other end of the cord as No. 1, and No. 2 would
13	plug into the second GFCI, and you'd have the No. 2 so
14	I'm understanding it, it's just it's six extension
15	cables in one enclosure?
16	MR. REIT: Correct.
17	BOARD MEMBER COX: So as not to cause
18	a trip hazard?
19	MR. REIT: Correct.
20	BOARD MEMBER COX: Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other
22	questions or comments from the board? Jason, Chair.
23	BOARD MEMBER CUNNINGHAM: Board Member
24	Cunningham, C-u-n-n-i-n-g-h-a-m.
25	I didn't find a specific and maybe you can point
	Page 93



me to it -- a specific reference to a WAC article that pertains to temporary -- like a portable show, portable installation, temporary installations, and seems like all this equipment -- and I actually have experience in this industry -- is all modular connections.

And typically the only place that I've seen in the inspection performed is where the -- where an electrician, who is a licensed electrician, is making connections from a distribution rack where there's amplifiers and lots of (inaudible) protection to, you know, house mains.

And so what I'm understanding by this case, every stagehand that runs, you know -- that brings big boxes off the truck, they unload thousands and thousands of feet of cable, they're all supposed to be qualified trade labor in the state?

MS. ZURLINI: I would argue that's not in the record. That's not a question that we addressed.

BOARD MEMBER CUNNINGHAM: Okay. And so a permit has to be pulled for every -- what about when you're bringing in trusses preloaded with lighting fixtures? Is that -- those are -- those are constructed somewhere else out of state.

MS. ZURLINI: Again, not part of this record. The only thing that's part of this record is



1	basically what Mr. Reit and I have discussed.
2	BOARD MEMBER CUNNINGHAM: Okay. So
3	installing installing cables from a listed piece of
4	equipment to other listed devices is interpreted as
5	distribution and requires a permit?
6	MS. ZURLINI: Also maybe and
7	because I don't speak electrical, that is also not a
8	topic that we discussed. What we discussed was the
9	source of the power and then basically the distribution
10	of power from there, how it was distributed, then across
11	the event space and where it ended was at the stage.
12	So any
13	BOARD MEMBER CUNNINGHAM: Is this
14	being is this being enforced consistently across the
15	entire state?
16	MS. ZURLINI: There wasn't any
17	evidence to say that it was or wasn't or isn't. So I
18	would say, in general, Mr. Reit, when he's speaking in
19	broad generalities, this is what the industry thinks or
20	this is where the confusion lies, there's no evidence to
21	support any of that.
22	I mean, that is his opinion, which, you know, he is
23	able to share, but there's no factual basis for confusion
24	in his trade.
25	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other



1	questions from board members? Yes?
2	BOARD MEMBER COX: Board Member Cox
3	again.
4	And I don't know if this might be for Chief
5	Molesworth. If the appellant would have installed six
6	separate extension cords that would have plugged into the
7	individual GFCIs at the panel and ran those individually
8	to the stage and plugged his equipment in, would that
9	have been considered distribution?
10	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Well, that's
11	kind of irrelevant in this case because it wasn't.
12	Right? So I don't want to get into a discussion of
13	what-if. It's irrelevant because that wasn't what
14	happened in this particular instance.
15	BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay. Because the
16	position I'm taking on this is that the Socapex cable is
17	simply a connector to plug extension cord, and so if a
18	bundle under one sheet. It's not distributing power.
19	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Well, it still
20	distributes power, but it's a different wiring method
21	than probably what you're what you're thinking of for
22	a distribution of power. It's one more different type of
23	wiring method they can use to distribute power.
24	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Chair Jason
25	Jenkins.



1 One thing that kind of got my attention was the -we looked on the Exhibit A on the one she brought up that 2 has the (inaudible) diagram and the light fixtures. 3 4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Chairman 5 Jenkins, could -- excuse me. Could you give me a page 6 number, please? CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Page number for 7 this is Page 211. 8 9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you. 10 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: (Inaudible.) 11 And if I understand it correctly, the residential 12 plug-in appliances are completely exempt from permit, 13 completely exempt. So there's no questions about those. 14 This is not a residential application. 15 So had this been a one outlet plug-in, one fixture, 16 I'd say, yeah, no permit required. It's done. 17 (Inaudible.) 18 But going from one outlet to the fixture to fixture 19 to fixture to fixture on top of that, if you scroll 20 through a couple more pages, get to Page 312, right now, 21 there's a picture of one of the DMX controlled light 22 fixtures. 23 You'll see that the light fixture itself has a 24 connecter on the back. It goes up as a short stud 25 connector, and it goes to a connection, and then it



connects back into another one. So there's literally two cables there, the bare minimum that connect two fixtures together.

To connect any distance between the fixtures, you have to have an adapter. You have an extension cord, basically how far you want to go, back to a connector, back into the light fixture.

And if (inaudible) process, it's the same thing.

They put an adapter in. They put an extension cable.

They put an adapter back in the light fixture.

This is not a single plug-in device. This is a multiple device. And then the argument about, well, this is a listed device. Well, it's listed to have one or ten devices. Choose the way you want to set up. So it's not a single listed device.

If you look on Page, moving down further, 327, they have the devices themselves. So individual bought, purchased the -- it's not a single device. It's a system.

So they're putting in a lighting system, and so that, to me, is distributing from fixture to fixture to fixture. It would fall under the distributor options, in my opinion.

The cable itself going from the cable back to a three -- six extension cords out of one cord, back to



three cords, I don't know. I don't know if you want to call it distribution because they're not buying the individual circuits. We're just pulling all the cables into one.

Bigger picture on this -- and I know it might be more opinion than anything else, should there be a permit pulled? I agree 100 percent permit should be pulled on every one of these.

You're talking about public access, walking over these cables and these cables (inaudible) to be exposed. If you look at the -- almost all the pictures show these bundles of cables wrapped up around different areas. They have these cables going across a walking space.

Should they be permitted? I 100 percent agree they all should be. They all should be looked at. And where the defining point is distribution, well, it's distribution going light fixture to light fixture, special conductors and cables, disconnecting, connecting.

I don't think it should be unpermitted based upon it is a distribution of lighting. And I looked up this documentation that's a forty -- I'm really familiar with the computer cables -- computer cables being a 46 technology, which is the same basic technology they use for their -- super basic computer cables except it's being used for lighting control.



If it's being used for lighting control, I would agree with Ms. Zurlini. (Inaudible) option that exempts lighting control. That cable cannot be used as an exemption because honestly I think -- and I guess Mr. Molesworth can correct me on this, but I believe that whole extension system was based upon the computer location, (inaudible) computer required full permit to put jacks to your computer to your phone system to those little patch ports that are exempt. This is a very specialized application. So I'm in agreeance with the State's position.

Any other guestions from the board? Comments?

Any other questions from the board? Comments?

BOARD MEMBER COX: Board Member Cox.

I'm not sure who this question needs to go to. It might be to Chief Molesworth. But are not plug -- I'm talking about the DMX. The data connections, is not plug and cord or cord and plug connections exempt from permitting or is that only exempt from licensing?

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So it depends on what kind of cord and plug you got.

BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay.

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: In this case, it wouldn't be exempt because it's a different type of -- it's not a -- it's not the appliance cord. It's not the extension cord. It's -- you know, this is a -- a cable





1	that's used to interconnect if we're talking about the
2	same
3	BOARD MEMBER COX: DMX, yeah. It's
4	it's a male-female connector, click. It locks in.
5	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yeah.
6	BOARD MEMBER COX: So that would not
7	be okay. I guess I'm not understanding why that
8	wouldn't be.
9	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Not for this
10	type of equipment, not for this type of application.
11	BOARD MEMBER COX: Is there an RCW or
12	WAC that addresses the difference between one application
13	and another on whether it's a cord or plug connected
14	system
15	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: There would be.
16	I can't give you that right off the top of my head, but
17	there is some definition and some explanation around
18	that.
19	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any more
20	discussion from the board? Yes?
21	BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Board
22	Member Knottingham.
23	If you look at (inaudible). If you look at Page 227
24	in the board packet and I believe this was submitted
25	by VIP it talks about ESDA standards and the American Page 101



1	National Standards and entertainment technology
2	references. Again, this is 512.
3	You go on to the next page under scope, standard
4	describes (inaudible) digital data transmission
5	between controllers. Controlled equipment is described
6	in Clause 194.
7	So I think clearly it's electronic. It's it's
8	data that I mean, clearly it's defined as controlled,
9	which is exempted. Permits are required. That's my
10	understanding.
11	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you.
12	Chair Jason, once again.
13	Any other questions, concerns? If not, chair would
14	entertain a motion.
15	BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Board
16	Member Knottingham.
17	I make a motion that we affirm the citations.
18	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: We have a
19	motion. Do we have a second?
20	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Board Member Nord.
21	Second.
22	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: We have a
23	motion. We have a second. Any discussion?
24	Hearing none, all in favor of affirming the OAH
25	decision, signify by raising your hand. Four, five, six.
	Page 102



1 Opposed? Two. 2 Motion passes. So -- yes? BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Board Member 3 Baker. 4 I'd just like to make a comment. Mr. Reit asked 5 6 the -- actually hoped that this board will provide some clarification. I believe he has -- I've dealt with the 7 same frustration across the state with different 8 9 jurisdictions not interpreting the codes and specifically 10 with low (inaudible) tests in the past where I would get 11 one inspector come out and not know what he's inspecting. 12 Another jurisdiction would tell me they don't need a 13 permit. 14 And I do believe that Mr. Reit and anybody else 15 that's a stakeholder in the industry deserves to have some clarification and possibly some training across the 16 17 state so we're consistent in how we're dealing with our 18 stakeholders. 19 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: So I'm hearing that -- Chair Jason. 20 21 I'm hearing the suggestion to the division to get 22 more training and information out concerning 23 installations (inaudible) in this capacity? BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Yes. 24 I'm curious what an inspector would look at when he looked at that 25



1 I believe our stakeholders need to know what the inspectors are going to be looking at when they come out 2 to the site as well. 3 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very much. All right. Well, thank you very much. Board has 5 6 made its decision. 7 Ms. Zurlini -- Ms. AAG Zurlini, have you prepared a proposed order? 8 I have. 9 MS. ZURLINI: 10 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. 11 would like the parties to adjourn to the lobby to see if the terms of the order can be agreed upon. Please do not 12 13 leave today if you -- until you have let us know if 14 you'll need additional time or have reached an agreement. 15 Please be advised that if you do not reach an 16 agreement today, then that will be -- automatically be sent for presentment at the regularly next -- the regular 17 18 scheduled -- next regularly scheduled board meeting. 19 If an agreed order has not been received by that date, the parties will be expected to file their proposed 20 21 orders, appeal, and advise what proposed order best 22 reflects the board's decision. Hopefully that's not 23 necessary.

If You're able to reach an agreement as to the form

of the order be- -- or before the next meeting, please



24

25

1	forward it to the secretary of the board office, and they
2	will ensure signed copies are provided to the parties.
3	Any questions?
4	MS. ZURLINI: No.
5	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right.
6	Thank you very much.
7	Do the board members take a break? Are we good?
8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Break.
9	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Break. All
10	right. So let's do that. Let's take a ten-minute
11	break-ish, and let's get back here at 20 till
12	(inaudible). Back here at 11:40.
13	
14	CAVALIER CORPORATION
15	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. So
16	the next item on our list here is the appeal from
17	Cavalier Corporation on (inaudible) representation we
18	have later. I'm sorry?
19	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's correct.
20	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Yeah.
21	(Inaudible) corporation.
22	And we have Ms. Zurlini. I'm assuming you're the
23	representation for the department?
24	MS. ZURLINI: I am.
25	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. The
	Page 105



1	matter before us today is an appeal of the matter of the
2	Cavalier Corporation, Docket Nos. 05-2020-LI-01434 and
3	05-2020-LI-01435. The hearing is being held pursuant to
4	due and proper notice to all interested parties in
5	Spokane, Washington, on April 27, 2023, at approximately
6	11:47.
7	This appeal initial this is an appeal with
8	initial order issued by the Office of Administrative
9	Hearings on July 27th, 2022. It is my understanding that
10	the decision was affirmed. Citation notices EALRD00738,
11	EALRD00739, and EALRD00740 issued by the Department of
12	Labor and Industries on January 30th, 2020.
13	It is further my understanding that the appellant
14	has timely appealed that decision to the electrical
15	board.
16	Did you hear my procedures from before?
17	MR. RIDDLE: Yes, sir, I did.
18	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. Any
19	questions before we begin?
20	MR. RIDDLE: No. No.
21	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. As the
22	appealing party, you have the burden of proof to
23	establish that the proposed decision is incorrect.
24	Therefore, we'll hear from you first.
25	So if you could please spell your name for the



1 recording, and then we can move forward. MR. RIDDLE: My name is Warren Riddle. 2 It's spelled W-a-r-r-e-n. Riddle is R-i-d-d-l-e, kind of 3 like a joke. I'm accompanied by fellow officer in the 4 corporation, my lovely wife of 57 years. And I guess 5 6 I'll mention she's an officer in the corporation also. 7 Do you want me to proceed? CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Yes. Please 8 9 proceed. 10 MR. RIDDLE: We're not really ready to 11 be here, and at -- we were promised a transcript from OAH in electronic form, and we never received it. We've 12 13 written OAH about it, and they were not responsive to us. 14 Also, when I sent my closing arguments, written 15 arguments, I did not get a certified mail receipt from 16 I just assumed that -- that the -- I just assumed 17 that the office of OAH is in disarray after the COVID 18 thing or something of that nature. 19 I really don't have knowledge of that except what I've already verbalized. I didn't get a transcript, and 20 21 I did not get a receipt from the (inaudible). 22 This case kind of reminds me of an analogy or 23 (inaudible) -- I'm going to make this short, so I hope I'm not off the subject -- where some John Doe -- this is 24 an analogy. Some John Doe is running a security firm, 25



and the cop down the street from him doesn't like -- he sets up a -- he -- the cop sets up a camera, speed camera, and at the end of the year, he brings -- presents 200 tickets to this guy in this analogy.

Okay. If -- we haven't received -- Cavalier

Corporation or me, as the administrator of Cavalier

Corporation -- Cavalier, I will mention, is a general

contractor and also an electrical contractor, an 01

electrical contractor. Not by choice. We've been told

we need to do this. We've been told by the Department

these radon systems need permits.

Okay. So we take out permits for them. Okay. And I sympathize when the earlier testimony -- one of the gentlemen said, you know, "The law is not applied evenly," this and that.

But, you know, in my opinion, when I install a passive radon system that has no fan and has no electrical components and it has no electrical leads going into it, I still buy a permit because some of the inspectors want to know where all these radon systems are.

Okay. So going on here, I draw your attention to the WACs because this has become an issue, and I'd like to hear a response from my opponent over here. In the WAC 296-46B-915(12)(d), the prescription -- I'll pause --



is the prescription for penalties of fees.

My version of the WAC says that a fee for administrator not properly overseeing on a B permit is \$100. This was discussed in the OAH. I don't know why. To me, it's fraudulent if the AG wants to -- to break the law and chooses to break the law.

We feel this is -- is similar or the same as the doctrine that (inaudible) has. I don't think that the attorney general and the Department can come in here and say, "We're choosing to break the law here. Gosh, you know, we're going to hold your feet to the fire."

I don't think you can get the Superior Court to agree with you guys on that.

So I want you guys to -- and I'll wrap this up here in just a moment -- to consider, when John Doe calls my office -- and keep in mind also, it's a statutory thing. In Spokane County, every new house with a residence or even a business with a residence, every new residential house gets a radon pipe, and you can't get past it.

Often people will be calling our office and saying this, "Gee, I have high radon readings. Can I activate my passive radon system?"

The passive radon system, as I mentioned earlier, is ABS piping, you know, a pit, a roof jack, some things of this nature.



So we go to the house there, and there's a duplex receptacle there right next to it because it's statutory in the National Electric Code. We say to people and it says to us, if we're planning on having electrical devices in the attic, we put a duplex there. It's reflected in the Washington energy code that deals with radon. It shows a diagram with a (inaudible), a plug-in, a receptacle for future plug in of a radon fan so the homeowner can go up there and put his radon fan in.

In other words, he gets on Amazon. He goes up there into his attic, severs the pipe, bolts the fan in place with the (inaudible) antivibration rubber cufflinks and so forth. He plugs in. He's done.

Okay. So we're out here. We're taking out permits. Whose name do I put on -- if it's a permit for a passage system? Do I put on the guy who glued the pipes together? The guy who did the roof jack? The guy that Diamondcord the hole through the floor -- the cement slab?

So if we had some permits out there -- we, Cavalier, that have various names on them of whoever is there -- okay. My question to you would be, is, say I'm in a commercial building and I'm activating a fan. Do I need an 01 electrician to put this plug-in in? Can John Doe put this plug in?



The question is, is there a violation or not? It isn't that, hey, you're -- I'm sorry. Give me a second.

The issue -- I guess I'm repeating myself. The issue -- the issue is whether a nonlicensed person, a noncertified, nonqualified person can plug a fan in, you know, and I guess you guys agree with me because this is really interesting. This is a thing for Superior Court to look at how you guys handle electrical.

So I do want to mention that no one apparently has looked at a large number of my signs and all the complaints. There's not been an inspector out that says, "Hey, John Doe is up in the attic here. He's not a certified person."

And I do not -- I'll admit right now. I do not use an electrical -- a certified electrical person for the guy who drills the hole through the floor, the guy that develops the pit, the guy that does the plumbing, the guy that does the roof jack, so forth.

So that's the issue. And you don't have -- my position would be that OAH didn't introduce any evidence other than they didn't like the way I filled out permits. And I'll say to you guys, if you don't like the way I fill out permits, then in this box here, seems like the programmer essentially has it referred to a table as a table of every qualified person that is currently



qualified in the state of Washington, and if it doesn't, then you don't accept the permit. This is like stalking.

And that's it. I'll conclude.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. Thank you very much. Ms. Zurlini?

MS. ZURLINI: Thank you. So I'm going to start just briefly with the penalty schedule. The office of the attorney general does not set the penalty in any of these cases, nor does the Department. It's -- the penalty schedule in the WAC is what determines what penalty is assigned to the citation being appealed, just so we're all on the same page there.

In terms of -- Mr. Riddle has done a nice job speaking in generalities and what happened within his industry, the types of jobs that he does, but what we're here today to talk about are 29 specific noncompliance locations where 29 Class B permits are implicated. So we're not speaking about in general. We're speaking about these 29 locations.

The material facts in this case, they're not disputed. There are 29 compliance locations and 29 Class B permits at issue. Cavalier admitted it validated 26 of those Class B permits, using Mr. Riddle's administrator license or his trainee license.

You can't use an administrator license in the place



of a certified installer license. You can't use a trainee license number in the place of a certified installer. And Cavalier and Mr. Riddle did agree that that, in fact, is what Cavalier did.

Cavalier also -- two of the Class B permits, it identified certified installers whose numbers were not recognized by the Department. They're not numbers that are consistent with any of the licenses for certified electricians.

And then finally, the final -- the 29th Class B permit was just wholly -- it wasn't validated. It was posted but not validated, and that is in the record.

And on this Class B permit, a certified installer wasn't even identified. So, again, we're talking about these, which you all have 29 Class B permits for the 29 locations, and for each of these, there are three violations for each.

So Mr. Riddle obviously is the administrator for Cavalier, and he speaks about who's responsible for making sure these are accurately filled out, and that's his responsibility, to ensure Cavalier fills these Class B permits out accurately, and that's across the entire industry.

That's an administrator's job, one of them, is to ensure Class B -- all permits are, in this case,



validated appropriately.

So the law is clear. Mr. Riddle, as a certified installer -- actually, excuse me -- Cavalier employed Mr. Riddle, one, as the administrator and the president, so he is an employee of Cavalier.

So Cavalier, across these citations or Class B permits, employed an uncertified installer, either Mr. Riddle or the two folks that we don't know who they are because the number doesn't really apply to any one particular individual. And then, of course, the last one, no certified installer was named.

Also not in dispute, Mr. Riddle does not possess a certificate of competency. He's not a certified electrician. Therefore, the citation that was issued to him, also not in dispute. He was identified as the person performing the work, and he's not certified to do so.

And, again, those facts are not in dispute, and I would point out -- so Cavalier and Mr. Riddle, they did not dispute findings of fact No. 4.1 through 4.17. And they did not dispute findings of fact 4.19 through 4.24. Those remain unchallenged.

Mr. Riddle and Cavalier did not dispute conclusions of law 5.1 through 5.18. 5.19 was a request from the administrative law judge to lower the penalties -- asks



you to lower the penalties associated with these infractions, and that's just something that you don't have the authority to lower those. Again, we're looking at a penalty schedule that is adopted in WAC.

With regards to -- and addressing this -- I know that Mr. Riddle has not necessarily talked about this, but it is in his briefing, so I'm going to address it.

In Mr. Riddle and Cavalier's appeal, they do assign one error, and that's to finding of fact 4.18. And that speaks to -- oh, it talks about the line voltage in that finding of fact. The judge says that Mr. Riddle and Cavalier failed to mark the line voltage box on the Class B permits.

Mr. Riddle agrees that the Class B permits do include line voltage, but in regards to -- actually was in their appeal -- they say that the -- oh, "No such box exists." So basically we didn't check the box because no such box exists.

But if you look at -- let's see here -- Page 126 of the record, the judge at some point -- as you probably read, we hit a point where the judge just asked if Mr. Riddle would stipulate to the existence of the line voltage box, and he did.

He also stipulated that the line voltage boxes were not checked, and for that alone, that alone, on all 29,



the OAH's decision should be affirmed. All of the different citations that were -- well, I guess the -- anyway, on that alone, you can find in favor of the Department by affirming the OAH decision.

But going back to 4.1, you should give no deference or weight to that because he contradicts himself, or Riddle -- Mr. Riddle and Cavalier contradict themselves.

So then the discussion in the briefing about plug-ins, and he -- Mr. Riddle discussed it here a moment ago -- passive systems, and in, again, their briefing, they go through, you know, the Department's knowledge of the radon fans and Cavalier's system and the Department's website and all of these, you know, different errors assigned.

I would note that the record does not provide any information about the technology that was used at any of these 29 locations. Just because he talks about passive versus -- I don't know what the opposite of passive is -- but whatever technology would be different than passive, there's no evidence in the record as to what was used, what the conversations were at any of these locations.

All we have and is sufficient are the 29 Class B permits, identifying uncertified installers and one that was just simply not validated.

