Capitol Pacific Reporting

Court Reporters Since 1978

2401 Bristol Court SW, Suite C-103, Olympia, WA 98502 • Ph: 800.407.0148

L & I - Electrical Board

MEETING

July 27, 2023



Production:

production@capitolpacificreporting.com

Scheduling: scheduling@capitolpacificreporting.com

Website: www.capitolpacificreporting.com

- Full-sized and condensed PDF transcripts
- Hyperlinked word index
- Hyperlinked exhibits
- Bookmarked examinations and exhibits
- Other common file types including: txt, lef, sbf, mdb, xmef, and PTX e-Transcript
- Exhibits and other files found under the paperclip icon within Adobe Acrobat (Reader)
- Copy-and-paste while maintaining formatting
- Files accessible via online repository

Г

1	DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND INDUSTRIES
2	STATE OF WASHINGTON
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	ELECTRICAL BOARD MEETING
8	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
9	July 27th, 2023
10	9:00 a.m.
11	Pages 1 through 120
12	
13	Clark College, Penguin Union Building, Room 258A-C 1933 Fort Vancouver Way
14	Vancouver, Washington
15	
16	
17	CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT
18	
19	Reported by:
20	Evelyn Jaimez, RPR, CCR No. 3446
21	Capitol Pacific Reporting PH: 800.407.01.48
22	www.capitolpacificreporting.com admin@capitolpacificreporting.com
23	
24	
25	
	Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. scheduling@capitolpacificreporting.com

Γ

1		AGENDA	
2	ITEM	NO.	PAGE
3	1.	Safety Message	4
4 5	2.	Approve Transcript from April 27th, 2023 Electrical Board Meeting	5
6	3.	Appeals	
7		a) Randy's Heating ECHBO01063, ECHBO01065, ECHBO01066 and ECHBO01067	6
8 9 10		b) General Construction Company and William T Brown EJONV05509, EJONV05510, EJONV05511, EJONV05512, EJONV05513, EJONV05514	28
11		c) Gabriel McCoy and EPRO EIMER00485, EIMER00486, EIMER00487	66
12 13	4.	Departmental/Legislative update, Lorin Lathorp	84
14		Rulemaking Updates:	
15 16		a) WAC 296-46B rulemaking to adopt the 2023 National Electrical Code and other housekeeping changes	84
17 18	5.	Certification/CEU quarterly report, Lorin Lathorp	86
19	6.	Secretary's Report, Lorin Lathrop	95
20 21	7.	Pre-Construction Meetings and Electrical Inspector Involvement, Lorin Lathrop	106
21	8.	Public comment regarding items not on agenda	108
23			
24			
25			
			Page 2

1	BE IT REMEMBERED that an Electrical Board
2	Meeting was held at 9:06 a.m. on Thursday, July 27,
3	2023, at Clark Community College, 1933 Fort Vancouver
4	Way, Penguin Union Building, Room 258A-C, Vancouver,
5	Washington.
6	Board Members present: Jason Jenkins,
7	Ivan Isaacson, Erick Lee, Jack Knottingham, Mike Nord,
8	Dylan Cunningham, Don Baker, James Tumelson, Bobby
9	Gray, Kerry Cox, and Dominic Burke.
10	Present as standing Secretary: Lorin Lathrop.
11	Assistant Attorney General present:
12	Ben Blohowiak.
13	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were
14	held,
15	to wit:
16	00000
17	
18	PROCEEDINGS
19	
20	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: So good morning. It's
21	July 27th, 2023, in Vancouver, Washington, at
22	approximately 9:06 a.m. I'd like to bring the
23	Washington Electrical Board Meeting to order.
24	Thank you-all for attending. I appreciate
25	for you-all for being here. I know a lot of you
	Page 3

2 not currently here today. We're going to have Lorin 3 Lathrop who is going to be our secretary our 4 standing secretary for today. For the record, we do 5 have a quorum. 6 And I then, I asked Lorin Lathrop to do th 7 safety message this morning, so if you would like, 9 8 ahead. 9 SAFETY MESSAGE 10 STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP: Thank	
 4 standing secretary for today. For the record, we do 5 have a quorum. 6 And I then, I asked Lorin Lathrop to do the 7 safety message this morning, so if you would like, so 8 ahead. 9 SAFETY MESSAGE 	ı
5 have a quorum. 6 And I then, I asked Lorin Lathrop to do the safety message this morning, so if you would like, a safetal. 9 SAFETY MESSAGE	
And I then, I asked Lorin Lathrop to do th safety message this morning, so if you would like, s ahead. SAFETY MESSAGE	5
7 safety message this morning, so if you would like, 9 8 ahead. 9 SAFETY MESSAGE	
<pre>8 ahead. 9 SAFETY MESSAGE</pre>	ıe
9 SAFETY MESSAGE	JO
10 STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP: Thank	
11 you, Chair Jenkins.	
12 Really quickly, just since we're in a	
13 space we may not be familiar with. We have in ca	ase
14 of an emergency, we have evacuation routes here. It	:'s
15 actually posted here, by each door. And what they a	say
16 is that in case of an emergency, please use the	
17 nearest exit following the red and white signs. Tak	ce
18 anything you need, like keys, wallet, stuff like the	at,
19 with you. Don't use the elevator, and the find a	any
20 building coordinator. Go with them. Listen to the	ir
21 directions. Go to the assembly area, which I though	nt
22 it was interesting. It's not on their list of where	9
23 the assembly area is. It says go to the assembly	
24 area. And then, if there anyone who needs help, he	lp
25 assist disabled. So that's one side of it.	

1	The other thing I wanted to share with you
2	really quickly is the safety topic as well. It's
3	something near to my heart, which is allergic
4	reactions. One of the things that happens this time
5	of year is we're out and about. We get introduced,
6	especially when we go from one side of the state.
7	Like this morning I was in Eastern Washington. Now
8	I'm in Western. I get exposed to things that my body
9	is not used to. We could have allergic reactions at
10	work, at home, whether it's from something we touch,
11	something we breathe, something that stings us.
12	So it is a big deal, and so we always have
13	to be aware, especially for those who start to look
14	like they're in respiratory distress, that they start
15	to have trouble breathing; they're wheezing; they're
16	coughing; they start to itch in places that aren't
17	normal like, between they're shoulder blades, things
18	like that. So we need to make sure we're aware this
19	time of year and give people the help they need either
20	through emergency services, 911 as soon as possible.
21	So something to keep on our radar. Thank you, Chair
22	Jenkins.
23	APPROVE TRANSCRIPT FROM APRIL 27, 2023 ELECTRICAL BOARD MEETING

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very much.

24

25

1	I guess we can jump into our Google transcript of last
2	meeting, April 27th, 2023. The chair would indicate a
3	motion.
4	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Motion.
5	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: We have a motion.
6	Do we have a second?
7	ERICK LEE: Second.
8	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: We have a motion
9	second. Any discussion?
10	Hearing none, all in favor of approving the
11	April 27th, 2023, minutes, all signify by saying aye
12	all.
13	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
14	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any opposed?
15	Motion passes.
16	APPEALS
17	RANDY'S HEATING
18	Next item on our list here is Randy's
19	Heating, LLC.
20	Do we have a representative from Randy's
21	Heating here this morning?
22	MS. GRIMES: Yes. Alysa Grimes on behalf of
23	Randy's Heating.
24	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. Take a
25	seat right here.
	Page 6



1	And do we have someone from the Department?
2	MR. SOSA PADILLA: Yes. Good morning. I'm
3	Wilson Sosa