So based on that, the Department asks you to affirm



1	OAH's decision, and that's it.
2	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very
3	much. Jason, chair.
4	Any comments from the board members? Questions?
5	I will bring up one comment that if you look on
6	let's see multiple locations, but there's a permit
7	number labeled B00123770. One of the permits on the list
8	of violations actually is outside the window of the
9	prospective two-year scope or whatever the scope was from
10	'19 to '20.
11	MS. ZURLINI: I'm sorry. Can you give
12	that permit number to me again?
13	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Yeah. I've
14	looked at the B001237710. (Inaudible) date issues with
15	that. That one is actually outside the if you look at
16	the OAH's scope of one of the violations there, the
17	window they're looking at, one of those falls outside the
18	window. It's been mistakenly used on different dates,
19	and you go to the permit number, it's really different
20	from the all the rest of them.
21	MS. ZURLINI: Okay.
22	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: (Inaudible.)
23	MS. ZURLINI: Can you just give me one
24	moment to catch up to you?
25	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Yep. Go ahead.
	Page 117



1	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mr. Chair?
2	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Yes?
3	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The B
4	permits the number is fixed, right, the ones you're
5	looking at? So depending on what group of permits he was
6	using, it may have been used during that time period, but
7	the numbers may look drastically different.
8	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very
9	much. Jason, chair, again.
10	This if you look at the dates, at the violation
11	date range, that actually the error is somewhere
12	because the one says '18. This one says '19.
13	So they're I believe that one was mistakenly
14	pulled with the other ones. I could be wrong. I'm
15	trying to pull it up now, take you to a page.
16	MS. ZURLINI: So this is the permit
17	that was validated on April 2nd, 2018?
18	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Yes. Which I
19	think they started the window March of '19. There it is.
20	Am I correct with that? That seems written out and
21	suddenly I lost my tab. It disappeared on me. Give me
22	one second, please.
23	MS. ZURLINI: So if the violation
24	and I'm just trying to catch up with you. So if the
25	violation, which on the Department's matrix, the
	Page 118



1 violation date or the range is the date of validation. So if the violation date otherwise known as the 2 validation date, if it's 10/28/2019 -- so we would be 3 able to go back as far as 10/28 of 2017. And that would capture the Class B permit you're talking about. 5 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: It would, but 6 there's -- I think it would be the OAH (inaudible) date 7 start range to the end range. Am I correct in that? 8 9 MS. ZURLINI: Oh, so you're looking at 10 the order? 11 Well, there is CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: October 2019, January 2020. There it is on Page 23 of 12 13 our electrical packet. 14 MS. ZURLINI: I don't have the whole 15 packet here. There's just -- are you looking at the 16 actual order? 17 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Page 4 of the 18 order. 19 MS. ZURLINI: Thank you. 20 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Electrical 21 packet Page 23, October 2019 to January 30th, 2020. 22 believe that's when the inspector did their window of the violations, and this one violation falls outside that 23 24 window. So I would say, given that, I would want to (inaudible) at least one of the violations off the list. 25 Page 119



1	MS. ZURLINI: So you're looking at
2	4.10?
3	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Yes, I am.
4	MS. ZURLINI: Okay.
5	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Yes?
6	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Molesworth.
7	So I'm curious about the window. I need that
8	defined a little bit.
9	Are we talking about a statute of limitations window
10	or are we talking about something that the inspector said
11	I these permits within this period of time?
12	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: When I went
13	through this, it looked like the OAH was saying that, if
14	you keep going back further and further, more violations
15	not being counted. So they took a window of this Point A
16	to Point B and they violated I think it said two-year
17	window effectively.
18	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Okay.
19	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: And it says we
20	were counting these violations (inaudible)
21	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So
22	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: That fell
23	outside that window.
24	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So my comment
25	would be, that's still an illegal permit and that it
	Page 120



```
1
     doesn't fall outside of the -- any statute of limitations
     because our statute starts at the time that we've
 2
     identified something being wrong, and we have two years
 3
 4
     to issue.
 5
                       CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Yes.
                                                    I was
 6
     just --
 7
                       SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: I just wanted
     to make sure.
 8
 9
                       CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: -- being more
10
     generous in the reality that these windows (inaudible)
11
     applying for -- yes, it's a violation. Don't get me
     wrong. But it just falls outside the one that he was
12
13
     calling a violation, outside that normal window of where
14
     all of us (inaudible).
15
                       SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Gotcha.
                       CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: (Inaudible) from
16
     one violation.
17
18
                       MS. ZURLINI: Well, and I think if
     I'm -- when I read 4.10, I think that you're looking at
19
20
     October 2019 to January 30, 2020?
21
                       CHAIRPERSON JENKINS:
                                             I might be
22
     looking at that -- I might be quoting wrong.
23
                       MS. ZURLINI: Okay. Well, so I'm
24
     looking at the initial -- or the proposed decision and
     order 4.10, which I think is the one you were talking
25
                                                        Page 121
```



1	about. And is that what you were talking about?
2	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: I believe it
3	was let me restate what I was saying. Roll back up to
4	the Page 3, jurisdiction occurring from March 6th,
5	'18, until January 2020, I believe that one fell outside
6	that realm.
7	Anyway
8	MS. ZURLINI: So I'm not sure why the
9	judge said that. What I will tell you is that, looking
10	at 4.10, it looks like Inspector Alred's investigation
11	started on October 1st, 2019, and went through
12	January 30th of 2020, and that was the period that he
13	performed his inspection.
14	That is, I guess I would argue, a typo. The record
15	supports the inclusion of all 29.
16	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay.
17	MS. ZURLINI: So I
18	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: (Inaudible.)
19	MS. ZURLINI: Yeah. Thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other
21	questions or comments from the board? (Inaudible.)
22	Yes, Board Member
23	BOARD MEMBER COX: Board Member Cox.
24	Probably a question for Chief Molesworth. So if a
25	B permit is filled out and it's not needed and it's not
	Page 122



```
1
     retracted, if that's the best way of -- I think Inspector
 2
     Alred said taken back -- if it's not retracted, it's
     still a valid permit and the information that's included
 3
     in that permit is what the Department uses for the
     citation?
 5
 6
                       SECRETARY MOLESWORTH:
                                              Right.
                       BOARD MEMBER COX: And I know we've
 7
     had this conversation in the last couple of appeals about
 8
 9
     hypotheticals. Had the contractor found that no work was
10
     necessary and he -- and he retracted the permit, whatever
11
     process that is for B permit, this wouldn't be an issue.
12
     Is -- or do we -- can we go there?
13
                       SECRETARY MOLESWORTH:
                                              It's not an
14
     issue, I don't think --
15
                       BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay then.
16
                       SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: -- here today.
                                (Simultaneous speaking.)
17
18
                       BOARD MEMBER COX: All right.
19
                       SECRETARY MOLESWORTH:
                                               I would be
20
     speculating.
21
                       BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay.
                                                  That's --
22
     all right. Because what I see going on, from what I hear
23
     the testimony and the record, is that the appellant was
24
     told, pull a permit for every radon system you put in,
     and he's trying to obey the law, and he goes out there
25
                                                        Page 123
```



1 and maybe there's already a receptacle near where they 2 need to plug this thing in or it's a passive system. And as I'm reading in here, the testimony, because 3 the B permits aren't that expensive, they don't bother retracting the permit, thus leaving the situation. 5 6 And then we do -- the inspector does an investigation and finds all these still active permits. 7 Somebody correct my thinking if I'm going down the 8 9 wrong path, but that's what I'm seeing happening here. 10 MS. ZURLINI: Is it appropriate? Can 11 I jump into that or not? 12 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: (Inaudible) is 13 that a question? 14 BOARD MEMBER COX: I would -- I'll --15 yes, I'll pose it as such, and I'd be happy to have you 16 address that, please. 17 MS. ZURLINI: Oh, sure. So in 18 response, adhering -- Mr. Riddle and Cavalier had the 19 opportunity to present evidence for each of those 29 locations and what specifically happened. And in the 20 21 absence of that information, your question can't be 22 answered because we just can't assume what did or did not 23 happen. 24 BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay. 25 MS. ZURLINI: So they had the



1	opportunity to tell us, and they did not do that.
2	BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay. Very good.
3	Thank you, Counsel.
4	MS. ZURLINI: Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Chair Jenkins
6	one more time.
7	One thing I noted here is all these permits between
8	the time frames there show that Mr. Riddle was the
9	installer for those, and even during that time frame he's
10	a trainee, which we also found out we know that
11	trainees are not supposed to be on the permit is
12	supposed to be the GW that's signing off on it.
13	But the trainee card was expired at the time also,
14	so it wasn't even a trainee. It was expired trainee card
15	all during that time.
16	On top of that, there was no GW signed off on it,
17	and so there's multiple errors on (inaudible).
18	Any questions or comments from the board?
19	Hearing none, the chair would entertain a motion.
20	Board comments? Yes, Board Member Gray?
21	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: This is Chair
22	Gray Board Member Gray, G-r-a-y.
23	Move to affirm.
24	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: We have a
25	motion. Do we have a second?
	Page 125



1	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Board Member Nord.
2	Second.
3	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: We have a
4	second. Any discussion?
5	Hearing none, all in favor of the board affirming
6	the ALJ's decisions, raise your hand. Six, seven.
7	All opposed? I see none.
8	Motion is affirmed as it stands.
9	All right. So thank you. The board has made a
10	decision.
11	Ms. Zurlini, have you prepared a proposed order?
12	MS. ZURLINI: I did.
13	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. So
14	would you please have the parties (inaudible) the order
15	can be agreed upon. Please do not leave today until you
16	have let us know if you need additional time or have
17	reached an agreement.
18	Please be advised, if you do not reach an agreement
19	today, that will be automatically set for presentment at
20	the next regularly scheduled board meeting.
21	If an agreed order cannot be received by that date,
22	the parties will be expected to file a proposed order,
23	appear and advise the proposed order (inaudible) the
24	board 's decision. Hopefully it will not be necessary.
25	If you're able to reach an agreement as to the form
	Page 126



1	of the order before the next meeting, please forward to
2	the secretary of the board and (inaudible) signed and
3	provided (inaudible).
4	Thank you very much for your time.
5	MS. ZURLINI: Thank you.
6	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. It
7	is now 12:15. I think it's probably a good time for a
8	lunch break before we go into our next item, which is I
9	believe the Department's (inaudible). Yeah, the reports.
10	Thank you.
11	So at that point there, we'll adjourn for the
12	everyone okay with one hour? One hour. So be back here
13	at, say, 1:15 and we'll start our reports.
14	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Testing.
15	Testing. Can you hear me?
16	All right. All right. So it is now one almost
17	1:20 on April 27th, and now bringing the Washington State
18	
10	Electrical Board meeting back to order.
19	Electrical Board meeting back to order. All right. We are up to our Item No. 4, the
19	All right. We are up to our Item No. 4, the
19 20	All right. We are up to our Item No. 4, the Departmental/Legislative Update with Mr. Larry Vance.
19 20 21	All right. We are up to our Item No. 4, the Departmental/Legislative Update with Mr. Larry Vance. Technical Specialist Larry Vance, the floor is
19 20 21 22	All right. We are up to our Item No. 4, the Departmental/Legislative Update with Mr. Larry Vance. Technical Specialist Larry Vance, the floor is yours.
19 20 21 22 23	All right. We are up to our Item No. 4, the Departmental/Legislative Update with Mr. Larry Vance. Technical Specialist Larry Vance, the floor is yours. DEPARTMENTAL/LEGISLATIVE UPDATE



L-a-r-r-y, V-a-n-c-e.

First off, I'd like to speak a little bit about the electrical exams. Get the report open. You all received these reports in the -- in the board packet. Pardon me while I'm scrolling here. My computer is telling me my battery is low.

I sent you the old report. This is very interesting. I sent you the old report. This is a report that I don't think any board member here has ever seen. It is the first time pass rate for each exam section.

Thank you very much, Brian.

So it's -- this is -- this is a report that we used to use, and I apologize that I didn't send you the correct report, but we can certainly talk about this report.

And under the 01 electrician general exam, there's two sections, one being the 01 general NEC and theory exam section, and the other one being the laws and rules section.

And it's interesting how each one of those -- and it's roughly 65 percent of people pass one of those sessions, and this is information that we found. And the board requested, well, how do we know how many actually passed the first time -- actually passed the first time?



So that's why we have the report that I've generally send -- generally included, and all this tells us is, is that about 65 percent of the time, somebody passes one section of the exam, which is good.

But what it -- what history tells us in the actual first time pass rate is, it's actually somewhere around 51 percent of people pass both sections, so we'll see that change, I speculate, with more and more involvement in education and apprenticeship programs with those learning the 01 trade.

Rulemaking update. The last board meeting and board meetings prior to that, we -- we talked about rules to -- rules to implement Substitute Senate Bill 6126, which was passed in 2018, and that bill is what implemented apprenticeship requirements. It also brought in an allowance for the Department to make rules -- temporary rules. That is the rulemaking that we just completed and the rule that goes into effect on July 1, 2023.

Well, that's great. That's -- we got that -- all that work done. It was a lot of work, many months of work, public hearings, public input, 53 public comments.

Meanwhile, moving through the legislature is a bill that ended up being Gross Substitute Senate Bill 5320.

And what 5320 did is, it took the good cause rules that -- that are just going to be in place now for two



days. It's a rule that's going to be in place for two days, and I'll get to that.

What it did with that -- what it did with 6126 is, it did some housekeeping in 6126, and it provided permanent pathways for -- for military construction tying electricians out-of-state state-certified electricians, out-of-state electricians with 16,000 hours with 4,000 hours of commercial industrial experience. It -- it cleaned up a -- a limbo period that was created by no one's intention.

In order to qualify for the exam, you had to complete an apprenticeship. In order to work, you had to be a certified electrician or apprentice. So what it did is, it kind of put -- it put completing apprentices in limbo and the law corrected that.

Now you just have to complete the education and work experience requirements of an apprenticeship to qualify. So you can stay an apprentice. You get through the exam process, and -- and move on. So the law will also do that.

Well, when the law did all of this, it is now in conflict with the temporary provisions that are in the rule that just was completed. So what we -- what the Department has done is, they've turned around and they've filed an expedited rule.



Expedited rule is a little different -- different animal. It -- there's not public hearings. There's -- it's expedited. And the only thing that the expedited rule does is, it implements the exact provisions of the law. So there is no other -- there is no other editorial changes or anything made to the rule.

So that rule is going to go into effect July 1 -July 3 -- on July 3 -- July 3rd. So we've got a rule -a good cause rule that goes into effect on July 1, which
is Saturday, and on -- on Monday the -- the expedited
rule goes into effect.

So the reason that happened was -- is that you can't -- you can't amend a law that's not in effect yet. So we had to have it in effect for a day or two, and the filing dates were such that that's how it worked out, but -- so the Department will never take an action on the -- with the rule that they spent so long with developing, but that's just the way things go.

So let's see. So we've covered the expedited rulemaking. We've covered the previous rulemaking, 5320, Substitute Senate Bill 6126.

The only other I -- change I have for you is -- is just a little -- little -- just a quick comment about -- you'll see in the Electrical Currents newsletter has been published this month, but there was a law that passed



almost three years ago that -- that directed government agencies to end any practice of sharing Social Security numbers with nongovernmental agencies.

Well, we happen to use Social Security numbers to index exam dates with our -- with our testing firm, PSI. And it's -- it's done through a secured file transfer. It's done very -- very securely, but in order to -- in order to make this transition, what we've done is that we now have something called an exam unique identifier. So we're no longer going to be -- we're no longer going to be trading Social Security numbers outside the agency.

So if you see questions about that, that's what's going on. It's -- there's still a requirement -- a state and federal requirement for child support enforcement to collect Social Security numbers for anybody that we're issuing a license or certificate to. So that doesn't go away. It's just the fact that we can't send PSI out -- an exam approval file with a Social Security number linked to it, so -- very, very simple stuff.

Any questions on any of that? Yes, Bob?

BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Thank you, Larry. So you mentioned that now, for example, active military personnel can get credit for work hours towards being able to take the examination.

How about civilians that work on military



9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

installations? Do we still have a gap there where those folks' work hours do not give them credit towards eventually getting a license?

MR. VANCE: The Department is still going to -- the electrical program is still going to evaluate anyone's out-of-state experience, out-of-country experience. We're going to continue to evaluate that and

provide credit in their electrical licensing record.

So if I'm a military person -- let's say I'm a -- let's say I'm working as a -- if I'm working for a defense contractor in another country, is it a possibility that I could gain experience if there was a certified electrician there and I was working with that certified electrician.

There is a possibility, but there's some -- there's a few thresholds that we would have to see in order to give them credit in that regard. We actually have people from -- we provided hours to that are in the research stations in Antarctica, for instance, so --

BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Civilians?

MR. VANCE: Civilians, yes. So the provision that went in for military, there was always a provision in 6126 for military to be credited with hours that they could use toward completing an apprenticeship.

5320 went further. What it said was, is if you're



1	a if you've got 8,000 hours of construction battalion
2	experience as a construction battalion electrician, you
3	can qualify for the exam without completing
4	apprenticeship. So what it did is, it gave it opened
5	a door for those true military electricians.
6	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Yeah. Again,
7	those are military.
8	MR. VANCE: Yes.
9	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Active military.
10	MR. VANCE: Yes.
11	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: The people that I
12	think are still in a gap are those civilian maintenance
13	electricians that support a military installation, like
14	the joint base in Tacoma.
15	MR. VANCE: Mm-hm.
16	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Those those
17	maintenance electricians that work on that base and do
18	electrical work don't have a way to get credit for the
19	hours they spend there towards getting a Washington State
20	license for maintenance, for example. Is that still the
21	case?
22	MR. VANCE: I
23	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: I know I brought
24	this up to you several years ago, but
25	MR. VANCE: So what those electricians



are doing is that we -- as a state regulatory agency, we have to look at federal facilities as an island. And anything that goes on there, we don't -- we don't regulate whatsoever. We would treat those hours practically as out of country.

Is there -- is there a possibility that someone that had a training certificate working under the supervision of a state-certified electrician while working on that federal installation -- and when we talk about federal installations, I mean, it's Joint Base Lewis McChord, Hanford.

I mean, these are all, you know -- there are people that are getting credit for working on federal facilities that are operating within the regulatory licensing structure of Washington.

So to answer your question, is there a path for them? I see a path for them. I don't see any path for them that's anything based around a grandfathering or a, you know -- or anything to that effect, but I do see, through the regulatory, you know, steps, are you working under the supervision of a certified electrician? Are you doing all those things?

From what I understand, at least at Joint Base Lewis McChord, from their construction folks, that they require their contractors on -- working on the site to follow the



1 State's licensing guidelines. So it -- there isn't a special provision in the law 2 for it, but, again, it's just, if you hit the marks, 3 there's not a reason that we should or should not -- if you're in a completely different industry, like shipyard, 5 6 for instance, I mean, working on ships, different story because it's Coast Guard standards. It's not National 7 Electric Code. 8 It's quite different, but lot of the same parts, but 9 10 not -- it's -- it's not the electrical construction trade 11 regulated under the electrical licensing laws, so -- yep. 12 Yes. 13 BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: 14 Member Knottingham. 15 Isn't it true that they have to have experience doing new construction, not just maintenance, to get 16 credit? 17 18 MR. VANCE: They could get -- they 19 could get the credit in the maintenance -- in the maintenance specialties where they would get credit. 20 21 They -- they would be in their lane, so to speak. 22 BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: So they 23 could get up to 4,000 hours as an 07, but in order to get 24 an 01 and qualify for an 01, you would have to have



4,000 hours of new construction?

25

1	MR. VANCE: Correct. And they
2	could they could they could complete that through
3	an apprenticeship, right, if they wanted to, but the
4	thing that the law did provide, the original law, 61
5	in Gross Substitute Bill 6126 or no. It's Substitute
6	Senate Bill 6126.
7	I'll leave the front part out from now on, but what
8	it did provide is, it it provided anyone with a
9	specialty certificate to be credited with 4,000 hours
10	toward completion of an apprenticeship. It doesn't mean
11	advanced standing. It doesn't mean anything else.
12	What it means is, is there somewhere somewhere in
13	that whole apprenticeship experience, you can use those
14	hours toward completion. Could be in the middle some.
15	Could be at the end some. Could be however the
16	that's that's completely up to the candidate and the
17	apprenticeship to figure out how they want that, but
18	yeah. Yes.
19	Any other questions?
20	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Chair Jenkins.
21	Last meeting we had, you had a quite a long list
22	of bills and (inaudible). Do you happen to have those
23	(inaudible)? I had my notes out.
24	MR. VANCE: They're all dead.
25	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay.



1 MR. VANCE: They're all dead. 2 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. 3 MR. VANCE: Yes. One piece of -- one piece of legislation that does affect the electrical 4 program, it's on -- it's -- the bill number is 5187, and 5 6 on Page 312 and I believe it's on line -- it's on Subsection 3, there is a carve-out out of the electrical 7 licensing fund for \$6.7 million, and it is for the 8 9 expressed purposes of wages for electrical inspectors, 10 lead electrical inspectors, supervisors, technical 11 specialists, in other words, everybody in the inspection 12 chain. 13 And it's -- it's a biennial carve-out, so it only 14 lasts two years. So half of that \$6.7 million will be 15 distributed each year, but what that does is, it provides 16 a -- how they describe it is, it provides for recruitment and retention of electrical inspectors. So while it's 17 18 not permanent, it is a -- it's a very substantial step. 19 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you. 20 MR. VANCE: The governor has not yet 21 signed the bill. It -- it passed the legislature within 22 the last five days of session, so the governor has 23 20 days to sign it. So it's a no new taxes budget. 24 From -- it's my understanding, it's not 25 controversial. I don't see any reason that -- I don't Page 138



1	have any if I was looking at a glass ball, I'd think
2	that that I don't see any controversy with the
3	budget, so
4	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very
5	much.
6	MR. VANCE: Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Question here?
8	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Board Member
9	Baker.
10	So the compensation for the staff, the \$6.7 million,
11	where is that money coming from?
12	MR. VANCE: It comes out of the
13	electrical licensing fund, out of the electrical the
14	bloated electrical fund. So appropriation of spending.
15	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: It's out of our
16	current \$16 million fund?
17	MR. VANCE: Yes.
18	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Okay.
19	MR. VANCE: Yes.
20	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: So we're still
21	funding it?
22	MR. VANCE: Yes.
23	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Okay. And how
24	does it get allocated? How do you determine the
25	allocation?
	Page 139



1	MR. VANCE: It's going to be
2	collectively bargained.
3	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Okay.
4	MR. VANCE: Yes.
5	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Thank you.
6	MR. VANCE: Yeah. Yep. So
7	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any more
8	questions?
9	MR. VANCE: More to come on that,
10	so yeah.
11	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any more
12	questions from the board for Technical Specialist Larry
13	Vance?
14	MR. VANCE: Thank you very much.
15	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right.
16	Thank you. All right.
17	Moving on to our next item, looks like we are at the
18	secretary's report with Wayne Molesworth. (Inaudible.)
19	
20	SECRETARY'S REPORT
21	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: We'll work on
22	that.
23	Mr. Chair, board, thank you for allowing me to read
24	the secretary's report into the record. We'll start with
25	the budget. The electrical fund balance on March 31st,
	Page 140



2023, was \$16,522,840, which is about seven times the average monthly operating expenditures.

The average monthly operating expenditures for third quarter of fiscal year 2023 was \$2,486,725 compared to \$2,222,765 for the same period of last year.

This is an increase of about 12 percent. Average monthly revenue for the first quarter of fiscal year 2023 was \$2,670,388 compared to \$2,642,862 for the same period last year, an increase of about 1 percent.

March 2023, customer service, we had -- 42,814 permits were sold last quarter. 98.6 percent, or 42,199, were processed online, which is the same from last quarter. 99.8 percent of contractor permits are sold online, which is consistent with the previous quarter. Can't get much better.

Homeowners' online sales for this quarter is
83.8 percent, which is a 1.5 percent decrease from the
previous quarter. Online inspection requests is
76.8 percent, which is a .3 percent decrease from last
quarter. During this quarter, customers made
90.6 percent of all electrical license renewals online,
which is a .9 percent decrease from last quarter.

Key performance measures, percent of inspections performed within 24 hours of request, goal is 86 percent. In 2022, it was 76 percent. In this period, it's



78 percent, so an increase of 2 percent.

Percentage of inspections performed within 48 hours of request, 89 percent last year. This year it's 90 percent. So we're back up in the 90s, which was a consideration from -- during COVID, where we weren't able to do that.

Total inspections performed, 61,770 last year, and this year it was 62,970. Virtual electrical inspections performed, last year at this time, 6,870; this period this year, 10,344.

Number of focus citations and warnings, contractor licensing, worker certification, no permit, failing to supervise trainees, anticipated total number is 4,136. The field last year did 516, ECORE and I did 1,255, for a total of 1,771. Field -- this last year was 263 for this period, ECORE audit was 1,085, and the total of 1,348.

Inspection stops per inspector per day was 11.4 last year, and this same period this year at 10.9.

Serious electrical corrections that would result in disconnection, 8,775 last year at this time. This year is 8,573.

Turnaround time for average plan set review, goal is 1.6 weeks. This is encouraging. Last year was two days. We're down to one day, the same time frame.

Plan pages reviewed all electronically, 1,084 last
Page 142



year at this time. This is part of the reason for the one day. We only had 400 pages come through in plan review this quarter.

Percent of warnings by focused violation type, licensing was 9 percent; certification, 23 percent; permits, 63 percent; trainee supervision, 5 percent; all focus citation warnings were 4.2 percent.

We're currently looking at our warnings and determining if -- how we are applying warnings is actually making a difference. Electrical licensing citations, amusement rides, and appeal section, as of 4/10/23, there were a total of 783 items to be processed. The oldest item is dated 3/2 -- I'm sorry -- March 2nd, 2023.

The majority of our workload is closer to

March 17th, 2023. 606 of these are affidavits. We are
seeing an increase in the number of affidavits being
submitted almost likely due to the July 1, 2023, law
change having to do with apprenticeship.

Electrical trainees are submitting (inaudible) the 01 general category to ensure they are recorded prior to this date. In addition to processing documents, licensing staff are responsible for answering all phone calls, electrical program phone calls, for the time frame between January 1, 2023, to March 31st, 2023. They



answered a total of 6,230 calls, not including calls coming into their direct lines.

This is an average of 2,076 calls per month, 519 per week, 103 per day, and 12 per hour. This does not include outbound calls being made to customers. Current staffing allows for two representatives to be available at a time to answer calls.

Licensing team is also asked -- or tasked with updating standard work and training staff due to law changes that take place July 1, 2023. We have a team who has been working diligently to map these changes, including the implementation of the changes to standard work and a training plan.

Changes are being communicated with staff, and training will be implemented as we move closer to the 7/1/2023 update -- or date. This has to do with the apprenticeship implementation.

Recently the tax service representative positions and citations and amusement rides were reallocated to programs specialist positions, which aligns with the appeal position. This provides a growth and development opportunity within the program, including a promotional opportunity.

This reallocation was needed not only to ensure the type of work performed in these positions as properly



documented, but also to ensure the level of knowledge required for these positions is acknowledged.

We have a dedicated manager for these citations, amusement rides and appeals positions. Jen Dietrich has taken on this role. She will be working with this group as we hire to fill positions, streamline workflow, and cross-train employees in these positions. The interview process is underway to fill two vacancies in that area.

In this area of the tax service representatives that were reallocated, we reallocated them also so that we could cross-train them with the person that does the board work, and so that if we have people that leave, if we have people on vacation, we can cover those areas. Whereas, before, we only had one individual to do the work. Jen has been doing a wonderful job creating standard work for that position and moving it forward.

Okay. Testing labs, no new testing labs to report.

Larry has already spoken to 5320, so I'm going to bypass that.

And that leads us to other program updates. So I -I should have probably done this earlier today when they
were all here, but if you remember seeing a group of guys
in the back corner over here, some of them are still
here. You guys can raise your hand if you're a
supervisor, technical specialist, inspectors.



We had a group of them here so that -- we think it's important that they see the activity on this board, what goes on behind the scenes, and actually for a lot of them, it's succession planning so that they can prepare to know what all the different parts of this program include. That's been a (inaudible).

I -- also we've got a new project going that I want to bring to your attention called the Fresh Look Reconsideration Project. This is just for your awareness.

We just started this project. It's a project to take a look at when we deny worker hours to go towards examinations. We're put something in place or working to put something in place that allows a second look at hours that we actually deny.

And what we found in preparation for this in our data was that the reporting data was data that was pulled together for denial, so the more hours you denied, the better it looked. Right? Does that sound right to anybody? Didn't to me.

And so we changed that, and what was happening in some cases was, we were getting audits that would have out-of-state people applying to -- for examination. We would find the 8,000 hours they needed, but they submitted 32,000 hours. We would consider the rest of



those hours denied. Right? We don't need to do that.

They just don't apply.

So we're taking the 8,000 we found, and the denials are not applied because there's nothing there. Now, if we couldn't reach the 8,000, then we would talk about all the hours that we actually denied because we weren't able to meet that -- that point. Right?

So it's brought a lot of different things to our attention as to how we were doing things, how we were measuring things, and -- and hopefully this won't be an issue because we get very few of these.

We do reconsider -- I reconsider at the present time, but they're looking for actually another avenue as well, so that we can give everybody a voice and give them the opportunity to have somebody else here.

So that's what the First Look Reconsideration, or the FLR, project is all about, in case you heard about it out there. Okay.

I would like to take this time to introduce you guys -- and some of you have already met him, but back in the corner here is Brian Hornback. Brian is who I directly report to.

He is the assistant director of field services and public safety, and so I'd like to just turn it over to Brian for a couple minutes to introduce himself, talk a



little bit.

And go, Brian.

MR. HORNBACK: So since this is my first board meeting, I'll try and follow the protocol.

And I'm Brian Hornback, deputy assistant director for field services public safety. Name is spelled B-r-i-n -- B-r-i-a-n. Last name is H-o-r-n-b-a-c-k.

For those of you who are interested, I'll leave some contact cards with Wayne so you get my business phone number if anybody wants to give me a holler for anything.

So I really don't want to spend a whole lot of your time just with the hi/hello thing. More importantly, it's about first off, thank you. I sat here today -- this is my first board meeting obviously -- and listened to the process you go through with appeals and recognize the hard work that that takes to really try and get it right for somebody.

So appreciate the board, appreciate the partnership, the advice and counsel that you guys provide to Wayne as we go through everything from the legislative session that -- you know, that Larry and Randy and Lauren did such a great job with this year.

But that doesn't help -- doesn't happen without a good partnership, so really just want to say thank you for -- for that.



And I always want to be available to help, you know, the goal of advancing the profession and making sure that the consumers are protected, that the profession is -- is cared for as well, and that's -- that's a subject that's near and dear to me and Steve Reinmuth, my boss, who is a predecessor to John Barnes a lot of years ago.

The electrical program was the first -- first program he represented as an AG, so he still has a soft spot for it. Again, just want to be -- we want to be good partners and thank you for that.

Real quick, touch on the Fresh Look Reconsideration project that Wayne talked about. I think it's important to acknowledge, we've got huge confidence in the electrical program and Wayne's leadership and in the decisions made, but also recognizing that you're talking about somebody's livelihood.

So, you know, it's not about, did we get it right or not. It's about, you know, how close to perfect can we get, and sometimes it's not a matter of we made an improper decision. It's a matter of somebody didn't give us the information we needed, so let's give them a second bite at that apple and another opportunity to provide that information to us to make sure we got it right.

So like I said, great confidence that the decisions being made by our staff are really good with the



1 information being provided, but did we always have it 2 right? Let's make sure we've got an opportunity for 3 that. So don't have a whole lot else and don't want to spend a whole bunch of your time, but if there's a 5 6 question or anything that you would like to ask from the 7 leadership team, please feel free. And if not, I'll step off this stage, so to speak, and let you have your 8 9 meeting back. 10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, 11 Brian. 12 MR. HORNBACK: You bet. Thank you. 13 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Brian is a 14 great guy to work for, so he helps us out. And things 15 that I bring to him, he promotes to the best of his ability within the parameters that we have to work in, so 16 17 it's -- it's a good partnership for our program and the 18 leadership that we have. So we've not always been so 19 lucky. Right? So -- at times. 20 So just to wrap it up, are there any questions from 21 the board members? 22 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Board Member Don 23 Baker? 24 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Board Member 25 Baker.



1 I would be remiss if I didn't touch on our \$6.5 million funds that are going towards our inspectors. 2 So maybe you could expand on that a little bit, on how 3 you see that, directly or indirectly, helping that retention and recruitment that we've been talking about 5 6 to the point of nauseam with this group. Right? 7 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Right. Right. BOARD MEMBER BAKER: And I quess we 8 all shouldn't be surprised that maybe next quarter we're 9 10 going to see that that balance drop by \$6 million; right? 11 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: 12 instantly, right, because it --13 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: (Inaudible.) 14 (Simultaneous speaking.) 15 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: -- per paid 16 period, so it will allocate it as we go through the 17 process. 18 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Yeah. And part 19 of that question includes, where are you currently -- how many outstanding, you know, job openings do we have? 20 21 What are you seeing for -- yeah. 22 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So right now 23 we're sitting at about 13 percent vacancy. I'm trying to 24 think of the exact number we have for open positions, and 25 I think you're probably sitting at about 16 to 18 open Page 151



positions for that.

As far as the 6.5, HR and our budget program will actually -- number one, HR will bargain it, but they will work together to find out how do they apply that to each classification, keeping the same spread between inspectors, leads, and supervisors so that they can come up with a good number. There's a series of different things that we have to be aware of as we go forward with it.

How will this affect the budget, as far as future buyouts? You know, when you take a big raise, it's not always about the big raise. It's how do we apply it to the rest of the benefit package and that type of thing.

So I've been asked several times, what's my job in this? My job is to make sure that we have a healthy budget after some of these decisions and identify those things that may be a hindrance to keeping a healthy program fund.

My goal -- and I've talked just briefly with Brian and Steve about this, but my goal is to make sure that when we come up with minimum operating expenses, 22 positions it looks like overall. And that's with supervisors, leads, and individuals.

So I was off.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Twenty-two



1	vacancies in the department?
	-
2	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yes.
3	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay.
4	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: And so so
5	when we when we look at this, we have to really be,
6	you know, very careful not to overspend, and the goal for
7	me is to get to where we know exactly what our fund has
8	to maintain from the board's perspective.
9	Right now it's three months of operating expenses,
10	which is around 7 point something million. When we get
11	this raise, that's going to absolutely have to increase.
12	Correct?
13	And so now if we keep that at you know, let's
14	let's estimate it's going to be about, you know, 8
15	9 9 million. Let's estimate. Now we've got to keep
16	9 million in that fund all the time.
17	The goal is to balance that with fee increases and
18	vacancies that we have to make sure that our fund isn't
19	growing at such a rapid rate. This last year we put
20	\$8 million in the bank in one biennium; right? So that
21	more than covers the 6.5, but that's not all that's
22	there. Right? There's a lot of peripheral things that
23	come into play with the budget.
24	And so we just have to get that all calculated,
25	understand what our expectations are for the board, and



1 then have a plan for only increasing fees when we need to, to maintain the budget instead of having it exceed 2 because what happens when we exceed it as much as we are 3 now -- this is kind of a two-sided coin here. Now we don't have to worry about getting raided. 5 6 Right? Doesn't mean (inaudible) when we lost the 8 million to the leg, that they just took it, and so now, 7 if we maintain it properly, we won't have to worry about 8 9 that, but we'll still maintain the growth in the fund. 10 It's healthy and keeps it at a stable rate. 11 So there's still a lot of work to do. It's not 12 really all that easy. (Inaudible.) 13 Did I answer --14 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Yeah. I have 15 another question or comment on that conversation. I have 16 a different question. So 22 current vacancies, which (inaudible) fair 17 18 question (inaudible) answer, but how many inspectors do you expect to lose to retirement this year? 19 20 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So I don't know 21 that right offhand. It's a hard number to come up with. 22 We have -- you know, we have several that are in that 23 category. You know, I could have went last year, but you 24 just don't know. Anticipated -- you know, I could say that, if I was 25



to anticipate what we're going to do, you know, this next year, we might see 10 percent of staff as a whole that might retire.

I think that with this, if it's -- if it comes out to be as good as we think, because we're still just kind of estimating, I think it might even delay some of that. I think that people might decide, well, it's worth hanging out and then we put into play more of a process where we're out there recruiting, changing our hiring processes a little bit so we can hire sooner and quicker.

It's been suggested that we look at having a part-time recruiter, face-to-face recruiter, that can come and talk to trade organizations and promote our jobs that way, which I think is an excellent idea.

So hopefully, when we have vacancies, it won't be quite so long to fill them. You know, we're estimating we're going to be at the top of the scale instead of the next to the last of the scale because right now we're set -- we're next to the last as far as wages in the state, you know, with all the municipalities.

So this will bring us right up to where we're within one or two of the top or more. We just don't know.

There's a lot of different things going on.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: So different question, Question No. 2, and then I'll be done. So



1 you've been reporting, I think for a year or two, on plan review and the goal (inaudible) week and a half, two 2 weeks, and you're always like a day or two days 3 (inaudible), how in the world are you doing that? And in the private sector, if we were doing that, we'd be 5 6 looking at moving personnel to different places and --7 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yeah. BOARD MEMBER BAKER: How is that 8 9 possible, you can do plan review in a day? 10 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: It's -- it's 11 the size of the plan review, number one; right? what are the size of the jobs? How fast can they do 12 13 If we're getting a lot of smaller jobs, right now 14 it's probably pretty easy to move those through. 15 Mostly we can -- we give the credit to electronic plan review. Used to be we moved plans back and forth. 16 Right? And we wait and we wait and that all counts as 17 18 part of the time, and now everything is electronic. 19 have a much better communication. And, you know, I give all the credit to Joel 20 21 Vankovich (phonetic), who is the supervisor there. He --22 he really has done a great job of implementing it, 23 getting everybody on board, doing the outreach with 24 everybody, and saying, you know, "Here, let's do it quick



and give you what you need."

25

1 There are jobs that take longer. You know, this is an average, of course, but it just depends on the type of 2 jobs I see coming through, but, you know, average when a 3 plan comes in and they can get it done in a day, that just tells me they're a little bit smaller. And he's 5 6 kind of told me that occasionally, you know. They're not getting the huge jobs anymore. 7 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: So should you 8 9 change the goal? I don't -- I 10 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: 11 don't really -- you know, I want to be careful of that. Because of the size of the jobs --12 13 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: (Inaudible.) 14 (Simultaneous speaking.) 15 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Now we've 16 got -- now we've got nowhere to make that goal, right, if we decrease it too much. I think what we do need -- what 17 18 we do need to do, though, is, we need to take a look at 19 history and see over the past two or three years, what have been the size of these plan reviews, what have we 20 21 averaged, you know, once we started the electronic plan 22 review, and get a good idea of the different types of 23 jobs that are there and then adjust -- adjust from there, 24 knowing that, if we have a sudden inrush of, you know, the State is going to fund, you know, rebuilding 40 25



schools across the state, that we understand that that goal might not be met at that time.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Yeah.

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: But, you know, goals are that thing where we shoot for and -- and we did change the goal for ECORE. We raised it because they were -- they were down around -- their goal was 14,000 -- or 1,400, and they were up around 3,000, and so we changed that.

We talked with ECORE guys and said, "Hey, let's give ourselves something to shoot for here." This is not applicable anymore. It's been there for two or three years going that way, and, you know, they changed it.

They're just happy as can be with changing it, and they're still meeting and exceeding that goal, so I think we have to look at goals too, as a way to keep morale up with -- with staff and not give them stuff that that's not attainable and make sure that it's attainable and that they can meet those things and be proud when they meet them because it's not real easy, but -- but it's also, you know, not -- not as hard as we could make it.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Well, knowing that it's the average, right, you're going to have some -- high schools or hospitals are going to take longer. You have a bunch of small ones, it's going to



1	bring the average down, so that makes sense.
2	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: They might do
3	four of these in a day for the smaller, so
4	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Yeah. Thank you.
5	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yep. You're
6	welcome.
7	BOARD MEMBER CUNNINGHAM: Board Member
8	Cunningham.
9	This this subject is very close obviously to my
10	professional practice. We've seen it over about a
11	five-year period. First it was the electronic I
12	believe transmit plans electronically. That should delay
13	the time turnaround time quite a bit, but actually the
14	payment, the ability to exchange payment to get that all
15	sped up within the last two years, and so it's fantastic.
16	We have a little some of the engineers in our
17	office have a friendly competition to see how short they
18	can get the plan review time down. I think part of it
19	is, they don't have a lot of volume right now.
20	Lot of really large projects that might have
21	hundreds of sheets are happening inside the cities. So
22	they're not even going through plan review at the state
23	level. I think it's great.
24	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Thanks. I
25	appreciate that.



You actually said something that reminded me of something I want the board to know, that we're actually looking at some municipalities that may or may not be meeting all the requirements of equal to or better than, and what we're finding is, we found a few of them that aren't doing plan review but sending their plan review to the State, and that's not the intent.

Our workload should not include their permits, right, in their plan review. So we're talking to those municipalities about making sure that they have all the elements of a program in order to qualify to have their own jurisdiction, so going down that road.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Chair Jenkins.

Does anybody have any questions for Secretary Wayne Molesworth?

BOARD MEMBER COX: Board Member Cox.

To your point you just made, those municipalities that do pass them on for plan review to the State, what do you do with those? Do you run them?

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Well, we have in the past because they haven't really been looking at the -- at the -- you know, at the -- where they're at, but we're also told that they've been sending them back and the everyday inspectors in these municipalities do them.



1	BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay.
2	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: They don't have
3	a separate plan review. We don't care if they have a
4	separate plan review as long as their inspectors are
5	actually doing a plan review.
6	BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay.
7	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: But we had to
8	have some that sneak through and then but when I was
9	talking to a few of the different guys, they said that,
10	"No. We normally we would send them right back to
11	them and say, 'Nope, on you.'"
12	BOARD MEMBER COX: Thank you.
13	
14	CERTIFICATION/CEU QUARTERLY REPORT
15	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right.
16	Chair Jenkins.
17	I notice that our next list here is certificated
18	certificated Larry, are you anything else you want
19	to bring up?
20	MR. VANCE: I already covered that.
21	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: That's what I
22	figured, but thought I'd try and (inaudible).
23	MR. VANCE: Nope. Thank you very
24	much.
25	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Yeah. It's one Page 161



of those days.

We did have a request. Did you want to --

BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Sure. Yeah.

Thank you, Mr. Chair. Board Member Gray, G-r-a-y.

And I just wanted to make everyone aware that there is an effort to reformat the National Electrical Code. It's a project that's going to go on for probably two cycles with a goal to have it published with the new format in 2029 edition.

And one of the concerns I have is that there is not a vehicle for getting a lot of public input into opinions on -- on this effort because there won't be public inputs to it. It will all be done internal with the code making panels in the quarterly committee.

It actually -- it is a campaign that's led by the NEC correlating committee. So I want to kind of get on the record so we get the word out that if any of the stakeholders do have an opinion or comment on what's going on, that they are aware of it.

I'm sure there will be a lot more information in the future, but right now the task groups are being formed, and we're actually starting the work of -- of reforming that document.

But the argument is that it has not had a full-scale reformatting since 1937, and one of the goals is to break



out some of the articles into more articles, so it will expand the subjects and topics to more articles.

For example, the one I'm most familiar with is, I sat on code making panel 5, which is grounding and bonding, and two of the task groups that are working on that would collect medium voltage grounding and bonding and put it in a separate article proposed as Article 350 or -- yeah. 350 would be a new article covering medium voltage grounding and bonding.

There's another task group that's working on limited voltage of grounding and bonding. So it's to kind of separate some of those topics out of the existing articles.

So, anyway, I just asked the chair if I could just take a moment just to bring that to people's attention.

And if you are interested, there's -- or want to comment to (inaudible), you be aware that that's going on. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT REGARDING ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. So

Chair Jason Jenkins.

Do we have anybody here for public comments? No one signed in, but does anyone want to speak on public

25 | comment?



1	All right. Given that, last thing I was going to
2	bring up is TAC. Is
3	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Go ahead and
4	come back to me.
5	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: TAC is something
6	that the board appoints or is it something that the I
7	think (inaudible)?
8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. So the
9	board has members that can apply to the TAC, and we have
10	seats available for them. And the Department has two
11	seats, as well as supervisor and inspector seat, but
12	those would be coming up.
13	Larry, do you remember the due date?
14	MR. VANCE: May 20th.
15	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: May 20th. So
16	apply by then. We'll the program actually reviews
17	those applications and and chooses the TAC.
18	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: So it's not
19	appointed by the board then?
20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No.
21	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. Just
22	making sure. Had people ask about that and I wasn't
23	sure.
24	So if you're interested in doing so, you have until
25	April 20th [sic] to apply to the TAC committee. So thank
	Page 164



you.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. I just have one other thing I wanted to touch base with you guys. This last go-around with the board packets and the appeal packets, you got electronic, right, box. That was a brainchild of Jen and her staff, and -- and the -- the important part of that is that -- is that we did a review of the cost of printing one hundred and --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Fifty.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- fifty
thousand dollars to print board packets for you. Crazy.
We can't afford that. And so -- so it takes a lot of
time. That's just -- that's just the printing cost of
the paper. That wasn't our staff time.

And so it's -- it's very expensive. We want to help you guys in any way we can. Any suggestions on how to format it better, you know, make sure and let us know.

I just want to let you know that from a board -- or from a program perspective, it's -- it's a cost that we're going to have a hard time maintaining and absorbing, especially with staffing and the staffing time that goes into it.

So I've had some good input from some of you that have told me they appreciate it. There's ways to make notes and everything in it, but if you need any help, I



know Jen had helped a few of you get started, which is great. She's always available.

Don't call me because I'm terrible at everything.

So -- but any comments about the electronic board packets or changes we need to make (inaudible) because that's probably where we -- yeah.

BOARD MEMBER COX: Board Member Cox commenting.

I appreciate embedding the credentials so we don't have to log in. Makes it really easy to just click right on it and get to it, and I don't have to remember what my password is, so thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Chair Jenkins.

Any other questions, comments, concerns with (inaudible) very beginning. Don't forget we have a special meeting on June 1st and 2nd probably. Please let me know if you cannot attend that. I much appreciate it. We really like to make sure we retain a quorum for that. Much appreciated.

And we still have our regular scheduled board meeting on July 27th. So don't forget those two, and, once again, I really, really appreciate you guys -- and that's scheduled in Pasco with more details to come, so (inaudible).

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)



1	(Inaudible background
2	speaking.)
3	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Oh, so, hey,
4	heads up, Vancouver for the next regularly scheduled
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pasco is very
6	warm in July, so that's good.
7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Where is the
8	June 1st meeting being held?
9	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: That is in
10	Olympia.
11	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: At the Olympia
12	Hotel.
13	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: (Inaudible.)
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Olympia Hotel
15	at Capitol Lake.
16	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Olympia Hotel at
17	Capitol Lake.
18	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And that is
19	scheduled to the first meeting, if it goes two days,
20	the first meeting will end at what time?
21	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: About 5:00.
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We only have
23	the room until 5:00.
24	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I have a hard
25	stop at 3:00.
	Page 167



1	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Well, we will
2	play it by ear. Thank you.
3	BOARD MEMBER COX: Board Member Cox.
4	And what is the evidentiary hearing regarding again,
5	please?
6	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: It is about the
7	Anacortes
8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Anacortes
9	sorry.
10	MR. BLOHOWIAK: This is Ben Blohowiak,
11	for the record.
12	It's about the Anacortes (inaudible) ferries, and so
13	there was a packet and this started at the electrical
14	board, moved to Superior Court, got sent back to the
15	board from Superior Court, and so we have an original
16	hearing.
17	Jen, when I talked to her she's been great since
18	she's become part of the program has been we have
19	put together the packet. I believe that was sent out to
20	the members for you to start reviewing.
21	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Tomorrow.
22	MR. BLOHOWIAK: Tomorrow.
23	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's why I
24	haven't seen it.
25	MR. BLOHOWIAK: Yeah. You'll get the
	Page 168



1	packet, but we also we have an administrative law
2	judge that we've asked to come oversee that hearing, so
3	any because there will be live witness testimony.
4	There could be objections, you know, (inaudible) hearsay,
5	you know, all those sort of things.
6	So there will be an administrative law judge there
7	to make rulings on the evidence, but you, as the members,
8	will make the final decision on the merits on whatever
9	record is created by the parties (inaudible)
10	administrative law judge.
11	BOARD MEMBER COX: Thank you.
12	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: And this is
13	based on the original reason for the appeal, not on the
14	merits (inaudible) wasn't part of it; right?
15	MR. BLOHOWIAK: Yes. And who are you,
16	for the record?
17	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Oh, I'm sorry.
18	Wayne Molesworth, for the record.
19	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. Any
20	other questions? Chair Jenkins.
21	Any other questions, comments, concerns for the
22	board itself? All right. Given that, the board the
23	chair would entertain a motion to end the meeting.
24	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Board Member Nord.
25	Motion.



```
CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: We have a
 1
     motion.
 2
 3
                        BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM:
                                                     Second.
                        CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: We have a motion
 4
     from Jack Knottingham and the (inaudible) signify by
 5
 6
     saying aye.
 7
                        BOARD MEMBERS:
                                         Aye.
 8
                        CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Opposed?
 9
     Passes.
                                 (End of the recording.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                          Page 170
```



1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, ANDREA L. CLEVENGER, a Certified Stenographic
4	Court Reporter, of the State of Washington, do hereby
5	certify that the foregoing proceedings were recorded and
6	that I was not present at the proceedings; that I was
7	requested to transcribe the recorded proceedings; that the
8	recording was transcribed stenographically and reduced to
9	typewriting under my direction.
10	I further certify that the foregoing transcript of
11	the recorded proceedings, consisting of Pages 1 through 171,
12	is, to the best of my ability, a full, true, and accurate
13	transcript of all discernible and audible remarks.
14	Dated and signed this 15th day of May, 2023.
15	
16	anchen Z Clevenger
17	Andrea L. Clevenger, CCR No. 3041
18	(Certified Stenographic Court Reporter)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



Index: \$10026
19.20.41 68:17
19.28.041 54:16 65:14 70:25 72:13
19.28.400 77:23 89:18
19.28.41 64:19
19.28.420 54:17 65:15 72:13
1937 162:25
194 102:6
1:15 127:13
1:20 127:17
1st 4:8 122:11 166:16 167:8
2
2 72:1 93:12,13 142:1 155:25
2,076 144:3
20 26:15 105:11 117:10 138:23
200 108:4
2017 88:11 119:4
2018 78:12,21 81:24 118:17 129:14
2019 75:2 78:12 119:12,21 121:20 122:11
2020 10:12 106:12 119:12,21 121:20 122:5,12
2022 8:10 10:8 74:23 92:5 106:9 141:25
2023 3:2,15 4:9 8:6 10:5 106:5 129:18 141:1,4,7,10 143:14,16,18, 25 144:10
0000 400 0
2029 162:9
20th 164:14,15,25

\$	
\$100 109:4	
\$16 139:16	
\$16,522,840 141:1	
\$2,222,765 141:5	
\$2,486,725 141:4	
\$2,642,862 141:8	
\$2,670,388 141:8	
\$20,000 22:22	
\$6 151:10	
\$6.5 151:2	
\$6.7 138:8,14 139:10	
\$8 153:20	
0	

01 108:8 110:24 128:17,18 129:10 136:24 143:21 **01241** 74:16 **02-2021-LI-01613** 10:2 **05-2020-LI-01434** 106:2 **05-2020-LI-01435** 106:3 **06** 44:14 82:2 **07** 136:23 1

1 27:14 64:19 93:12 129:18 131:7,9 141:9 143:18,25 144:10 1,084 142:25 **1,085** 142:16 **1,255** 142:14 1,348 142:16 **1,400** 158:8 **1,771** 142:15 **1.5** 141:17

1.6 142:23 **10** 155:2 10,344 142:10 10.9 142:18 **10/28** 119:4 10/28/2019 119:3 **100** 35:2 99:7.14 **103** 144:4 **10:30** 62:17 10:33 62:20 **10:52** 74:20 **11.4** 142:17 **11:40** 105:12 **11:47** 106:6 **12** 141:6 144:4 12-2019-LI-01240 74:16 **12-2019-LI-1** 74:16 **120-volt** 92:17,19 **126** 115:19 **12:15** 127:7 **13** 151:23 13A 77:24 90:3 **13C** 89:18 **14** 60:10 **14,000** 158:7 **145** 86:5 **146** 86:5 **15** 11:12 75:24 **15-minute** 27:2 62:14 **1554** 71:19,25 72:6 **16** 151:25 **16,000** 130:7

16th 75:2 17th 143:16 **18** 118:12 122:5 151:25 **19** 117:10 118:12,19

800.407.0148

227 101:23

24 141:24

249 90:12

26 8:6 112:23

22nd 10:11 74:23 92:4

23 119:12,21 143:5

263 142:15 **4.19** 114:21 **6.2** 32:18 66:12 26th 8:10 **4.2** 143:7 6.3 66:12,18 **27** 3:2 106:5 4.24 114:21 **6.5** 152:2 153:21 **27th** 3:15 4:15 10:5 74:19 106:9 **4/10/23** 143:12 606 143:16 127:17 166:21 40 56:15 157:25 **61** 137:4 **28.41** 66:16 **400** 143:2 **61,770** 142:7 **28.420** 66:18 **41** 32:16 **6126** 129:13 130:3,4 131:21 133:23 **29** 112:16,17,19,21 113:15 115:25 137:5,6 42,199 141:11 116:17,22 122:15 124:19 **62,970** 142:8 **42,814** 141:10 **296-46b-915(12)(d)** 108:25 **63** 143:6 **46** 99:22 29th 113:10 **65** 128:22 129:3 **48** 142:2 **2nd** 4:9 118:17 143:13 166:16 6th 10:8 24:20 25:20 122:4 **4A** 66:21 67:21 3 7 5 **3** 67:7,9,15 68:11 122:4 131:8 138:7 **7** 153:10 141:19 **5** 27:22 143:6 163:4 **7/1/2023** 144:16 **3,000** 12:15 158:8 **5.1** 91:24 114:24 **76** 141:25 **3/2** 143:13 **5.11** 92:2 **76.8** 141:19 **30** 91:5 121:20 **5.15** 91:24 92:2 **78** 142:1 **30th** 106:12 119:21 122:12 **5.16** 91:24 92:3 **783** 143:12 **31** 29:18 **5.18** 114:24 **312** 97:20 138:6 **5.19** 114:24 8 **31st** 140:25 143:25 **51** 129:7 8 153:14 154:7 32,000 146:25 **512** 102:2 **8,000** 134:1 146:24 147:3,5 **327** 98:16 **516** 142:14 8,573 142:21 **350** 163:7,8 **5187** 138:5 **8,775** 142:20 **3:00** 167:25 **519** 144:3 **83.8** 141:17 3rd 131:8 **53** 29:21 129:21 86 141:24 **5320** 129:23,24 131:20 133:25 4 145:18 89 142:3 **57** 107:5 **4** 119:17 127:19 9 **5:00** 167:21,23 **4,000** 130:8 136:23,25 137:9 **9** 141:22 143:5 153:15,16 **4,136** 142:13 6 90 142:4 **4.1** 114:20 116:5 6 27:22 90.6 141:21 **4.10** 120:2 121:19,25 122:10 **6,230** 144:1 90s 142:4 **4.17** 114:20 6,870 142:9 98.6 141:11 **4.18** 115:9



Index: 263..98.6

99.8 141:13 9:02 3:2,16

9:08 10:5 9th 75:2

Α

A-L-E-X 9:22 12:5 A-N-G-E-L-A 83:21

a.m. 3:3.16 10:5 74:20

AAG 83:13 104:7

ability 42:14 150:16 159:14

ABS 109:24 **absence** 124:21

absolutely 21:4 25:23 87:6 153:11

absorbing 165:21 accept 112:2

acceptable 36:18

access 99:9

accessories 89:9,11 accidentally 81:25 accompanied 107:4

accountable 82:21

accounts 15:4

accurate 38:8 71:12 81:25

accurately 113:20,22

acknowledge 149:13

acknowledged 145:2

act 11:1 40:9,12 acted 35:23 91:8

acting 31:7 48:22

action 10:18 131:16

actions 10:22 activate 109:21

activating 110:23

active 124:7 132:22 134:9

activities 33:3

activity 146:2

actual 32:13 44:4 60:25 61:12 65:10

70:4 71:10 119:16 129:5

adapter 98:5,9,10

addition 22:9 35:13 143:22

additional 18:15 23:16 25:13 30:6 72:21 87:23 104:14 126:16

address 41:18 48:20 115:7 124:16

addressed 94:18 addresses 101:12 addressing 115:5

adhere 35:8 adhering 124:18

adjourn 104:11 127:11

adjust 157:23 admin 34:6 82:2

administrative 10:7,24,25 11:7 13:2.3 18:1 20:11 23:19 24:10.17.25 25:5 26:2 66:13 69:8 74:22 106:8 114:25 169:1,6,10

administrator 108:6 109:3 112:24, 25 113:18 114:4

administrator's 113:24

admission 82:19

admit 111:14 admitted 112:22

adopted 115:4

advanced 82:12 137:11

advancing 149:2 adverse 10:18 advertise 65:22

advertised 48:24 68:15

advertising 30:20

advice 148:19

advise 10:15 64:20 68:1 73:4

104:21 126:23

advised 72:23 104:15 126:18

advisory 83:6

affect 138:4 152:10

affidavits 143:16,17

affirm 63:2 82:23,25 87:25 92:6

Index: 99.8..allocation

102:17 116:25 125:23

affirmed 10:9 74:24 91:17 106:10

116:1 126:8

affirming 102:24 116:4 126:5

afford 165:12 **afraid** 70:18

AG 41:19 109:5 149:8

agencies 20:18 49:22 132:2,3

agency 6:22 42:18 80:4 132:11 135:1

agenda 6:2 9:5 74:3 163:20

aggregator 44:21

agree 31:20 35:2,22 41:23 43:11 48:6 99:7,14 100:2 109:13 111:6 113:3

agreeance 100:10

agreed 29:5 73:2 90:10 104:12,19 126:15,21

agreeing 18:21 25:9

agreement 18:6,16,17 21:4 32:13 69:4 72:22,24 73:7,14 104:14,16,24 126:17,18,25

agreements 73:13

agrees 115:14

ahead 6:25 27:9 42:3 117:25 164:3

AHJ 63:2.3 **AHJ's** 65:2,6,9

Airway 77:7 78:12 81:23 85:20 86:3 91:9,13,20

Alex 9:21 12:4 68:18 70:20 72:20

alian 48:16 aligns 144:20

ALJ 11:1,9,18

ALJ's 126:6

allocate 151:16

allocation 139:25



allowance 129:16 appealing 15:17 66:8 106:22 area 145:8,9 allowing 140:23 appeals 9:1,4 10:17 11:2 123:8 areas 99:12 145:13 145:4 148:15 Alred 79:20 123:2 argue 11:13 29:16 38:24 39:4 60:17 appears 33:8 87:6 94:17 122:14 Alred's 122:10 appellant 10:12 75:3 92:15 96:5 argues 89:3,17,21 altogether 23:13 106:13 123:23 argument 17:24 22:19 23:18 26:20 **Amazon** 110:10 28:20 33:8 84:5 98:12 162:24 appellants 74:6 ambiguous 29:14 apple 149:22 arguments 26:6 29:12 80:16 amend 131:13 107:14,15 appliance 100:24 America 20:3 article 81:14,15 84:5 94:1 163:7,8 appliances 97:12 American 101:25 articles 163:1,2,13 applicable 33:2 158:12 amounts 80:8 asks 89:14 114:25 116:25 application 17:12 21:19 23:25 82:19 83:7 97:14 100:10 101:10,12 amperage 86:24,25 87:3 aspects 50:7 amplifiers 94:10 applications 164:17 **assert** 82:15 amusement 143:11 144:19 145:4 **applied** 20:20 51:18 79:3 87:15 **assign** 115:8 108:14 147:4 assigned 10:24 59:24,25 92:2 **Anacortes** 168:7,8,12 **applies** 87:13 112:11 116:14 analog 89:23,25 **apply** 21:17 40:7 51:19 52:14 72:12 assigns 91:23 analogy 107:22,25 108:4 76:15 114:9 147:2 152:4,12 164:9, **assistant** 3:7 9:17 83:19 147:23 16,25 and/or 4:15 148:5 applying 37:7 64:25 121:11 143:9 Angela 83:19 assume 124:22 146:23 animal 131:2 **assumed** 107:16 appoint 39:3 **ANSI** 77:22 assuming 12:13 105:22 appointed 164:19 answering 143:23 assurances 23:10 appoints 164:6 answers 83:9 **ATM** 12:20 appreciated 166:19 Antarctica 133:19 attainable 158:18 apprentice 130:13,18 anticipate 155:1 attempt 81:23 apprentices 130:14 anticipated 142:13 154:25 attend 4:12 166:17 apprenticeship 129:9,15 130:12, antivibration 110:12 17 133:24 134:4 137:3,10,13,17 attending 3:19 143:19 144:17 anymore 157:7 158:12 attention 7:19 97:1 108:22 146:8 appropriately 114:1 147:9 163:15 anyone's 133:6 appropriation 139:14 attenuated 20:13 22:25 anytime 11:14 approval 132:18 apologize 128:14 **attic** 110:5,11 111:12 approve 8:5,8 attorney 3:8 9:17,23 12:4 15:21 apparently 78:23 111:9 16:5,15 19:4 70:1 73:14 78:25 83:19 approximately 3:16 10:5 11:12 **appeal** 9:11 10:1,6 12:25 20:6 68:19 109:9 112:8 74:19 106:5 70:18 74:14,21 80:17 88:1 91:23 **audio** 27:24 77:10 79:12,17,19 90:7 104:21 105:16 106:1,7 115:8,16 **April** 3:2,15 10:5 62:20 74:19 88:11

106:5 118:17 127:17 164:25

arbitrary 17:11,12



143:11 144:21 165:5 169:13

appealed 10:13 75:3 106:14 112:11

audit 142:16

audits 146:22

Index: allowance..audits

August 75:2

author 81:15

authority 53:13 58:19 115:3

authorized 10:14

automatically 72:24 104:16 126:19

avenue 147:13

average 141:2,3,6 142:22 144:3 157:2,3 158:23 159:1

averaged 157:21

avoid 31:19

awaiting 81:24

aware 24:8 37:16 152:8 162:5,19

163:17

awareness 146:10

awkward 4:1

aye 8:20,21 170:6,7

В

B-A-R-N-E-S 27:12

B-R-I-A-N 148:7

B-R-I-N 148:7

B-U-R-K-E 33:24

B00123770 117:7

B001237710 117:14

back 4:12 20:7 49:19 52:20 62:24 63:5 70:13 86:25 91:15 97:24 98:1, 6,7,10,24,25 105:11,12 116:5 119:4 120:14 122:3 123:2 127:12,18 142:4 145:23 147:20 150:9 156:16 160:23 161:10 164:4 168:14

back-and-forth 16:14

backed 46:23

background 12:13,16 167:1

Baker 3:5 4:21,22 34:24,25 48:14,15 52:17,18 54:9,10 56:3,4,23 57:2,18, 20 59:22 61:20,21 63:19,20 68:23 69:2,18,25 72:14,15 103:3,4,24 139:8,9,15,18,20,23 140:3,5 150:23, 24,25 151:8,13,18 154:14 155:24 156:8 157:8,13 158:3,22 159:4

Baker's 71:6

balance 140:25 151:10 153:17

ball 139:1

band 77:10 79:12

bands 79:18

bank 44:17 47:20,21,22 48:3 153:20

bare 76:23 98:2

barely 20:25

bargain 152:3

bargained 140:2

Barnes 9:16 27:6,11,12 35:4 38:7,9, 13 42:3 51:4 54:15 55:23 68:8 69:17,22,25 70:12 73:12 149:6

base 134:14,17 135:10,23 165:3

based 12:17 16:24 17:17 25:15 59:25 64:4,11 69:14 70:22 99:19 100:6 116:25 135:18 169:13

basic 99:23,24

basically 88:6 95:1,9 98:6 115:17

basis 14:6 20:14 23:14 27:20 37:11

39:7 95:23

battalion 134:1,2

battery 128:6

be- 104:25

beating 61:22

began 45:17

begin 11:20 12:7 75:12 106:19

beginning 12:24 166:15

behavior 52:6,7

believing 17:8

Ben 3:8 65:13 168:10

benefit 152:13

bet 150:12

bid 28:10,12 30:19 31:14,22 60:13, 16 61:10,18 64:21 65:23 66:16 68:2, 15 70:10 71:1

bidding 61:4

biennial 138:13

biennium 153:20

big 30:15 51:15 94:13 152:11,12

Index: August..board

bigger 86:18 99:5

bill 22:22 129:13,14,22,23 131:21

137:5,6 138:5,21

billed 29:24

bills 137:22

binding 57:12

bit 12:12,16 16:14 18:23 37:22 52:13 70:3 120:8 128:2 148:1 151:3 155:10 157:5 159:13

bite 149:22

bloated 139:14

blog 80:12

Blohowiak 3:8 58:4 64:8 65:12,13 66:11 168:10,22,25 169:15

Blue 84:21

Bluegrass 84:22

board 3:1,3,8,17,18 4:17,19,20,22, 23,25 5:1,3,4,6,7,9,10,12,13,15,16, 18,19,21,23 8:6,9,11,16,21 10:13, 14,20 11:4,13,15,16 15:19 33:21,23 34:24 36:7 38:1 40:4,8 41:16 42:23, 24 43:5,11 44:9,10 47:11 48:13,15, 20 50:8 52:17 53:15 54:9,20,22 55:11 56:1,3,23 57:2,18,20,21,23 58:9,18,21 59:4,6,7,22 60:5,7,8,23 61:20 62:24 63:17,19,23 66:3 67:9, 12,13,18 68:22 69:2 70:7 71:5,13, 16,18,22,25 72:14,19,25 75:4 76:2 84:17 86:5 87:25 88:5 89:14 90:12 92:6,9,11,13,23 93:1,4,7,9,17,20,22, 23 94:19 95:2,13 96:1,2,15 100:12, 13,21 101:3,6,11,20,21,24 102:15, 20 103:3,6,24 104:5,18 105:1,7 106:15 117:4 122:21,22,23 123:7, 15,18,21 124:14,24 125:2,18,20,21, 22 126:1,5,9,20,24 127:2,18 128:4, 9,24 129:11 132:21 133:20 134:6,9, 11,16,23 136:13,22 139:8,15,18,20, 23 140:3,5,12,23 145:12 146:2 148:4,14,18 150:21,22,24 151:8,13, 18 153:25 154:14 155:24 156:8,23 157:8,13 158:3,22 159:4,7 160:2,16 161:1,6,12 162:3,4 164:6,9,19 165:4,11,18 166:4,7,20 168:3,14,15 169:11,22,24 170:3,7



Index: board's..cement

board's 71:2 73:5,9,21 104:22 153:8

boat 59:21 60:9

Bob 132:20

Bobby 3:4 5:5

body 10:14 79:5 82:23

bolts 110:11

bond 22:18 23:1 47:24

bonded 22:11,13,20,22

bonding 163:5,6,9,11

bonds 21:15 22:24 23:7

book 64:18

boss 149:5

bother 124:4

bottom 50:24

bought 98:17

bound 11:9 21:5 93:5

box 77:9 111:23 115:12,16,17,18,23

165:5

boxes 28:2 29:10 33:1 94:13 115:24

brainchild 165:6

branch 87:3

break 62:11,14 74:4 85:14 105:7,8,9

109:5,6,10 127:8 162:25

break-ish 105:11

breaking 87:5,17

Brian 128:12 147:21,25 148:2,5

150:11,13 152:19

briefing 16:6,8 92:1 115:7 116:8,10

briefly 88:2 112:7 152:19

bring 26:12 55:6 72:6 117:5 146:8 150:15 155:21 159:1 161:19 163:15

164:2

bringing 60:22 62:23 85:1,23 94:21

127:17

brings 94:13 108:3

broad 95:19

broadness 41:9

broken 54:11 85:13 87:1,2

brought 75:18 85:22 97:2 129:15

134:23 147:8

bucket 24:21

buckets 24:20

budget 138:23 139:3 140:25 152:2,

10,16 153:23 154:2

building 110:23

buildings 78:3 90:6

built 88:8

bullet 67:21

bunch 25:25 89:11 150:5 158:25

bundle 96:18

bundled 85:11

bundles 99:12

burden 11:23 46:11 75:22 83:1 92:4

106:22

Burke 3:4 5:8,9 33:23,24 42:23,24

43:5,11 53:15,16 59:22 63:23,24

business 13:16,17 31:1 36:6 46:1

50:9 52:4 56:8 64:22 65:23 66:17 68:16 70:10 71:1 109:18 148:9

business-to-business 12:18

Busskohl 77:7 80:25

Busskohl's 81:16

buy 108:19

buying 99:2

buyouts 152:11

bypass 145:18

С

C-O-X 44:11 92:14

C-U-N-N-I-N-G-H-A-M 93:24

cable 44:19 45:2 77:19,20,24,25

78:8,9 85:7 86:8,12,18 87:17 88:14 89:2,3,4,5,7,11,15 92:15,16,18,20,

21 93:3 94:15 96:16 98:9,24 100:3,

25

cables 27:23 78:6 84:14 85:8,10,14

86:9,18 88:4,7,22 93:15 95:3 98:2

99:3,10,12,13,18,22,24

cabling 30:11

calculated 21:20 153:24

call 3:17 4:17 77:2 82:13 84:22 99:2

166:3

called 44:15 86:8 132:9 146:8

calling 89:4 109:20 121:13

calls 109:15 143:24 144:1,3,5,7

camera 108:2,3

campaign 162:15

candidate 137:16

capacity 103:23

Capitol 167:15,17

capricious 17:11,12

capture 119:5

car 7:16

card 44:18 125:13,14

cards 148:9

care 161:3

cared 149:4

careful 153:6 157:11

carry 46:17 47:14 64:22 65:23 70:10

cars 76:4

carve-out 138:7,13

case 11:13 17:22 20:22 22:18 24:22 27:14 30:14 35:11.18 38:14 46:8

68:24 78:25 83:4,20 84:9 94:12

96:11 100:22 107:22 112:20 113:25

134:21 147:17

cases 112:9 146:22

catch 117:24 118:24

category 27:22 50:18 143:21

154:23

Cavalier 105:14,17 106:2 108:5,6,7 110:20 112:22 113:3,4,5,19,21 114:3,5,6,19,23 115:12 116:7

124:18

Cavalier's 115:8 116:12

cement 110:18



cents 22:25

CEO 26:13 79:1

certificate 114:13 132:16 135:7

137:9

certificated 161:17,18

certification 10:19 142:12 143:5

CERTIFICATION/CEU 161:14

certified 107:15 111:13,15 113:1,2, 6,8,13 114:2,11,13,16 130:13 133:13,14 135:21

certify 82:5

chain 15:23 17:6 47:2 138:12

chair 3:17 8:8 33:20 39:17 43:15 45:20 59:7 60:3,24 63:11,17 67:8 68:22 71:23 72:18 92:9 93:22 96:24 102:12,13 103:20 117:3 118:1,9 125:5,19,21 137:20 140:23 160:13 161:16 162:4 163:14,22 166:13 169:20,23

Chairman 97:4 127:24

CHAIRPERSON 3:3,14 4:20,23 5:1,4,7,10,13,16,19,22,25 8:2,7,13, 18,22 9:3,10,19,25 11:22 12:7 27:1, 7 33:19 36:9 39:16,21,24 41:14 42:21 43:15 45:19 48:13 54:20 56:1 57:21 59:3 60:4,23 62:10,19,22 63:16,21,25 64:6,14 66:14 67:8,13, 17,25 68:6,9 69:10,20 71:13,22 72:3,11,17 73:16,20,24 74:2,8,13 75:8,11,15,21 83:11,15,23 92:8 93:21 95:25 96:24 97:7,10 101:19 102:11,18,22 103:19 104:4,10 105:5,9,15,20,25 106:18,21 107:8 112:4 117:2,13,22,25 118:2,8,18 119:6,11,17,20 120:3,5,12,19,22 121:5,9,16,21 122:2,16,18,20 124:12 125:5,24 126:3,13 127:6,14 137:20,25 138:2,19 139:4,7 140:7, 11,15 150:22 152:25 153:3 160:13 161:15,21,25 163:21 164:5,18,21 166:13 167:3,9,13,16,21 168:1,6 169:19 170:1,4,8

challenged 78:16

change 52:4,5,7 72:9 92:3 129:8 131:22 143:19 157:9 158:6

changed 66:15 146:21 158:9,13

changing 155:9 158:14

chaos 76:11

check 62:13 115:17

checked 115:25

chief 81:15 83:5 96:4 100:15 122:24

child 132:14 **choice** 108:9

Choose 98:14

chooses 109:6 164:17

choosing 109:10

Chris 68:22

circuit 78:9 85:5

circuits 85:5 87:3 99:3

citation 10:10 14:6 25:12 37:11 41:10 53:14,18,20,21,24 59:23 69:6 74:24 90:20 106:10 112:11 114:14 123:5 143:7

citations 10:17 13:4,8,25 14:4 15:17 18:8,13 19:22 20:1,5,8 31:8 33:15 41:7,24 52:5,22 55:1,22 56:5 58:1 79:17,24 80:4,16 81:14,20 82:23,25 83:5 87:21 91:16 102:17 114:6 116:2 142:11 143:11 144:19 145:3

cite 31:13 41:20 42:8 43:6,9,12,24 53:3,5 54:5 66:5 81:13 84:18

cited 28:7,17 41:1,3 42:11,16,19 43:1 53:11 54:16 78:15 91:12

cities 159:21

citizen 76:3

city 77:8 79:11 85:22 91:9

civilian 134:12

civilians 132:25 133:20,21

claim 87:7 88:23

claiming 82:6

clarification 79:6 103:7,16

clarified 70:6

clarity 83:7

Class 112:17,22,23 113:5,10,13,15, 22.25 114:6 115:13.14 116:22 119:5

classification 152:5

classified 77:19

Clause 102:6

clean 71:9

cleaned 130:9

clear 7:3 16:15 17:1,2 20:18,22 24:18 29:17 41:11 51:24 57:12 67:3 81:19,22 82:10 87:19 114:2

click 101:4 166:10

client 77:4

clients 36:1 62:2 80:12

close 19:11 149:18 159:9

closer 143:15 144:15

closing 107:14

Coast 136:7

code 32:6 33:2 42:9 68:13 110:3,6 136:8 162:6,13 163:4

codes 103:9 **coffee** 13:16,20

coin 154:4

collect 132:15 163:6

collectively 140:2

Colorado 45:1

combined 86:9

comment 40:24 103:5 117:5 120:24 131:23 154:15 162:18 163:16,20,25

commenting 166:8

comments 33:21 59:4 60:5 93:22 100:12 117:4 122:21 125:18,20 129:21 163:23 166:4,14 169:21

commercial 39:15 110:23 130:8

commit 62:6 82:4

committee 162:14,16 164:25

committing 62:8

communicated 144:14

communicates 77:23

communication 78:8 156:19

companies 14:17,25 15:1,22 23:9 28:23 29:2 46:4 49:23 51:19,20



company 14:15 15:11,12 16:18 17:17 21:7,9,10,12,16 22:6 26:17 40:16 44:13,15,23 45:13,18 47:16, 20,25 48:1,3,9 49:21,24 51:15 59:19 77:4,10 79:1,12,21 80:6 82:2,21,25

compensation 139:10 competency 114:13 competition 159:17

compared 40:3 141:4,8

complaint 23:4 complaints 111:11

complete 10:20 130:12,16 137:2

completed 129:17 130:23

completely 7:4 13:8 21:4 79:3 97:12,13 136:5 137:16

completing 130:14 133:24 134:3

completion 137:10,14 **complex** 27:14 81:7,8

compliance 10:19 43:4 52:24 53:12

112:21

comply 81:23

components 61:6,11 108:18

computer 21:1 77:18 99:22,24 100:6,7,8 128:5

computers 13:17 78:10

concede 19:6

concentrating 7:23

concern 32:5

concerns 59:4 60:5 102:13 162:10 166:14 169:21

conclude 112:3

conclusion 11:16 91:11

conclusions 11:17 58:12,17,25 59:2 77:14 78:18 91:23,25 92:2

114:23

conduct 10:25 64:21 65:23 68:2,15, 16 70:10

16 70.10

conducted 19:6

conducting 30:20 31:1,6

conductors 99:18

conducts 11:1

conduit 28:1 29:10 33:1 35:5

confidence 149:13,24

confident 69:15

configuration 86:2,3,4,7

confined 58:21,24

confirm 86:1

confirmed 73:19

conflict 130:22

confuse 89:3

confused 33:10 77:17 82:16,18

confusing 76:11

confusion 76:14 82:22 83:25 84:1, 3,14 87:8,12,14 88:23 95:20,23

connect 98:2,4

connected 101:13

connecter 97:24

connecting 99:18

connection 86:25 92:19 97:25

connections 94:5,9 100:16,17

connector 93:10 96:17 97:25 98:6 101:4

_

connects 98:1

consensus 86:22

consideration 41:9 142:5

considered 7:24 52:2 96:9

consistent 103:17 113:8 141:14

consistently 95:14

constitute 90:2

constructed 94:22

construction 130:5 134:1,2 135:24 136:10,16,25

consumer 32:7

consumers 12:19 149:3

contact 148:9

contained 39:22

contends 28:18

contest 19:20 39:10

contested 25:21

continually 38:25

continue 80:22 133:7

continued 9:7

continues 76:10

contract 13:11,12,13 14:22,25 15:1 18:3,6,9,23,24 19:18 21:8,9,10 22:19 23:2,21 24:2,4,5 25:7,9,11 26:9,19 27:19 28:3,6,13 29:4,11,23 30:2,10 31:2,16 34:15 35:4 36:1 38:19,22 40:19 44:17 45:10,11 48:8, 11,23 49:1,2,19 50:13,22 52:21 56:9,16 57:8,9,13,14 59:10,11 70:23 71:4

Index: company..contradict

contract- 27:15

contracted 14:16 16:10 24:16 28:22,24 44:20 49:4 66:21 67:22 68:11

contracting 55:4,23,25

contractor 14:7 17:16 24:15 27:16, 17,18 28:8,19 31:7,11 32:10 34:5, 10,16,21 35:16,17,19,23,25 36:14 37:19,20,21 38:11,16,21 39:11 40:6, 16 41:19 42:8,12,14 43:4,17,20,22 44:5,6,14 45:6,23 46:7,9,10,14 47:1 48:22 49:14,15 50:12 51:1,6,10 52:24 53:12,20,22 54:7,17,19 55:10, 14 56:21,24 57:1,9,16,25 59:12,16 60:12 61:4,8,9 63:7,8 66:1 108:8,9 123:9 133:11 141:13 142:11

contractor's 29:20 33:4 34:6 36:21 37:2,8,12,16 40:10,12 43:2,10,19,25 46:19 47:2,23 50:18 52:16,21 53:4, 8,9 54:4 56:11 58:2 61:18,24

contractors 14:7,20 22:15 23:22 28:24 29:9 33:25 35:2,6 36:1 37:3 38:4,6,15 40:5 44:22 47:9 48:2,18 49:12 51:18,21 52:14 57:4 62:1 135:25

contracts 14:14,24 16:1,22 18:4,5 20:12 21:11 24:1,12 35:3 39:1 44:20

contractual 29:4 34:10 51:25

contractually 23:23

contradict 116:7



contradicts 116:6

contrary 56:8,14

control 78:8 89:5,12,20 90:1 99:25

100:1,3

controlled 97:21 102:5,8

controllers 102:5

Controlling 89:9,10

controls 35:17

controversial 138:25

controversy 139:2

conversation 7:8 39:18 47:8 56:6

123:8 154:15

conversations 6:21 116:21

convey 28:11 64:23

convince 60:20

convinces 50:2

cop 108:1,2

copies 73:10 105:2

cord 93:12 96:17 98:5,25 100:17,20,

24.25 101:13

cords 86:16 96:6 98:25 99:1

corner 145:23 147:21

Corp 27:15 28:4

corporately 47:12

corporation 9:9,11,23 10:2 12:4,17, 18,21 13:1,4 16:1 38:17 64:20 65:21

66:15 105:14,17,21 106:2 107:5,6 108:6,7

100.0,7

correct 11:24 33:11 43:2,7,13,14 68:5 69:2,14 71:7 72:10,16 73:17

81:5 82:6 93:16,19 100:5 105:19 118:20 119:8 124:8 128:15 137:1

153:12

corrected 130:15

corrections 142:19

correctly 13:25 34:9 68:10 72:4

97:11

correlating 162:16

correspond 93:11

cost 61:1 62:5 165:8.13.19

counsel 9:23 19:10 36:14 50:25 55:13 66:7 68:7 69:6 70:6 71:6

125:3 148:19

count 30:24

counted 120:15

counting 120:20

country 13:15 59:14 76:9 133:11

135:5

counts 156:17

County 77:2,6 83:8 109:17

couple 62:11 97:20 123:8 147:25

court 3:22 12:2 109:12 111:7

168:14,15

cover 61:10 145:13

covered 131:19,20 161:20

covering 163:8

covers 153:21

COVID 79:23 107:17 142:5

Cox 3:4 5:17,18 44:9,10 47:11

57:22,23 59:22 92:12,13,23 93:1,4, 7,9,17,20 96:2,15 100:13,21 101:3, 6,11 122:23 123:7,15,18,21 124:14,

24 125:2 160:16 161:1,6,12 166:7 168:3 169:11

crazy 26:17 77:16 165:11

created 130:9 169:9

creating 145:15

credentials 166:9

credit 132:23 133:2,8,17 134:18 135:13 136:17,19,20 156:15,20

credited 133:23 137:9

critical 79:16

cross-train 145:7,11

crux 28:20 80:19

cufflinks 110:12

Cunningham 3:5 5:2,3 93:23,24

94:19 95:2,13 159:7,8

cup 7:11

curious 103:24 120:7

current 15:6 28:11 64:23 139:16

144:5 154:17

Currents 81:14 84:5,10 88:11,13

Index: contradicts..decision

90:16 131:24

customer 46:11 141:10

customers 141:20 144:5

cycles 162:8

D

dangerous 7:8 35:24

data 77:24 90:6 100:16 102:4,8

146:17

date 73:3 82:13 104:20 117:14 118:11 119:1,2,3,7 126:21 143:22

144:16 164:13

dated 143:13

dates 117:18 118:10 131:15 132:5

day 7:5 15:6 33:25 34:1,7 84:7 131:14 142:17,24 143:2 144:4

156:3,9 157:4 159:3

day-to-day 36:6

days 130:1,2 138:22,23 142:23

156:3 162:1 167:19

de 11:6

dead 61:22 137:24 138:1

deal 46:14 85:22

dealing 46:7,8 103:17

deals 110:6

dealt 29:13 103:7

dear 149:5

deceive 82:9

December 24:20 25:20

decide 25:16 58:10,19 61:25 155:7

decided 6:23 57:14

decides 76:8

decision 10:9,13 11:2,3,24 13:1,10 18:2,13 25:12 39:7,8 58:13,23 64:12 71:3 72:19 73:6,21 74:24 75:4,23 78:21 87:25 102:25 104:6,22 106:10,14,23 116:1,4 117:1 121:24

126:10,24 149:20 169:8



Index: decisions..DMX

decisions 126:6 149:15,24 152:16

decrease 141:17,19,22 157:17

dedicated 145:3

deep 7:7

defense 42:13,15 55:3,6 133:11

defenses 20:10

defer 21:2

deference 20:17,19 21:3 116:5

define 31:11

defined 102:8 120:8

defines 60:11

defining 99:16

definite 50:22

definition 28:8 50:15 57:10 60:15, 18 71:12 82:8 87:3 88:11 101:17

delay 155:6 159:12

demarcation 36:2 49:17

demonstrated 82:18

demonstrating 41:13

denial 146:18

denials 147:3

denied 146:18 147:1,6

deny 146:12,15

department 9:12,18 10:11,16,17, 21,22,23 15:21 28:17 29:16 33:12, 15 41:25 54:12 55:2 56:13 75:1 76:18 79:9 83:20 84:6 89:17 105:23 106:11 108:10 109:9 112:9 113:7 116:4,25 123:4 129:16 130:24 131:16 133:4 153:1 164:10

department's 43:6 68:23 92:1 116:11,12 118:25 127:9

Departmental/legislative 127:20,

depending 79:4 118:5

depends 100:19 157:2

deposition 14:1 16:13 19:16 30:25

depositions 16:24 18:7 39:2

deputy 148:5

describe 87:7 88:22 138:16

describes 102:4

describing 50:11

description 69:15

deserves 103:15

details 4:16 166:23

determine 11:17 139:24

determines 112:10

determining 143:9

developed 12:21

developing 131:18

development 144:21

develops 111:17

device 98:11,12,13,15,18

devices 61:2 80:15 85:18 87:13 88:2,8 89:22 95:4 98:14,17 110:5

diagram 88:20 97:3 110:7

Diamondcord 110:18

dictionary 87:3

Dietrich 145:4

difference 44:4 101:12 143:10

dig- 78:8

digit 78:8

digital 77:21 89:24 90:1 102:4

diligently 144:11

dimmer 77:19

dimmers 84:13 87:11,21

direct 144:2

directed 80:10 132:1

direction 47:10 81:22

directly 12:19 14:4 46:5,6 47:6

147:22 151:4

director 147:23 148:5

disagree 38:23 56:20 90:11

disagreeing 59:23

disappeared 118:21

disarray 107:17

disconnecting 99:18

disconnection 142:20

discovery 18:15 19:5

discretion 11:15 47:7

discuss 62:14 65:10 84:12

discussed 40:4 92:1 95:1.8 109:4

116:9

discussing 62:25

discussion 8:19 34:8 63:1 64:1,3,7 65:1 96:12 101:20 102:23 116:8

126:4

dismissed 24:22 26:21

dismissing 25:2

dispute 24:5,6,11,21 25:14 114:12,

15,18,20,21,23

disputed 26:8 112:21

disputes 25:3 26:4

disputing 24:1

distance 98:4

distinction 38:14

distinguish 89:25

distract 7:14

distracted 6:10,12 7:6,11,22,25

distracting 6:18

distribute 88:18 96:23

distributed 85:4 95:10 138:15

distributes 96:20

distributing 85:6,15 96:18 98:21

distribution 84:15,20 86:20 87:4,6, 9,15,16,24 88:11,16,17 89:1 91:15

94:9 95:5,9 96:9,22 99:2,16,17,20

distributor 98:22

district 76:8

divided 24:19

division 43:4 57:25 103:21

DMX 77:19,20,22 78:9 84:14 87:11, 22 88:3 89:2,3,4,7,11,15 97:21

100:16 101:3



Docket 10:2 74:15 106:2

doctrine 109:8

document 60:25 71:10,18 162:23

documentation 99:21

documented 145:1

documents 66:20 143:22

Doe 107:24.25 109:15 110:24

111:12

dollars 23:4 165:11

Dominic 3:4 5:8 33:24 35:1,22 36:3

41:23 42:23

Don 3:5 4:20 41:23 150:22

door 134:5

double 82:17.22 83:1

downline 52:2

downstream 51:6

dozen 56:17

draft 66:8 69:15

drastically 118:7

draw 108:22

drills 111:16

drive 3:20 76:4,6

drive-through 27:25

driving 6:11,12 7:11,14,22,25

drop 151:10

drove 6:10

due 10:3 20:4,17 74:18 106:4 143:18

144:9 164:13

duplex 110:1,5

DVC 35:17

Dylan 3:5 5:2

Ε

EALRD00557 74:25

EALRD00738 106:10

EALRD00739 106:11

EALRD00740 106:11

ear 168:2

earlier 50:13 54:25 108:13 109:23

145:21

easier 86:18

easily 81:21

easy 154:12 156:14 158:20 166:10

EBUJU00629 74:25

EBUJU0063 74:25

EBUJU00630 74:25

ECHBO01063 9:6

ECHBO01065 9:6

ECHBO01066 9:6

ECHBO01067 9:7

ECORE 37:6 142:14,16 158:6,10

edition 162:9

editorial 131:5

editorializing 52:13

education 129:9 130:16

effect 129:18 131:7,9,11,13,14

135:19

effective 21:23

effectively 120:17

efficiently 86:10

effort 162:6,12

either-or 37:17

electric 10:20 110:3 136:8

electrical 3:1,6,17,18 8:6,9 10:13, 14,16,18 11:3,19 14:20,23 15:23

16:11 17:18 18:20,21 19:3 20:24 21:3,9,11 22:12 24:15 25:10 26:16, 18 27:15,16,21,23 28:7,8,11,14,18,

24 29:9,19,20 30:16,17 31:1,7,11,17 32:6,23 33:3,4,5 34:2,6,12 35:17,19,

23 36:1,18 37:3,6,7 41:21 42:6,10, 12,18,19 43:1,6,10,19,22 44:5

48:21,22,24 49:5,13,14 51:1,5,6 52:25 53:2,6,7,11,19,25 54:1,7,12,

17 55:1,5,8 56:14,24 57:1,9,13,16

59:13 60:11 61:7,9 62:5,7,24 63:7

64:23 65:15,18,25 66:1,22 67:9,12, 23 70:11 71:2,18 75:4 76:15 84:5,8,

10 86:25 87:15 90:16 91:1,14,19

92:16,17,20 95:7 106:14 108:8,9,18 110:4 111:8,15 119:13,20 127:18 128:3 131:24 133:5,8 134:18 136:10,11 138:4,7,9,10,17 139:13, 14 140:25 141:21 142:8,19 143:10, 20,24 149:7,14 162:6 168:13

Index: Docket..ensue

electrician 33:6 40:17 43:20 55:24 76:24 77:5 94:8 110:24 114:14 128:17 130:13 133:13,14 134:2 135:8.21

electricians 32:8 34:17 35:10 113:9

130:6,7 134:5,13,17,25

electricity 85:17

electronic 102:7 107:12 156:15,18 157:21 159:11 165:5 166:4

electronically 142:25 159:12

elements 160:11

ELYOD02202 10:10

ELYOD02203 10:10

email 4:10,12

embedding 166:9

employed 114:3,7

employee 53:3 114:5

employees 145:7

enclosure 93:15

encourage 6:23 7:22

encouraging 80:12 142:23

end 45:3 48:4 81:18 88:10 92:16,18, 19,20 93:10,12 108:3 119:8 132:2 137:15 167:20 169:23 170:10

ended 33:15 95:11 129:23

energy 110:6

enforced 95:14

enforcement 80:24 132:14

enforcing 51:14

engage 35:3 64:21 65:23 66:16

68:2,15 70:10 71:1

engaged 49:24,25

engineers 159:16

ensue 76:12



ensure 16:10 19:8 21:20 22:17 34:11 49:2 105:2 113:21,25 143:21 144:24 145:1

enter 16:21 21:11

entered 13:13 27:19 50:13 57:12 63:12

entering 16:1 21:21 31:16

entertain 8:8 63:18 102:14 125:19 169:23

entertainment 76:16 78:6 80:7,14 82:11 83:8 86:23 102:1

entire 11:7 95:15 113:23

entity 10:20 28:9 42:16 45:12 53:13, 24 54:5 60:12 64:20 65:22

enumerated 31:10

equal 160:4

equally 51:14

equipment 16:11 21:22 28:11,15 29:6,20 59:13 64:23 66:23 67:24 68:13 71:2 77:18 78:13 82:14,20 88:18 89:9,13 90:17 94:4 95:4 96:8 101:10 102:5

equitable 58:19

ER 22:22

Erick 3:6 4:18 54:21

erroneous 13:1 17:10

error 89:4 91:23 115:9 118:11

errors 92:2 116:13 125:17

escape 32:11 **ESDA** 101:25

essentially 111:24

establish 11:24 66:4 75:23 106:23

established 37:8

establishing 37:25 38:21 40:3

estimate 153:14,15 **estimating** 155:6,16

Ethernet 78:9

evaluate 63:12 133:6,7 evenly 52:14 108:15 event 79:11 90:8 95:11

events 61:19

eventually 28:16,25 133:3

everyday 160:24

evidence 11:9,10 25:22 26:11,12 58:11,15,22 59:1 63:11 64:4,11,12 66:4,19 67:4 70:8,17 72:4 78:19 95:17,20 111:20 116:20 124:19 169:7

evidentiary 4:8 69:8,23 70:5 168:4

exact 77:14 78:11 131:4 151:24

exam 128:10,17,19 129:4 130:11,18 132:5,9,18 134:3

examination 132:24 146:23

examinations 146:13

exams 128:3 **exceed** 154:2,3

exceeding 158:15

excellent 155:14 exception 51:24

exchange 159:14

excuse 86:16 97:5 114:3

exempt 89:15 97:12,13 100:9,17,18, 23

exempted 102:9

exemption 100:4

exempts 100:2

Exhibit 32:16 71:20 72:1 88:20 89:8 97:2

exhibits 89:6

exist 82:9

existence 115:22

existing 163:12

exists 115:17,18

exit 7:4,5

expand 151:3 163:2

expect 59:15,17 76:10 154:19

expectations 153:25

expected 73:3 76:7 79:2 104:20

Index: ensure..failing

126:22

expedited 130:25 131:1,3,10,19

expenditures 141:2,3 **expenses** 152:21 153:9

expensive 124:4 165:15

experience 56:15 94:4 130:8,17 133:6,7,12 134:2 136:15 137:13

experienced 30:12 77:1

expired 125:13,14

explained 81:22

explanation 46:9 101:17

exposed 99:10

expressed 138:9

expressions 34:25

extended 11:15

extension 86:16 93:3,14 96:6,17

98:5,9,25 100:6,25

external 61:14

F

F-E-R-N 9:22 12:6

face 29:17

face-to-face 155:12

facial 34:25

facilities 135:2,13

facility 39:15

fact 16:5 23:22 25:8 26:8 27:14 28:22 31:9 55:8 58:12,16,25 91:24 92:3 113:4 114:20,21 115:9,11 132:17

factor 90:22

facts 11:18 24:11 25:4,13,21,24,25 26:4 27:14 49:3 66:21 67:21 112:20 114:18

factual 24:24 95:23

failed 115:12

failing 66:8 81:20 142:12



Tanscript of Froccountys April 27,

failure 55:24,25 58:1 fair 15:5 17:8 67:5 154:17

1811 15.5 17.6 07.5 15

fairly 81:19

fall 31:21 79:22 98:22 121:1

falls 117:17 119:23 121:12

false 91:21

familiar 99:21 163:3

fan 108:17 110:8,9,11,23 111:5

fans 116:12

fantastic 159:15

fast 87:2 156:12

fault 46:17

favor 8:20 65:1,4 102:24 116:3

126:5

federal 132:14 135:2,9,13

fee 30:8 109:2 153:17

feel 4:1 16:14 20:13,16 23:24 25:13

63:4 109:7 150:7

feels 13:1

fees 109:1 154:1

feet 94:15 109:11

fell 120:22 122:5

fellow 107:4

Fern 9:21,22 11:21 12:3,4,8 27:3 36:16,22 37:9,18 38:23 39:20,22

40:1,14,20 55:15,19 68:18 70:20 72:20 73:13,18,23

ferries 168:12

festival 79:22 84:22,23,24 85:12,16

90:8

festivals 76:17

field 142:14,15 147:23 148:6

fifty 165:9,10

figure 16:19 137:17

figured 161:22

file 73:3 104:20 126:22 132:6,18

filed 68:23 130:25

filing 131:15

fill 111:23 145:6,8 155:16

filled 111:21 113:20 122:25

fills 113:21

final 33:8 46:13 113:10 169:8

finally 32:25 90:9 113:10

find 16:23 17:4,5 71:10 80:15 89:14

93:25 116:3 146:24 152:4

finding 33:15 115:9,11 160:5

findings 11:17 58:11,16,25 70:19

91:24 114:20,21

finds 15:3 124:7

fine 21:24 22:1 37:13

fines 15:18 21:17 24:13 25:11

finish 32:12

fire 109:11

firm 64:19 65:21 107:25 132:5

fiscal 141:4,7

fit 88:10

fits 87:4

five-year 159:11

fixed 118:4

fixture 85:1,21 88:9 89:1 97:15,18,

19,23 98:7,10,21,22 99:17

fixtures 80:2 84:13 87:11,22 88:3,7

94:22 97:3.22 98:2.4

floor 27:10 110:18 111:16 127:21

FLR 147:17

focus 34:18 49:18 87:10 91:16

142:11 143:7

focused 143:4

focuses 84:13

folks 45:11 60:9 114:8 135:24

folks' 133:2

follow 43:18 45:21 47:9 49:10

135:25 148:4

follow-up 23:17

foolish 21:25

forget 166:15,21

form 73:7 82:1 104:24 107:12

Index: failure..G-R-A-Y

126:25

format 162:9 165:17

formed 162:21

forty 99:21

forward 63:9 73:8 83:17 105:1 107:1 127:1 145:16 152:8

found 19:23 50:23 70:15 81:16 84:8 123:9 125:10 128:23 146:16 147:3

160:5

fourth 44:14

fourth-party 45:14 47:16

frame 125:9 142:24 143:24

frames 125:8

framework 29:1

frankly 26:1

fraudulent 109:5

free 150:7

Fresh 146:8 149:11

Friday 4:9

friendly 159:17

front 6:19 7:15.24 137:7

frustration 103:8

full 100:7

full-scale 162:24

fun 34:25

function 56:16

fund 138:8 139:13,14,16 140:25 152:18 153:7,16,18 154:9 157:25

fundamental 20:2,3

funded 80:4

funding 139:21

funds 151:2

future 110:8 152:10 162:21

G

G-R-A-Y 59:7 125:22 162:4



Index: gain..Heating

gain 133:12

gap 133:1 134:12

gave 46:9 134:4

GC 43:7,12,22 44:1

GCCM 34:15

GEC 41:21

Gee 109:21

general 3:8 6:13 9:17 15:21 16:6,15 19:5 27:18 30:1 33:25 34:5,10,21 35:2,6,25 36:14,21 37:1,12,16,19, 20,21 38:4,11,15,16 39:11 40:5,6, 11,16 42:7,13 43:2,9,16 44:5 45:23 46:24 48:17 49:12 50:12,18 51:17 52:16,21 53:4,9,20,21 54:3,7 55:4,9, 14,23,25 56:11,21 57:25 58:2 61:8, 17,24 62:1 63:7 73:15 83:19 86:22 95:18 108:7 109:9 112:8,18 128:17, 18 143:21

generalities 95:19 112:14

generally 38:15 129:1,2

generator 77:8 87:17

generators 85:22,23

generous 121:10

gentlemen 108:14

Georgia 12:18 16:1,2 17:18 21:7,17

get allocated 139:24

GFCI 80:2 93:10,13

GFCIS 85:6,10 96:7

give 4:2 97:5 101:16 111:2 116:5 117:11,23 118:21 133:2,17 147:14 148:10 149:20,21 156:15,20,25 158:10,17

giving 21:3 23:10 53:11

glass 139:1

glorified 93:3

glued 7:12 110:16

go-around 165:4

goal 141:24 142:22 149:2 152:19,20 153:6,17 156:2 157:9,16 158:2,6,7,

15 162:8

goals 158:5,16 162:25

good 3:24 34:11 105:7 125:2 127:7 129:4,24 131:9 148:24 149:10,25 150:17 152:7 155:5 157:22 165:23 167:6

Gosh 109:10

Gotcha 121:15

government 132:1

governor 138:20,22

grandfathering 135:18

Gray 3:4 5:5,6 59:6,7 125:20,21,22 132:21 133:20 134:6,9,11,16,23 162:3,4

great 129:19 148:22 149:24 150:14 156:22 159:23 166:2 168:17

Gross 129:23 137:5

grounding 163:4,6,9,11

grounds 25:16 85:12,16 87:24 90:8

group 118:5 145:5,22 146:1 151:6

163:10

groups 162:21 163:5

growing 153:19

growth 144:21 154:9

Guard 136:7

guess 34:8 52:19 54:11 89:7 90:18 91:11 100:4 101:7 107:5 111:3,6 116:2 122:14 151:8

quidelines 136:1

gun 36:4

guy 15:3,6 17:14,15 21:24 22:1,2 23:24 24:2,3 51:2 61:23 108:4 110:16,17 111:16,17 150:14

guys 20:23 24:4 49:7 85:2 109:13, 14 111:6,8,22 145:22,24 147:20 148:19 158:10 161:9 165:4,16 166:22

GW 125:12,16

Н

H-O-R-N-B-A-C-K 148:7

half 138:14 156:2

hand 4:2 65:3 102:25 126:6 145:24

hand-free 6:22

handle 111:8

hands 6:16

hands-free 6:17,24

Hanford 135:11

hang 16:7 80:8

hang-up 30:15

hanging 155:8

happen 53:21 124:23 132:4 137:22

148:23

happened 15:2 17:22 20:9 24:17 33:7 58:7 79:22 96:14 112:14 124:20 131:12

happening 6:19 7:14,20 124:9 146:21 159:21

happy 3:21 33:18 124:15 158:14

hard 17:8 148:16 154:21 158:21

165:20 167:24

hardware 28:15

haste 81:25 91:8

hastily 81:22

hazard 86:17 93:18

head 101:16

heads 80:8 167:4

healthy 152:15,17 154:10

hear 10:17 11:25 27:5 75:5,24 106:16,24 108:24 123:22 127:15

heard 59:8 147:17

hearing 4:8 8:20 10:3,23,25 11:1, 11,16 24:25 25:1,20 26:11,22,23,24 63:16 64:1 65:1 74:17 78:20 88:21 90:10 102:24 103:19,21 106:3 125:19 126:5 168:4,16 169:2

hearings 10:8,24 13:3 18:1 20:11 23:19 24:18 66:13 69:9 74:23 78:17 106:9 129:21 131:2

hearsay 169:4

heart 28:13

Heating 9:2,5,6



Index: heavy..industry

heavy 86:12

heck 21:24

Heights 77:7 78:12 81:23 85:20 86:3 91:9,13,20

held 3:2 10:3 74:17 80:23 106:3 167:8

helped 166:1

helping 151:4

helps 150:14

hey 55:4 111:2,12 158:10 167:3

hi/hello 148:12

high 86:24,25 109:21 158:24

hindrance 152:17

hire 21:12 47:7,9 49:13 50:14 145:6 155:10

hired 14:8,11 15:8,11,12,14 19:11 22:1,2 30:5 34:17 40:15,16 44:25

47:13,16 48:1 52:1 77:4

hiring 46:15 48:2 49:22 155:9

history 129:5 157:19

hit 7:5,18 115:21 136:3

hold 82:21 109:11

holder 7:11

holding 16:2 17:19

hole 110:18 111:16

holler 148:10

home 7:5

homeowner 110:9

Homeowners' 141:16

honestly 100:4

hookup 76:24

hope 12:15 13:24 107:23

hoped 103:6

hoping 79:5

Hornback 147:21 148:3,5 150:12

horse 61:22

hospitals 158:24

hotel 62:13 167:12,14,16

hour 127:12 144:4

hours 130:7,8 132:23 133:2,18,23 134:1,19 135:4 136:23,25 137:9,14 141:24 142:2 146:12,14,18,24,25 147:1,6

house 94:11 109:17,19 110:1

housekeeping 130:4

HR 152:2,3

huge 149:13 157:7

hundred 165:8

hundreds 76:5,20 159:21

hurry 90:23 91:1,8

hypothesize 58:6

hypotheticals 123:9

ı

idea 87:4 91:2 155:14 157:22

idealogical 78:20

identical 65:17

identified 14:9 66:6 90:13,15 113:6, 14 114:15 121:3

identifier 132:9

identify 9:14 59:11 89:7 91:10 152:16

identifying 116:23

ignores 31:9,11

IHA 29:16 33:10,14

illegal 54:5 56:22 120:25

implement 129:13

implementation 144:12,17

implemented 129:14 144:15

implementing 156:22

implements 131:4

implicated 112:17

important 146:2 149:12 165:7

importantly 148:12

improper 53:18 149:20

inappropriate 58:20

inaudible 4:4,15 9:13 11:23 15:10 27:8 34:22 37:4 42:20 43:18 44:1,3, 4,7 45:21 46:9 47:2,3,8 50:4 61:7,19 62:13 64:15,18,24 65:7 66:10,14,17 67:18 68:16 69:8 71:7,20 72:1,7,12 73:14 74:3,10,12 75:22 76:4 80:10 93:2 94:10 97:3,10,17 98:8 99:10 100:2,7 101:23 102:4 103:10,23 105:12,17,21 107:21,23 109:8 110:7,12 117:14,22 119:7,25 120:20 121:10,14,16 122:18,21 124:12 125:17 126:14,23 127:2,3,9 137:22, 23 140:18 143:20 146:6 151:13 154:6,12,17,18 156:2,4 157:13 161:22 163:17 164:7 166:5,15,24,25 167:1,13 168:12 169:4,9,14 170:5

include 30:18 89:19 90:4 115:15 144:5 146:6 160:8

included 27:20 28:3,6 31:5 123:3 129:2

includes 24:16 28:9 30:19 151:19

including 30:2 90:6 144:1,12,22

inclusion 122:15

Incorporated 74:15

incorrect 70:15 75:23 92:5 106:23

increase 141:6,9 142:1 143:17

153:11

increases 153:17

increasing 154:1

independently 10:21,22

index 132:5

indirectly 151:4

individual 61:2,6,13 65:10 92:17 96:7 98:17 99:3 114:10 145:14

individually 96:7

individuals 152:23

indulge 83:3

industrial 130:8

Industries 9:18 10:11 27:13 75:1 79:10 106:12

79.10 106.12

industry 49:13 56:15 76:16 80:7,15 82:11 83:9 94:5 95:19 103:15 112:15 113:23 136:5



information 48:11 60:2,22 63:5,7,8 64:15 68:7 77:23 81:25 82:6 103:22 116:16 123:3 124:21 128:23 149:21, 23 150:1 162:20

infraction 78:16 infractions 115:2

initial 74:21,22 88:1 92:5,6 106:7,8 121:24

input 47:7 129:21 162:11 165:23

inputs 162:12 inrush 157:24

inside 53:13 64:12 69:7 159:21

inspecting 103:11

inspection 53:10 81:21 82:13 88:15 91:20 94:7 122:13 138:11 141:18 142:17

inspections 35:21 141:23 142:2,7,8

inspector 3:7 13:23,25 14:1,3 15:2, 8,20 16:25 18:12 19:15 30:13,25 36:18 37:6 40:25 42:7,10,18 43:1 53:2 55:1,5,9,17 77:1,7 78:15 79:16, 20,23 80:25 81:15,16 83:6 84:25 103:11,25 111:11 119:22 120:10 122:10 123:1 124:6 142:17 164:11

inspectors 20:24 21:4 77:13,16,17 78:5,11 80:20 81:5 82:18,24 83:9 84:6,7 86:23 89:4 90:23 104:2 108:20 138:9,10,17 145:25 151:2 152:6 154:18 160:24 161:4

inspectors' 82:22

install 29:10 44:17,18 45:1 59:12,16 61:1 78:1 80:9 108:16

installation 28:14,15 29:1,6,7 30:11 34:11 35:5,7,20 49:4 54:1 62:6 66:1, 22 68:12 70:11 81:11 91:21 94:3 134:13 135:9

installations 11:19 14:12 27:21 28:7 30:3 57:13 65:15,16,19 67:23 69:5 94:3 103:23 133:1 135:10

installed 16:12 27:22 88:18 96:5

installer 113:1,3,13 114:3,7,11 125:9

installers 113:6 116:23

installing 28:1,10 33:1 45:2 64:22

65:24 66:17 71:1 95:3

instance 42:5 50:21 53:2 96:14 133:19 136:6

instances 7:1 41:3 50:21

instantly 151:12 instruction 80:3

insurance 21:13,14 22:15,16,23,24 23:1,3,10,11,12,15 46:18,22 47:23

insurances 48:18 **insured** 22:11,13

integrators 35:13,25 49:12 57:5

intended 59:11 61:14

intent 26:9 82:9,24 90:21 160:7

intention 130:10 intents 31:17

interconnect 101:1

interconnecting 88:7,14,17,22

interested 10:4 74:18 106:4 148:8 163:16 164:24

interesting 111:7 128:8,21

internal 162:13

internally 61:14,16

interpret 76:15

interpretation 22:5 24:14 29:15 31:25 79:8 80:21 81:11 82:17 84:1

interpretations 79:3

interpreted 95:4

interpreting 57:11 77:14 103:9

interrupt 27:2 interview 145:7

introduce 27:8 111:20 147:19,25

investigating 42:19

investigation 42:11 53:3 122:10 124:7

invoice 47:22

involved 37:19,21 39:11 79:16

involvement 129:8 irrelevant 96:11,13

Isaacson 3:4 4:24,25 8:16,17

island 135:2

issue 13:21 19:13 25:17,18,24 32:10 39:6 53:14,24,25 54:2 76:8 79:17 108:23 111:3,4,19 112:22 121:4 123:11,14 147:11

issued 10:7,10 13:4,5,25 14:4 15:19 18:8,9,13 19:22,24 20:1,2,5,7,8 24:14 25:11 31:8 37:13 41:24 54:3 55:1 58:1 66:13 74:22 75:1 79:25 106:8,11 114:14

issues 10:17,23 11:1 24:19 52:5 117:14

issuing 52:22 76:18 132:16

item 6:2 9:4,11 74:3 105:16 127:8,19 140:17 143:13

items 65:10 143:12 163:20

Ivan 3:4 4:24

J

J-O-H-N 27:12

jack 3:5 5:11 41:15 109:24 110:17 111:18 170:5

Jack's 52:20

jacks 100:8

James 3:6 5:20

January 8:6,10 10:8 106:12 119:12, 21 121:20 122:5,12 143:25

Jason 3:3,16 39:17 43:15 45:19 48:16 65:20 72:17 92:10 93:22 96:24 102:12 103:20 117:3 118:9 163:22

Jen 145:4,15 165:6 166:1 168:17

Jenkins 3:3,14,16 4:20,23 5:1,4,7, 10,13,16,19,22,25 8:2,7,13,18,22 9:3,10,19,25 11:22 12:7 27:1,7 33:19 36:9 39:16,17,21,24 41:14 42:21 43:15,16 45:19 48:13 54:20 56:1 57:21 59:3 60:4,23,24 62:10, 19,22 63:16,21,25 64:6,14 66:14 67:8,13,17,25 68:6,9,22 69:10,20 71:13,22,23 72:3,11,17 73:16,20,24 74:2,8,13 75:8,11,15,21 83:11,15,23 92:8 93:21 95:25 96:24,25 97:5,7,10 101:19 102:11,18,22 103:19 104:4,



10 105:5,9,15,20,25 106:18,21 107:8 112:4 117:2,13,22,25 118:2,8, 18 119:6,11,17,20 120:3,5,12,19,22 121:5,9,16,21 122:2,16,18,20 124:12 125:5,24 126:3,13 127:6,14, 25 137:20,25 138:2,19 139:4,7 140:7,11,15 150:22 152:25 153:3 160:13 161:15,16,21,25 163:21,22 164:5,18,21 166:13 167:3,9,13,16, 21 168:1,6 169:19,20 170:1,4,8

job 44:22 47:16,20 58:10 112:13 113:24 145:15 148:22 151:20 152:14,15 156:22

jobs 56:17 112:15 155:13 156:12,13 157:1,3,7,12,23

Joel 156:20

John 9:16 27:12 54:14 107:24,25 109:15 110:24 111:12 149:6

joint 134:14 135:10,23

joke 107:4 Jordan 16:25

judge 11:7 13:2 24:9,10 25:1,5,16, 20 89:4 114:25 115:11,20,21 122:9 169:2,6,10

judge's 26:2 judges 78:17

judgment 10:7 13:21 16:9 24:7,8 25:2 33:9,10,12,13,14

July 4:15 9:7 106:9 129:18 131:7,8,9 143:18 144:10 166:21 167:6

jump 124:11

junction 28:2 29:10 33:1

June 4:8 74:23 92:4 166:16 167:8

jurisdiction 77:3,16 103:12 122:4 160:12

jurisdictions 103:9

justifiable 17:9

Κ

K-N-O-T-T-I-N-G-H-A-M 41:17 71:17

keeping 86:6 152:5,17

Kerry 3:4 5:17 44:9

Key 141:23

kind 15:25 32:12 41:4,22 79:6 87:2 96:11 97:1 100:20 107:3,22 130:14 154:4 155:5 157:6 162:16 163:11

knew 91:4

Knottingham 3:5 5:11,12 41:15,16, 17 71:14,16,17,25 101:21,22 102:15,16 136:13,14,22 170:3,5

knowing 157:24 158:22

knowledge 48:19 107:19 116:11 145:1

Kutak 9:24

L

L&i 16:16 20:17 49:17 50:1,2 52:22, 25 53:13 55:2 56:13 57:25 76:18 79:7 82:10

L-A-R-R-Y 128:1

L-E-E 54:23 60:8

labeled 117:7

labor 9:18 10:11 27:12 75:1 79:9 94:16 106:12

labs 145:17

Lake 79:19 84:21,24 86:2,6,7 91:13 167:15.17

landlord 39:14

lane 136:21

language 18:18,22,24 19:6 65:17 69:3 70:1,9

large 80:8 87:4 111:10 159:20

Larry 127:20,21,25 132:21 140:12 145:18 148:21 161:18 164:13

lasts 138:14

Lauren 148:21

law 11:7 13:2 17:13 20:18,20,22 21:19 24:6,10,12 25:1,5 26:2 36:19 40:9,22,23 44:8 48:24 50:8,10,17 51:14,15 54:11 57:8,9,10,11 58:12, 17,25 68:3,13 78:5 80:11 81:13 82:19,24 83:7 84:2 87:13 89:16,25 91:10,14,24,25 92:2 108:14 109:6, 10 114:2,24,25 123:25 130:15,19,21 131:5,13,25 136:2 137:4 143:18 144:9 169:1,6,10

laws 34:13 35:8 52:11 58:21 76:15 77:14 87:15 128:19 136:11

lazy 86:10

lead 6:3 138:10

leadership 149:14 150:7,18

leads 108:18 145:20 152:6,23

learning 129:10

leave 13:18 72:20 104:13 126:15 137:7 145:12 148:8

leaves 82:25

leaving 124:5

led 80:2 162:15

Lee 3:6 4:18,19 54:21,22,23 55:11 60:7,8

leg 154:7

legal 10:14 17:11 38:8 50:22 57:12 62:15 82:8 83:6

legally 53:24 60:17 legislation 138:4

legislative 148:20

legislature 10:15 129:22 138:21

lengthy 14:2

leniency 41:6,8,13

level 145:1 159:23

Lewis 135:10,23

LHL 61:3

liabilities 48:19

liability 32:11

liberty 12:12

license 10:19 15:7,23 17:7,14,18 21:25 22:1,3,12,16 29:21 33:4 34:6 36:21 37:2,7,8,12,16 38:3,5 40:11, 12 41:19 43:2,7,10,12,17,19,25 44:1 45:6 46:19 47:3,23 48:7 49:9 50:17, 18 52:16,21 53:4,6,7,8,9,22 54:4,17, 19 55:4,24,25 56:11 58:2 61:4,17, 18,24 66:2 112:24,25 113:1,2 132:16 133:3 134:20 141:21



- April 27, 2023 Index: licensed..matters

licensed 22:14,23 23:24 34:18 35:9 42:7 45:11 50:24 51:1 94:8

licenses 22:11 32:20 49:15 113:8

licensing 34:3,13 43:24 100:18 133:8 135:14 136:1,11 138:8 139:13 142:12 143:5,10,23 144:8

lies 81:4 95:20

light 76:7,9 83:2 84:13 87:11,22 88:3,7 97:3,21,23 98:7,10 99:17

lighting 79:12 80:2 82:3 89:5,9,10, 13,19,20 90:1 94:21 98:20 99:20,25 100:1,3

lights 76:10,19,22 77:9 81:9 90:25

limbo 130:9,15

limitations 120:9 121:1

limited 163:10

lines 144:2

linked 132:19

list 105:16 117:7 119:25 137:21 161:17

listed 80:2 95:3,4 98:13,15

listened 148:14

listing 89:21

literally 56:11 98:1

live 169:3

livelihood 149:16

LMI 14:17,18,24 15:13 18:4 23:21 44:12 45:15

lobby 104:11

local 9:23 15:1,3 19:10 21:12 24:2 45:12 47:19 50:23 78:8 79:7 81:5

location 32:18 78:13 82:3 85:20 86:2,4 100:7

locations 41:1 86:7 91:12,13,18 112:17,19,21 113:16 116:17,21 117:6 124:20

locks 101:4

log 166:10

long 6:9 12:11 23:6 26:23 131:17 137:21 155:16 161:4

longer 3:20 83:3 132:10 157:1 158:25

looked 25:8 36:19 97:2 99:15,20 103:25 111:10 117:14 120:13 146:19

loose 85:14

loosely 78:1

Lord 67:1

lose 154:19

lost 118:21 154:6

lot 12:19 20:17,19 32:9 35:25 45:9 48:15 51:19,22 129:20 136:9 146:3 147:8 148:11 149:6 150:4 153:22 154:11 155:23 156:13 159:19,20 162:11.20 165:12

lots 94:10

lovely 107:5

low 29:7 89:5 103:10 128:6

lower 87:2 114:25 115:1,3

lucky 150:19

lunch 127:8

Lyon 13:24,25 14:3 15:2,8 16:25 19:15

Lyon's 14:1 30:25

Lyons 30:13

M

M-O-L-E-S-W-O-R-T-H 36:13

made 17:24 18:3,13,15 20:9 25:12 28:12 29:12,13 30:1 33:8 43:4 55:22 72:19 91:21 104:6 126:9 131:6 141:20 144:5 149:15,19,25 160:17

mail 107:15

main 19:13 87:16

mains 94:11

maintain 3:24 64:22 153:8 154:2,8,

maintaining 28:10 29:19 65:24 71:2 165:20

maintenance 134:12,17,20 136:16, 19,20

majority 143:15

make 4:11,13 7:3 17:19 18:20 20:10 22:10 23:2 26:20 32:9 40:24 41:11 51:13,17,23 58:13,23 63:20 67:3 72:3 73:9,20 79:11 92:23 102:17 103:5 107:23 121:8 129:16 132:8 149:23 150:2 152:15,20 153:18 157:16 158:18,21 162:5 165:17,24 166:5,18 169:7,8

makes 10:18 34:23 44:4 159:1 166:10

making 17:25 22:2 61:10 94:8 113:20 143:10 149:2 160:10 162:13 163:4 164:22

male-female 101:4

manage 29:6 48:11 49:4,23 59:17 60:14 66:22 67:22 68:1,4,12 69:5 70:24

managed 30:2

management 45:10,18 47:13 69:7 70:2,4,9 71:19 72:6,8

manager 14:10 38:24 39:3,14 40:8, 10 145:3

manages 48:4

managing 49:7,11 68:14

manner 21:23 30:12

manufacturer's 80:3

map 144:11

March 118:19 122:4 140:25 141:10 143:13,16,25

mark 27:2 115:12

marks 136:3

massive 14:15

master 18:6

material 25:3,13,21,24,25 26:4,8 81:21 90:21 91:21 112:20

matrices 62:3

matrix 118:25

matter 10:1,2 13:5,23 16:16 24:11 42:16 48:5 74:14 80:13,19 106:1 149:19,20

matters 61:15



Mcchord 135:10,24

means 87:7,9 88:17 91:3 137:12

meant 84:14 measure 21:7

measures 141:23

measuring 147:10

mechanical 35:15

Medical 79:19 84:20,21,24 86:2,6,7 91:12

medium 163:6,8

meet 12:9,10 34:21 92:4 147:7

158:19,20

meeting 3:2,18,23 4:6,7,8,13,14 6:7 8:6 9:7 34:2 62:24 73:1,8 104:18,25 126:20 127:1,18 129:11 137:21 148:4,14 150:9 158:15 160:4 166:16,21 167:8,19,20 169:23

meetings 129:12

member 4:18,19,20,22,23,25 5:1,3, 4,6,7,9,10,12,13,15,16,18,19,21 8:11,16 11:14 33:23,24 34:24 41:16, 17 42:23,24 43:5,11 44:9,10 47:11 48:13,15 52:17,18 53:15 54:9,20,22 55:11 56:1,3,23 57:2,18,20,21,23 59:6,7 60:7,8,23 61:20 63:19,23 68:22 69:2,18 70:7 71:6,13,16,17, 22,25 72:14 92:9,11,13,23 93:1,4,7, 9,17,20,23 94:19 95:2,13 96:2,15 100:13,21 101:3,6,11,21,22 102:15, 16,20 103:3,24 122:22,23 123:7,15, 18,21 124:14,24 125:2,20,21,22 126:1 128:9 132:21 133:20 134:6,9, 11,16,23 136:13,14,22 139:8,15,18, 20,23 140:3,5 150:22,24 151:8,13, 18 154:14 155:24 156:8 157:8,13 158:3,22 159:4,7 160:16 161:1,6,12 162:3,4 166:7 168:3 169:11,24 170:3

members 3:3 8:21 33:21 36:7 38:1 40:4 58:10 59:5,22 60:6 62:12 76:2 96:1 105:7 117:4 150:21 164:9 168:20 169:7 170:7

mention 35:14 107:6 108:7 111:9

mentioned 3:22 32:2 38:1,10 40:25 41:3 109:23 132:22

merits 11:13 169:8,14

message 6:2,5

met 30:21 147:20 158:2

method 49:11 96:20,23

mic 27:24

middle 137:14

Mike 3:45:14

military 130:5 132:22,25 133:9,22, 23 134:5,7,9,13

million 23:4 138:8,14 139:10,16 151:2,10 153:10,15,16,20 154:7

mind 11:5 42:1 52:14 86:6 109:16

mine 7:21

minimum 36:21 37:3,17 40:12 89:12 98:2 152:21

minute 13:7

minutes 8:9 11:12 75:24 147:25

misrepresentation 81:21 82:8 90:21

missing 43:24

mission 79:9

mistaken 81:19

mistakenly 117:18 118:13

Mm-hm 134:15

mode 6:17

modify 58:16 59:2

modular 94:5

Molesworth 3:7 5:23,24 6:3,6 36:11,12,13,17,24 37:15,24 38:12, 18 40:2,18,21 42:1,4,5 43:3,8,14 50:6,7 51:8 52:23 53:23 54:13 55:7, 12,16 56:19,20,25 57:7,19,24 58:3 96:5,10,19 100:5,15,19,22 101:5,9, 15 120:6,18,21,24 121:7,15 122:24 123:6,13,16,19 140:18,21 150:13 151:7,11,15,22 153:2,4 154:20 156:7,10 157:10,15 158:4 159:2,5, 24 160:15,20 161:2,7 169:12,17,18

moment 69:21 83:3 87:12 109:15 116:9 117:24 163:15

Monday 18:10 19:20 41:4 131:10

money 45:22 47:10 48:3,5 50:14 139:11

moneys 48:10

month 131:25 144:3

monthly 141:2,3,7

months 129:20 153:9

morale 158:16

morning 18:10 19:20 41:4

motion 8:8,12,14,18,23 16:9 63:18, 20,22 64:1 65:6,8 71:6 102:14,17, 19,23 103:2 125:19,25 126:8 169:23,25 170:2,4

Index: Mcchord..NCR

motorists 76:10,11

mouthful 84:23

move 6:24 107:1 125:23 130:19 144:15 156:14

moved 33:9,12,13 156:16 168:14

moving 9:3,10 63:9 74:3,5 88:2 89:2 98:16 129:22 140:17 145:16 156:6

multiple 19:16 86:9,16,18 87:2,5 98:12 117:6 125:17

municipalities 155:20 160:3,10,17, 24

Ν

named 114:11

names 35:14 110:21

nation 52:10

national 23:21 24:3,4 48:1,3,11 50:23 51:19,20 102:1 110:3 136:7 162:6

nationwide 13:12 14:15,17 16:21 21:8,9,11 23:9 45:10

nature 29:11 107:18 109:25

nauseam 151:6

navigate 83:1

NCR 9:9,11,12,23 10:2 12:4,17,21, 23,25 13:3,11,13,15,18,22 14:8,10, 15,24 15:15,16,24 16:3,10,17,20 18:3,6 19:2,8,9 22:12,14,20,23 23:1, 7,21 24:4,16 26:9,14 28:4,12,18 29:5,18,24,25 30:1,10,23,25 31:6,11 32:14,17 35:23 36:4,14 38:10,20,25 40:6,15,19 42:7,13 44:13 45:9,17,22



Index: Ncr's..out-of-state

46:3,4,8,14,21 47:4,9,12,13 48:9,22 49:19,23 50:3 51:15,25 52:1 55:6 57:4 59:11,12 66:15,18,21 67:22 68:11,18 69:4 70:20,23 71:20

NCR's 15:17 18:11 32:18 36:13

NEC 128:18 162:16

necessarily 48:16 70:14 115:6

needed 15:23 37:16,19,20,21 63:5 77:10,11 78:23 122:25 144:24 146:24 149:21

needing 78:4

network 44:18

networks 49:23

newsletter 81:14 84:10 88:12,13 131:24

nice 112:13

noncertified 111:5

noncompliance 112:16

nonelectrical 32:10

nongovernmental 132:3

nonlicensed 111:4

nonqualified 111:5

Nord 3:5 5:14,15 8:11 102:20 126:1 169:24

normal 36:5 121:13

north 12:15

Northwest 44:24 74:1,5,15

Nos 74:16 106:2

note 116:15

noted 125:7

notes 32:4 137:23 165:25

notice 10:4 62:12 74:18 82:12 106:4

161:17

notices 10:10 74:24 106:10

notions 20:4

novo 11:6

NRC 27:15

null 46:5

number 29:12 67:14 71:24 97:6,7

111:10 113:2 114:9 117:7,12,19 118:4 132:18 138:5 142:11,13 143:17 148:10 151:24 152:3,7 154:21 156:11

numbers 113:6,7 118:7 132:3,4,11, 15

numerous 85:19

0

OAH 63:6 102:24 107:11,13,17 109:4 111:20 116:4 119:7 120:13

OAH's 13:10 39:7 58:12 116:1 117:1,16

obey 123:25

objections 169:4

objectively 84:2

obligation 34:10

obtain 29:7 91:13,18,20

obtained 91:1

obtaining 32:19

occasionally 157:6

occurred 66:5 92:3

occurring 122:4

October 119:12,21 121:20 122:11

offer 31:14 64:21 65:22 68:1 74:21

90:3

offered 28:12 31:21 88:20

offering 30:19 66:16 70:24

offers 28:9 60:12

offhand 42:6 154:21

office 10:7,24 13:2 15:21 16:5,15 17:25 19:4 20:11 23:19 24:9,17 66:13 69:8 73:9,14 74:22 76:18 79:7 80:21,25 82:10 105:1 106:8 107:17 109:16.20 112:8 159:17

office's 77:15

officer 107:4,6

official 15:20

oftentimes 35:15

oldest 143:13

Olympia 4:7 167:10,11,14,16

on-site 15:6 17:14 21:25 23:24 29:6 30:11 32:17 34:12 54:2

one's 130:10

online 141:12,14,16,18,21

open 128:3 151:24,25

opened 134:4

openings 151:20

operate 21:22

operating 135:14 141:2,3 152:21

153:9

opinion 17:21 21:18 49:1 95:22

98:23 99:6 108:16 162:18

opinions 162:11

opponent 108:24

opportunity 12:14 26:10,20 27:6 124:19 125:1 144:22,23 147:15

149:22 150:2

opposed 8:22 65:5 103:1 126:7

170:8

opposite 78:18 92:18 116:18

option 63:4 100:2

options 62:25 63:2,9 98:22

Oracle 44:25

order 3:18 10:6 11:2 13:13 22:17 33:6 36:20 37:2 38:5 45:11 50:16,19 54:7 58:12 62:13,24 63:2,3,20 64:9 65:2,9,10 66:9,13 69:16,19 70:13,15 71:3 73:2,5,8,21 74:21,22 88:1 92:5, 6 104:8,12,19,21,25 106:8 119:10, 16,18 121:25 126:11,14,21,22,23 127:1,18 130:11,12 132:7,8 133:16 136:23 160:11

orders 65:6 73:4 104:21

organizations 155:13

original 137:4 168:15 169:13

originally 18:8

out-of-country 133:6

out-of-scope 32:20

out-of-state 130:6,7 133:6 146:23



outbound 144:5

outlet 80:3 92:17 97:15,18

outlets 78:3

outreach 156:23

outstanding 151:20

overlap 53:1

oversee 169:2

overseeing 109:3

overspend 153:6

overstep 50:3

overstepped 82:24

overturned 39:8

overzealous 80:21 84:6

owner 46:1 79:21 82:7 90:15

Ρ

Pacific 44:24

package 64:12 152:13

packet 39:18,23 67:10,14 68:19 71:18 88:5 90:12 101:24 119:13,15, 21 128:4 168:13,19 169:1

packets 77:23 165:4,5,11 166:4

pages 12:15 86:5 97:20 142:25

143:2

paid 29:25 30:3,4,7 47:6,9,12,19,21

50:14 151:15

panel 85:5 96:7 163:4

panels 162:14 paper 165:14

Paragraph 77:24

parameters 150:16

•

Pardon 128:4

part 28:1 39:17,18 45:2 60:19 94:24, 25 137:7 143:1 151:18 156:18 159:18 165:7 168:18 169:14

part-time 155:12

partial 16:9 33:9

parties 10:4 32:14 39:3 73:3,10

74:10,18 104:11,20 105:2 106:4 126:14,22 169:9

partners 149:10

partnership 64:20 65:21 148:18,24

150:17

parts 66:6 136:9 146:5

party 11:2,12,23 44:14 66:8 75:22

106:22

Pasco 4:15 166:23 167:5

pass 88:10 128:10,22 129:6,7

160:18

passage 110:15

passed 128:25 129:14 131:25

138:21

passes 8:23 65:6,8 88:9,25 103:2

129:3 170:9

passive 108:17 109:22,23 116:10,

17,18,19 124:2

password 166:12

past 103:10 109:19 157:19 160:21

patch 100:9

path 124:9 135:16,17

pathways 130:5

pause 62:18 108:25

pay 30:4 46:4

paying 7:19 46:6 59:20

payment 30:1 159:14

pays 46:11

penalties 10:23 109:1 114:25 115:1

penalty 52:6 82:5 112:7,8,10,11

115:4

people 6:14,23 14:22 16:3,23 19:10 21:13,20 28:25 50:23 57:4 76:5

109:20 110:3 128:22 129:7 133:17 134:11 135:12 145:12,13 146:23

155:7 164:22

people's 80:8 163:15

percent 35:2 99:7,14 128:22 129:3,

7 141:6,9,11,13,17,19,21,22,23,24, 25 142:1,3,4 143:4,5,6,7 151:23

155:2

Percentage 142:2

perfect 149:18

perfectly 17:2

perform 14:12 32:11 33:6 66:16

70:25

performance 32:18 141:23

performed 94:7 122:13 141:24

142:2,7,9 144:25

performing 114:16

performs 79:13

period 52:16 57:19 118:6 120:11

122:12 130:9 141:5,8,25 142:9,16,

18 151:16 159:11

peripheral 153:22

perjure 91:3

perjury 82:4,5 90:9

permanent 80:9 85:1,21 130:5

138:18

permissible 40:15

permit 35:20 49:10 51:10 76:21,23 77:2,8,9,10,11,15 78:4,23 79:13,14 80:1 81:1,10,12,23 82:1,2 86:23 88:15 90:13 91:1,2,5,14,19,22 94:20

95:5 97:12,16 99:6,7 100:7 103:13 108:19 109:3 110:15 112:2 113:11, 13 117:6,12,19 118:16 119:5 120:25

122:25 123:3,4,10,11,24 124:5

125:11 142:12

permits 29:8 32:20,23 35:9 49:16 51:6 79:15,24 80:4,12 102:9 108:11, 12 110:14,20 111:21,23 112:17,22, 23 113:5,15,22,25 114:7 115:13,14 116:23 117:7 118:4,5 120:11 124:4,

permitted 99:14

permitting 100:18

person 14:10 26:13,14 37:6 64:19 65:21 111:4,5,13,15,25 114:16

7 125:7 141:11,13 143:6 160:8

133:9 145:11

personally 80:10

personnel 30:12 132:23 156:6

perspective 153:8 165:19

pertain 52:11 83:4



pertaining 11:18 pertains 94:2

phone 6:13,14,16,17 7:2,13,17 100:8 143:23,24 148:9

phonetic 13:24 156:21

phrase 19:7 88:25

picture 46:24 97:21 99:5

pictures 84:17,25 85:19,24 99:11

piece 11:8 95:3 138:3,4

pieces 87:5 89:21 **pipe** 109:19 110:11

pipes 110:16 piping 109:24 pit 109:24 111:17

place 22:23 23:3,7 44:7 59:25 86:15 94:6 110:11 112:25 113:2 129:25

130:1 144:10 146:13,14

places 156:6 **plain** 89:1

plan 142:22,25 143:2 144:13 154:1 156:1,9,11,16 157:4,20,21 159:18, 22 160:6,9,18 161:3,4,5

planning 49:7 110:4 146:4

plans 156:16 159:12

plant 12:6

play 153:23 155:8 168:2

played 77:11 plays 31:4

plug 20:25 77:11 80:1 81:2,8 92:16 93:13 96:17 100:15,16,17,20 101:13 110:8,25 111:5 124:2

plug-in 97:12,15 98:11 110:7,24

plug-ins 116:9

plugged 79:20 96:6,8

plugs 93:10 110:13

plumbing 111:17

point 13:14 14:2 22:7,8 26:6,25 36:7 38:8 39:9 44:4 45:3,23,25 46:5,11, 12 49:6 54:12 55:3 57:3 58:15 64:13 66:3,20 67:21 70:12 80:23 83:16

84:18 90:11 93:25 99:16 114:19 115:20,21 120:15,16 127:11 147:7 151:6 153:10 160:17

point-blank 14:3 pointed 49:18 pointing 72:4

points 26:7 40:14 48:4

police 76:8,18policies 6:21policy 23:5poor 22:21

portable 76:16 94:2

portion 31:2 46:20,21 47:3 87:4

portions 66:7 ports 100:9 pose 124:15

position 11:6 13:3,7 15:20 16:17 17:9 18:11 23:20 26:5 45:14 48:1 89:17 96:16 100:11 111:20 144:21 145:16

positions 144:18,20,25 145:2,4,6,7 151:24 152:1,22

possess 114:12

possibility 133:12,15 135:6

possibly 53:20 86:11 103:16

posted 81:10 113:12

power 76:25 77:11 78:14 80:8,25 81:9,17 84:4,9,20 85:2,4,6,15,23 86:20 87:16,24 88:9,16,18,25 91:15 95:9,10 96:18,20,22,23

powering 85:15 practically 135:5

practice 52:4 132:2 159:10

precedence 78:22 predecessor 149:6 preloaded 94:21 preparation 146:16 prepare 146:4

prepared 104:7 126:11

prescription 108:25 109:1

present 3:7 4:19 5:21,24 26:10 27:6 79:19 124:19 147:12

presentations 35:1 presented 17:23 78:18

presentment 72:25 104:17 126:19

presents 108:3 president 114:4

pretty 12:11 14:2 16:15,25 17:1 20:22 29:17 57:11 82:10 156:14

previous 23:18 75:5 131:20 141:14, 18

price 61:5

pricing 60:25 62:3 primary 85:15 principle 14:6 principles 29:15

print 165:11 printing 165:8,13

prior 3:25 91:19 129:12 143:21

priority 20:14 **private** 7:2 156:5

problem 40:22 46:17 51:9,23 79:2

problems 51:12 procedure 75:5

procedures 10:25 106:16 **proceed** 75:25 107:7,9

proceedings 3:9 62:18 63:6

process 20:4 61:13 98:8 123:11 130:19 145:8 148:15 151:17 155:8

processed 141:12 143:12

processes 155:10 processing 143:22 procured 81:22

Production 74:1,5,6,15 Production's 75:16 profession 149:2,3



professional 30:12 49:13 159:10

professionals 86:23

program 10:16 133:5 138:5 143:24 144:22 145:20 146:5 149:7,8,14 150:17 152:2,18 160:11 164:16

165:19 168:18

programmer 111:24

programs 129:9 144:20

progression 43:19

project 14:9 38:24 39:3 40:8,10 45:17 47:13 70:2,4,9,24 71:19 72:6, 7 146:7,9,11 147:17 149:12 162:7

projects 159:20 promised 107:11 promote 155:13 promotes 150:15 promotional 144:22 pronouncing 13:24

proof 11:23 21:14 23:12 75:22

106:22 proper 10:3 50:17 74:18 91:5 106:4

properly 90:13 91:12 109:3 144:25 154:8

property 39:14 82:7

propose 62:10

proposed 10:6 11:2,24 65:9 66:9,12 69:16,19 71:3 73:3,4,5 75:23 87:25 104:8,20,21 106:23 121:24 126:11, 22,23 163:7

proprietary 88:7 prospective 117:9

protected 80:3 149:3

protection 32:7 94:10

protects 32:7 protocol 148:4 proud 158:19

prove 92:4

provide 12:16 21:14 29:6 30:11 59:18 62:3 70:21 71:21 79:6 83:6 85:17 103:6 116:15 133:8 137:4,8 148:19 149:22

provided 73:10 105:2 127:3 130:4 133:18 137:8 150:1

provider 79:18

providing 12:12

provision 133:22,23 136:2

provisions 31:10 130:22 131:4

PSI 132:5,17

public 99:9 129:21 131:2 147:24 148:6 162:11,12 163:20,23,24

published 131:25 162:8

pull 29:10 33:1 51:6 77:15 86:11 91:4 118:15 123:24

pulled 71:4 76:24 91:2 94:20 99:7 118:14 146:17

pulling 28:2 71:9 77:2 86:13 99:3

purchase 79:13 82:2

purchased 79:24 98:18

purchaser 90:14 purchasing 51:10

purposes 31:17 138:9

pursuant 10:3,25 31:7 74:17 106:3

pursue 55:5 purview 43:6

put 7:12 34:18 81:25 90:25 91:9 98:9,10 100:8 110:5,9,15,16,24,25 123:24 130:14 146:13,14 153:19

155:8 163:7 168:19

putting 48:23 98:20

Q

QC/QA 34:14

qualified 94:15 111:25 112:1

qualify 130:11,17 134:3 136:24

160:11

quarter 141:4,7,11,13,14,16,18,20,

22 143:3 151:9

quarterback 18:10 41:4

quarterback-type 19:21

quarterly 161:14 162:14

question 36:13,23 39:5 41:18 42:25 44:9 49:6 52:20 53:17 54:24 55:18 57:24 61:12 64:5 67:6 69:17 76:22 80:20 94:18 100:14 110:22 111:1 122:24 124:13,21 135:16 139:7 150:6 151:19 154:15,16,18 155:25

Index: professional..RCW

questions 11:14,20 27:5 33:17,21 56:2 59:4 60:5 62:16 75:12 92:11 93:22 96:1 97:13 100:12 102:13 105:3 106:19 117:4 122:21 125:18 132:12,20 137:19 140:8,12 150:20 160:14 166:14 169:20,21

questions/comments 63:17

quick 7:17 32:4 131:23 149:11 156:24

quicker 155:10 quickly 86:10

quorum 4:13 6:1 166:18

quote 14:5 16:7,10 81:17,18 88:10

quoting 121:22

R

R-E-I-T 75:20

R-I-D-D-L-E 107:3

rack 94:9

radon 108:11,17,20 109:19,21,22,23 110:7,8,9 116:12 123:24

raided 154:5

raise 25:4 26:25 126:6 145:24 152:11,12 153:11

raised 22:8 158:6

raising 65:2 102:25

ran 96:7

Randy 148:21

Randy's 9:2,5

range 118:11 119:1,8

rapid 153:19

rate 128:10 129:6 153:19 154:10

RCW 54:11,16 66:16,18 70:25 77:23

101:11



reach 21:7 59:10 72:23 73:7 104:15, 24 126:18,25 147:5

reached 11:17 72:22 104:14 126:17

read 18:25 30:24 48:9 59:9,15,17 60:1 75:19 85:25 86:20 115:21 121:19 140:23

readers 44:18

reading 124:3

readings 109:21

ready 107:10

real 32:4 33:24 82:7 149:11 158:20

reality 121:10

reallocated 144:19 145:10

reallocation 144:24

realm 122:6

reason 13:5,6,7,9,23 20:5,6,7 21:5 32:5 76:4 92:5 131:12 136:4 138:25 143:1 169:13

reasonable 21:19 23:6,7 25:6 31:24 36:25 37:5 69:11

reasons 19:23 22:4 62:11

rebuilding 157:25 receipt 107:15,21

received 4:10 9:4 29:18 73:2 104:19 107:12 108:5 126:21 128:3

receiving 48:10

recently 80:11 144:18

receptacle 92:20 93:11 110:2,8 124:1

recess 62:17

recipients 87:5

recognize 148:15

recognized 113:7

recognizing 149:15

reconsider 147:12

Reconsideration 146:9 147:16

149:11

record 3:24 6:1 9:22 11:8,10 12:11, 14 13:22 15:18 23:16 30:9 33:11

37:10,14 39:7 45:8,18 47:12 48:9 55:20 58:5,8,14,22,24 60:10 63:12 66:4,6,7,25 69:8 70:5 84:18 94:18, 25 113:12 115:20 116:15,20 122:14 123:23 127:25 133:8 140:24 162:17 168:11 169:9.16.18

recorded 143:21

recording 3:23 4:4 9:15 12:2 27:9

83:16 107:1 170:10

recruiter 155:12 recruiting 155:9

recruitment 138:16 151:5

red 76:7,9,10,19 83:2

reduce 84:9
reductive 84:11

reference 65:18 94:1 **referenced** 11:9 71:19

references 69:7 70:4 102:2

referral 43:4 53:12

referred 32:15 44:21 53:19 57:25 77:18 85:18 111:24

referring 68:10,23 69:18,21 72:9

refers 89:22,23

reflected 37:10 71:3 110:6

reflects 73:5,21 104:22

reformat 162:6

reformatting 162:25

reforming 162:22

regard 133:17

regional 14:25 15:11,12 17:16 21:10,12 24:2,3 44:15,24 47:25

registered 27:16

regular 104:17 166:20

regularly 4:14 72:25 80:7 104:17,18

126:20 167:4

regulate 135:4

regulated 136:11

regulations 20:21 35:10

regulatory 135:1,14,20

Reinmuth 149:5

Reit 75:6,13,18,20 76:1 85:7,18 86:1,6 90:10,22 91:7 92:22,25 93:2, 5,8,16,19 95:1,18 103:5,14

reiterate 65:20

relationship 29:5 37:25 38:21 40:3

50:12 51:25 54:8

relationships 50:20

release 80:14

relevant 6:8

relying 67:4 70:2

remain 114:22

remaining 91:24

remand 63:5

remarks 75:5

remember 145:22 164:13 166:11

REMEMBERED 3:1

remind 29:17 58:9,18,24

reminded 160:1

reminder 4:6

reminds 107:22

remiss 151:1

remotely 19:11

removed 23:23 82:14

removes 72:5

renewals 141:21

repeat 36:23 67:18

repeating 22:7 111:3

report 128:3,7,8,9,13,15,16 129:1 140:18,20,24 145:17 147:22 161:14

reporter 3:22

reporting 146:17 156:1

reports 127:9,13 128:4

represent 9:17 27:12 47:18

representation 74:10 105:17,23

representative 15:24 32:17 34:11

74:6 75:16 144:18

representatives 144:6 145:9



Index: represented..secretary

represented 149:8

representing 3:8 14:10 15:16 16:16

represents 83:20

request 30:7 81:20 114:24 141:24

142:3 162:2

requested 62:12 128:24

requests 141:18

require 33:4 51:21 79:11,13 135:24

required 41:20,21 76:23 77:8 80:13 81:12 86:24 88:15 91:10,14 97:16

100:7 102:9 145:2

requirement 132:13,14

requirements 32:22 34:3 68:13 129:15 130:17 160:4

requires 36:19 50:17 80:11 81:1 82:8 95:5

research 133:18

residence 81:1,11 109:17,18

residential 97:11,14 109:18

respectfully 39:4 87:10,14

responded 33:13

response 14:5 16:8 33:14 108:24

124:18

responses 80:17

responsibilities 32:15 48:18

responsibility 45:4 46:15,20 47:1

62:9 113:21

responsible 28:4,6 32:19,25 35:5, 6,8,12,19,20,21 113:19 143:23

responsive 107:13

rest 46:24 52:10 76:9 79:8 81:6 117:20 146:25 152:13

restate 122:3

restore 71:20

rests 84:1

result 142:19

retain 166:18

retention 138:17 151:5

retire 155:3

retirement 154:19

retracted 123:1,2,10

retracting 124:5

revenue 141:7

reversal 19:14 25:15

reverse 58:15 59:2 63:3,20 64:11

65:6,9 82:23

reversed 20:15

reversing 64:4 65:2,11

review 10:22 11:3,5,7 12:14 32:4 65:10 142:22 143:3 156:2,9,11,16 157:22 159:18,22 160:6,9,18 161:3,

4,5 165:7

reviewed 58:14,24 142:25

reviewing 13:22 168:20

reviews 157:20 164:16

Riddle 106:17,20 107:2,3,10 112:13 113:3,18 114:2,4,8,12,19,23 115:6, 8,11,14,22 116:7,9 124:18 125:8

Riddle's 112:23

rides 143:11 144:19 145:4

rights-of-way 32:20

risk 23:13

road 76:5 160:12

Rock 9:24

role 83:6 145:5

roll 4:17 122:3

roof 109:24 110:17 111:18

room 62:13 81:7,10 167:23

roughly 128:22

route 55:2

rubber 110:12

rule 82:17 129:18 130:1,23,25

131:1,4,6,7,8,9,11,17

rulemaking 129:11,17 131:20

rules 11:18 35:10 76:3,6 80:22 128:19 129:12,13,16,17,24

ruling 26:2

rulings 169:7

run 14:22 16:19 17:5 19:3 26:16 28:16 160:19

run,' 18:20

running 26:18 27:23 86:15 107:25

runs 94:13

S

safe 21:22 79:10 81:3

safely 76:4

safer 79:11

safety 6:2,5,8,10 7:21 8:1 21:6 22:10 32:5,6,9 79:15 80:1,6,13

147:24 148:6

sale 13:14

sales 141:16

sat 148:13 163:4

Saturday 131:10

scale 155:17,18

scenes 146:3

schedule 30:8 82:10 112:7,10

115:4

scheduled 4:14 26:22 72:25 104:18 126:20 166:20,23 167:4,19

schools 158:1,24

scope 38:2 39:6 41:7,9 68:3 102:3

117:9,16

scroll 97:19

scrolling 128:5

SDS 14:17,18,24 15:13 18:4 23:21

44.12

seat 9:14 74:9 164:11

seats 164:10,11

secretary 5:23,24 6:6 36:11,12,17, 24 37:15,24 38:12,18 40:2,18,21 42:1,4 43:3,8,14 50:6 51:8 52:23 53:23 54:13 55:7,12,16 56:19,20,25 57:7,19,24 58:3,6 73:9 96:10,19 100:19,22 101:5,9,15 105:1 120:6, 18,21,24 121:7,15 123:6,13,16,19 127:2 140:21 150:13 151:7,11,15,22 153:2,4 154:20 156:7,10 157:10,15



158:4 159:2,5,24 160:14,20 161:2,7 169:12,17

secretary's 140:18,20,24

SECRETARY/CHIEF 3:6

section 52:24,25 64:25 66:12 128:11,19,20 129:4 143:11

sections 128:18 129:7

sector 156:5 **secured** 132:6 **securely** 132:7

security 107:25 132:2,4,11,15,18

self-perform 49:8 self-performing 49:8

sell 13:19

Senate 129:13,23 131:21 137:6 **send** 47:21 128:14 129:2 132:17

161:10

sending 16:3 160:6,23

sense 32:9 34:23 92:24 159:1

separate 10:20 96:6 161:3,4 163:7,

12

September 10:11

series 20:12 152:7

serve 12:19

servers 45:1 48:4

service 87:1 141:10 144:18 145:9

services 27:24 29:7 30:11 32:18,21 71:20 147:23 148:6

session 138:22 148:20

sessions 128:23

set 13:14,19 17:4 40:23 72:24 78:21 79:4 98:14 112:8 126:19 142:22

155:19

sets 14:14 108:2

setting 13:17

setup 81:7

seventh 62:21,22

severs 110:11

share 95:23

sharing 132:2

sheet 61:1,12 96:18

sheets 159:21

ships 136:6

shipyard 136:5

shocked 22:21

shoot 158:5,11

short 62:11 97:24 107:23 159:17

shortcut 70:3 71:12

show 41:6 55:20 62:3,4,5 77:3,7 78:12,21 81:24 94:2 99:11 125:8

showed 79:24

showing 61:1 84:17

shown 72:5

shows 15:2 37:14 41:8 76:21,22 78:16,23 79:4 88:21 110:7

sic 74:25 164:25

side 48:21 56:14 76:6 85:8

sideways 46:16

sign 138:23

signed 49:1,2 73:10 105:2 125:16 127:2 138:21 163:24

significant 25:3 76:14

signify 8:20 65:2 102:25 170:5

signing 31:15 38:24 39:2 125:12

signs 111:10

similar 35:17 39:10 49:11 51:21

109:7

simple 132:19

simplify 84:9

simply 28:19 30:3 31:19 44:22 45:17 48:10 68:14 96:17 116:24

simultaneous 33:22 50:5 123:17 151:14 157:14

sincerely 79:5

single 80:2 84:7 98:11,15,18

sir 106:17

sit 11:6 62:2,4

site 15:2 90:15,18,24 104:1,3 135:25

sites 47:16 **sits** 40:19

sitting 151:23,25

situation 18:10 19:21 39:10,12

76:13 124:5

size 156:11,12 157:12,20

slab 110:19

slippery 34:20

slope 34:20

SM- 46:4

small 25:17,24 76:17,21 78:23

158:25

smaller 87:5 156:13 157:5 159:3

smoking 36:4

SMS 45:15

sneak 161:8

Socapex 85:7,11 86:8 87:17 92:15,

21 96:16

Social 132:2,4,11,15,18

society 76:2

soft 149:8

software 12:20,21 13:18 16:18 17:17 21:16 22:6 26:17 28:16 44:13

45:1 47:12 48:4 59:19

sold 141:11,13

somebody's 149:16

sooner 155:10

sort 18:10 23:4,13,17 169:5

sound 146:19

sounds 69:10

source 78:14 85:16 95:9

space 95:11 99:13

speak 3:25 4:3 12:1 75:16 89:1 95:7 128:2 136:21 150:8 163:24

speaker 60:21 62:21 63:10,14,15 64:3,10 66:10,19,24 67:1,2,5,7,11, 15,20 68:5,21,25 69:1,12,14,24



71:5,8,15 72:10 74:7,11 78:7 97:4,9 105:8,19 118:1,3 150:10 164:3,8,15, 20 165:2,9,10 166:25 167:5,7,11,14, 18,22,24 168:8,21,23

speaking 4:3 23:23 33:22 37:22 50:5 95:18 112:14,18 123:17 151:14 157:14 167:2

speaks 88:14 113:19 115:10

special 4:7 99:18 136:2 166:16

specialist 127:21 140:12 144:20 145:25

specialists 138:11 specialized 100:10 specialties 136:20

specialty 137:9

specific 52:11 57:13 66:3,6,25 80:15 93:25 94:1 112:16

specifically 27:22 38:19 77:6 103:9 124:20

speculate 129:8

speculating 123:20

sped 159:15 **speed** 108:2

spell 12:2 27:8 75:16 83:15 106:25

spelled 12:5 75:20 107:3 148:6

spend 80:17 134:19 148:11 150:5

spending 139:14 **spent** 131:17

spider 77:9

Spokane 3:15 6:7 10:4 74:19 76:17 77:1,6,15 80:20,25 83:8 106:5 109:17

109.17

spoke 14:8 **spoken** 145:18

spot 149:9 **spread** 152:5

spreadsheet 62:2

stable 154:10

staff 53:10 139:10 143:23 144:9,14 149:25 155:2 158:17 165:6,14

staffing 144:6 165:21

stage 77:11 79:13 85:17,23 87:18 95:11 96:8 150:8

stagehand 94:13

stagehands 86:9

stakeholder 103:15

stakeholders 103:18 104:1 162:18

stalking 112:2

stand 44:6

standard 17:12 77:22 80:24 82:17, 22 83:2 102:3 144:9,12 145:16

standards 101:25 102:1 136:7

standing 137:11

stands 44:2 77:20 126:8

Starbucks 13:12,13,14,16 14:10 16:10,12,22 17:5 18:6,9,17,19 19:18 21:8,21 22:19 25:7,9 26:9,13,15 27:19 29:5,24,25 30:3 32:14 38:20, 21 39:1 40:19 45:10,22 46:2,3 47:5, 12 48:10 49:4,20 51:3,25 59:11 66:22 67:22 68:11 69:4 70:23

start 4:17 12:23 47:3 83:25 84:15 88:3 112:7 119:8 127:13 140:24 168:20

started 118:19 122:11 146:11 157:21 166:1 168:13

starting 39:19 46:11,12 51:2 91:19 162:22

starts 121:2

state 3:18 8:9 14:21 27:16,17,18 34:1,3,22 35:9,14 36:14,20 37:1 38:3,4 43:18,23 44:2,16,23 45:7 46:24 47:17 48:7 49:21 50:10,16 51:18,20 52:5,8,10,15,16 56:9,16 57:6 62:23 70:21 76:13,20 79:8 80:23 81:6 94:16,23 95:15 103:8,17 112:1 127:17 132:13 134:19 135:1 155:20 157:25 158:1 159:22 160:7, 18

State's 100:11 136:1

state-certified 130:6 135:8

statement 35:24 38:8 66:21 67:21 91:21

statements 49:3 81:17

states 66:21 67:21 77:22,24

stations 133:19

statute 20:20 23:25 24:13 29:13 30:16,21 31:5,10,15,21,24,25 32:2,3 55:23 60:11 65:17 120:9 121:1.2

Index: speaking..subcontract

statutes 29:17 58:21

statutory 29:15 109:16 110:2

stay 39:25 130:18

step 43:21 61:13 138:18 150:7

steps 23:8,23 44:3 62:15 135:20

Steve 149:5 152:20 **stipulate** 115:22 **stipulated** 115:24

stop 4:3 45:15 52:12 76:10 83:2

167:25

stopped 45:16 **stopping** 76:9,19

stops 142:17 store 32:17 stores 16:12

story 136:6

streamline 145:6 **street** 76:6 108:1

strings 28:2 29:10 33:1

strip 81:1

strips 77:12 81:9,17 84:4,10

structure 135:15 **struggle** 33:25 52:20

struggled 59:8

struggling 36:3 41:22 60:10,19

stud 97:24

stuff 13:18 25:25 132:19 158:17

subbed 44:6

subcontract 14:19 17:3 22:17 34:7, 15 35:15,16 36:20 37:2,3 38:5,15 42:15 43:17 45:7 46:12 48:8 50:16, 19 57:14,17



subcontracted 28:22 37:20 45:25 53:6,8 57:3

subcontracting 30:16,18,21 31:2, 4,5,6,13,23 32:1 35:23 45:12,17 50:15 52:3,15 53:25 54:6

subcontractor 43:21 46:6 53:14

subcontractors 14:11 30:4 34:2 35:11 47:6

subcontracts 17:15

subject 10:7 11:3 27:20 46:5 107:24 149:4 159:9

subjects 163:2

submit 31:14 61:4,18 64:21 65:22 66:16 68:2,15 70:9 71:1

submits 28:10 60:13

submitted 11:11 31:22 86:21 101:24 143:18 146:25

submitting 30:19 60:16 143:20

Subsection 89:18 138:7

substantial 24:24 58:11 59:1 138:18

substantially 25:14

Substitute 129:13,23 131:21 137:5

succession 146:4

sudden 157:24

suddenly 118:21

sufficient 116:22

suggest 62:14

suggested 155:11

suggestion 103:21

suggestions 165:16

summary 13:21 16:9 24:7,8 25:2 33:9.10.12.13.14

summed 80:19

super 99:24

Superior 109:12 111:7 168:14,15

supervise 142:13

supervision 135:7,21 143:6

supervisor 145:25 156:21 164:11

supervisors 6:7 138:10 152:6,23

supplement 63:6

support 56:5,8 58:11 59:1,2,18 72:8,9 81:13 88:19 89:16 90:3 95:21 132:14 134:13

supported 11:18

supports 122:15

supposed 46:18 94:15 125:11,12

surprised 151:9

suspicious 80:5

sympathize 108:13

system 62:7 79:19 81:8 88:16,17 98:19,20 100:6,8 101:14 108:17 109:22,23 110:16 116:12 123:24 124:2

systems 13:14 29:22 47:13 48:12 65:25 76:16 80:9 83:8 89:19,20 90:2,4 108:11,20 116:10

Т

T-R-O-Y 75:20

tab 118:21

table 61:23 111:24,25

TAC 164:2,5,9,17,25

Tacoma 134:14

tag 75:18

tailing 63:1

takes 23:12,13 30:23 148:16 165:12

taking 12:9 16:17 17:9 27:4 38:17 41:9 62:8 87:4 96:16 110:14 147:3

talk 13:11 19:25 20:1,3 24:19 26:14 50:12 87:22 112:16 128:15 135:9 147:5.25 155:13

talked 40:25 79:21 115:6 129:12 149:12 152:19 158:10 168:17

talking 6:13,16,17 7:2,6,19 13:11 18:3 48:17 52:9 64:17 67:9 86:4 89:23,24 90:7,8 99:9 100:16 101:1 113:14 119:5 120:9,10 121:25 122:1 149:15 151:5 160:9 161:9

talks 19:16 90:3,4,16 101:25 115:10

116:17

task 162:21 163:5,10

tasked 144:8

tasks 72:8

tax 144:18 145:9

taxes 138:23

team 144:8,10 150:7

technical 127:21 138:10 140:12 145:25

technology 48:12 99:23 102:1 116:16,19

telecom 44:13

telecommunication 16:11 27:21, 23 30:17 31:18 49:5 54:18 66:23 67:23 68:12 90:4

telecommunications 27:17 28:14 29:21 54:19 65:16,18,24 66:1 70:11 77:20,25 78:3 89:16,19 90:2

telling 128:5

tells 129:2,5 157:5

temporary 76:16 82:3 83:8 88:16 94:2.3 129:16 130:22

ten 78:3 98:13

ten-minute 105:10

tend 78:20

term 38:25 78:1

terms 20:19 104:12 112:13

terrible 166:3

testified 85:7 90:22 91:7

testify 91:7

testimony 85:9 108:13 123:23 124:3 169:3

testing 127:14,15 132:5 145:17

tests 103:10

texting 6:13 7:17

theory 128:18

thing 7:12 20:25 23:16 25:2 26:21 31:13 32:13 45:21 49:21 64:16 94:25 97:1 98:8 107:18 109:16 111:7 124:2 125:7 131:3 137:4



148:12 152:13 158:5 164:1 165:3

things 19:7 24:24 25:22 33:7 48:16 49:10 51:21 59:16 80:8 89:12 90:5 109:24 131:18 135:22 147:8,9,10 150:14 152:8,17 153:22 155:23 158:19 169:5

thinking 6:18,19 7:10 39:12 96:21 124:8

thinks 95:19

Thornton 81:15

thought 6:8 7:7 26:16 43:16 84:15 161:22

thousand 165:11

thousands 94:14

threaded 85:12

threatening 41:12

thresholds 133:16

thrown 15:25

Thursday 3:2 4:9

tickets 76:8,19 108:4

till 105:11

time 7:2 11:14 12:9 17:8 26:23 27:4 35:3 49:24,25 72:21 74:4 80:18 88:21 90:10 104:14 118:6 120:11 121:2 125:6,8,9,13,15 126:16 127:4, 7 128:10,25 129:3,6 142:9,20,22,24 143:1,24 144:7 147:13,19 148:12 150:5 153:16 156:18 158:2 159:13, 18 165:13.14.20.21 167:20

timely 10:13 75:3 106:14

times 141:1 150:19 152:14

today 3:23 6:2 7:10 8:1 10:1 11:13 12:9,25 15:16 58:10,23 72:20,24 73:22 74:14 76:23 84:11 104:13,16 106:1 112:16 123:16 126:15,19 145:21 148:13

told 14:8 47:17 55:13 108:9,10 123:24 157:6 160:23 165:24

Tomorrow 168:21,22

top 38:10 97:19 101:16 125:16 155:17,22

topic 6:10 7:10 8:1 95:8

topics 6:8 163:2,12

total 33:10 142:7,13,15,16 143:12 144:1

touch 149:11 151:1 165:3

touched 54:25 town 14:20

track 82:10

trade 94:15 95:24 129:10 136:10

155:13

trading 132:11

trained 30:12 70:1

trainee 112:24 113:2 125:10,13,14

143:6

trainees 125:11 142:13 143:20

training 103:16,22 135:7 144:9,13,

15

transcribed 3:24

transcript 8:5 24:20 86:1,5 107:11,

20

transcripts 25:19 60:1

transfer 132:6

transition 132:8

transmission 102:4

transmit 159:12

travel 6:8

traveled 6:9

treat 135:4

trend 80:22

trial 69:18

tribunal 86:21

trip 93:18

tripping 86:17

Troy 75:19

truck 94:14

true 82:6 134:5 136:15

trusses 94:21

Tumelson 3:6 5:20.21

turn 37:22 147:24

turnaround 142:22 159:13

Index: things..undue

turned 130:24

twenty- 62:20

Twenty-two 152:25

two-sided 154:4

two-year 117:9 120:16

tying 76:25 130:5

type 46:25 49:23 61:18 63:5 88:15 96:22 100:23 101:10 143:4 144:25

152:13 157:2

types 112:15 157:22

typical 23:5

typically 86:15 94:6

typo 122:14

U

U.S. 47:20,21,22 48:3

ultimately 35:8 44:25 48:7

umbrella 46:20,21 47:3

uncertified 114:7 116:23

unchallenged 91:25 114:22

undergoing 64:24

underneath 41:20

understand 26:2 36:3,25 41:22 45:8,18 47:11 48:21 50:3 56:6,7 57:18,20 68:10 71:8 76:3 82:20 97:11 135:23 153:25 158:1

understanding 10:9,12 37:18 38:19 46:22 53:17 55:15,20 69:13 70:7,22 71:7 74:23 75:3 80:11 93:14 94:12 101:7 102:10 106:9,13 138:24

understood 45:5 60:1

undertake 28:9 60:12

undertakes 28:9 60:13

undertook 28:12

underway 145:8

undisputed 15:5

undue 83:1



UNIDENTIFIED 60:21 62:21 63:10, 14,15 64:3,10 66:10,19,24 67:1,2,5, 7,11,15,20 68:5,21,25 69:1,12,14,24 71:5,8,15 72:10 74:7,11 97:4,9 105:8,19 118:1,3 150:10 164:3,8,15, 20 165:2,9,10 166:25 167:5,7,11,14, 18,22,24 168:8,21,23

unique 132:9 unjust 82:21

unlawful 64:19 65:21

unlicensed 51:10

unload 94:14

unpermitted 99:19

unreasonable 23:25 24:14

update 127:20,23 129:11 144:16

updates 145:20 updating 144:9

upheld 13:8 33:16 34:20 37:13 39:8

56:17

uphold 25:5 58:16

utility 85:1,2,21 87:16

utilize 80:7

٧

V-A-N-C-E 128:1

vacancies 145:8 153:1,18 154:17

155:15

vacancy 151:23

vacation 145:13

vague 88:10

valid 29:20 65:25 123:3

validated 112:22 113:11,12 114:1

116:24 118:17

validation 119:1.3

Vance 127:20,21,24,25 133:4,21 134:8,10,15,22,25 136:18 137:1,24 138:1,3,20 139:6,12,17,19,22 140:1, 4,6,9,13,14 161:20,23 164:14

Vancouver 167:4 Vankovich 156:21 vehicle 6:20 7:2 162:11

verbalized 107:20

verdict 81:24

verified 77:3

version 109:2

versus 63:7 68:1,4,13 70:9 116:18

video 90:6

violate 66:15,18 68:14

violated 48:24 51:15 120:16

violation 31:15 32:3 44:7 56:18 57:5 64:17 70:25 72:5,13 87:20,21 111:1 118:10,23,25 119:1,2,23 121:11,13,17 143:4

violations 18:14 19:17,18 29:2,18, 19,21 30:24 65:14 66:5 84:8 87:23 92:3 113:17 117:8,16 119:23,25 120:14,20

VIP 74:1,5,6,15 75:16 77:9 84:1,8,13 86:15 87:6,10 88:20 89:3,14,21 90:3,13 91:4,9,11,18,23 92:3 101:25

VIP's 86:21 88:6 89:6

Virtual 142:8

virtually 7:10

voice 90:6 147:14

voltage 29:7 89:5 115:10,12,15,23,

24 163:6,9,11

volume 159:19

W

W-A-R-R-E-N 107:3

WAC 94:1 101:12 108:25 109:2

112:10 115:4

WACS 108:23

wages 138:9 155:19

wait 156:17

waive 24:23

waiving 25:17

walking 99:9,13

wanted 18:25 41:11 58:18 121:7

137:3 162:5 165:3

warm 167:6

warnings 142:11 143:4,7,8,9

Warren 107:2

Washington 3:15,17 4:7,15 8:9 10:4 14:20 16:12 19:11,12 20:18 34:1,4,22 36:15,20 37:1 38:3,4 40:5, 22,23 44:16 45:7 47:17 48:7 50:10 52:10 57:6 62:23 74:19 76:13 79:10 106:5 110:6 112:1 127:17 134:19 135:15

Index: UNIDENTIFIED..word

watching 34:25

Waters 84:21

Wayne 3:7 5:23 6:3 36:11,12 41:19 42:4 50:6 52:19 54:24 57:3 140:18 148:9,19 149:12 160:14 169:18

Wayne's 149:14

ways 6:9 26:3 30:21 165:24

website 82:1,5 116:13

week 26:22 144:4 156:2

weekends 82:12

weeks 142:23 156:3

weight 116:6

well-known 57:5

what-if 96:13

whatsoever 135:4

wholly 113:11

wife 107:5

window 117:8,17,18 118:19 119:22, 24 120:7,9,15,17,23 121:13

windows 121:10

windshield 7:12

wire 26:16,18 35:6 76:23 78:7

wires 28:10 64:22 88:17

wiring 14:23 16:4,19 17:6 18:20

19:3 28:5 96:20,23

wit 3:10

wonderful 145:15

wondering 41:24 79:1

word 68:3 84:15 162:17



Index: words..Zurlini's

words 17:10 59:9 110:10 138:11

work 15:4,6 17:3 18:21 25:10 26:15 28:20 30:6,17 31:18 32:11 34:15,17 37:4 46:25 48:25 50:14,19 51:2,11 52:2 59:18 60:13 61:5,7,9 64:21 65:22 68:15 76:2 78:24 83:5 91:1, 14,19,20 114:16 123:9 129:20,21 130:12,16 132:23,25 133:2 134:17, 18 140:21 144:9,13,25 145:12,15,16 148:16 150:14,16 152:4 154:11 162:22

worked 29:23 131:15

worker 22:21 142:12 146:12

workers 21:21 workflow 145:6

working 79:10 133:10,13 135:7,8, 13,20,25 136:6 144:11 145:5 146:13 163:5,10

workload 143:15 160:8

works 44:23,25 82:11

world 156:4 worry 154:5,8 worth 155:7

wrap 109:14 150:20

wrapped 99:12

written 14:6 51:16 58:22 107:13,14 118:20

wrong 22:18 36:5 41:24 50:4 69:2 78:5 80:6 81:6 118:14 121:3,12,22 124:9

wrote 70:16 80:11

Υ

year 79:23 108:3 138:15 141:4,5,7,9 142:3,7,8,9,10,14,15,18,20,23 143:1 148:22 153:19 154:19,23 155:2 156:1

years 26:15 56:15 91:5 107:5 121:3 132:1 134:24 138:14 149:6 157:19 158:13 159:15

yesterday 6:6

you.' 161:11

Ζ

Z-U-R-L-I-N-I 83:22

Zurlini 75:7,10,14 82:15 83:13,14, 18,19,21,24 94:17,24 95:6,16 100:2 104:7,9 105:4,22,24 112:5,6 117:11, 21,23 118:16,23 119:9,14,19 120:1, 4 121:18,23 122:8,17,19 124:10,17, 25 125:4 126:11,12 127:5

Zurlini's 80:17

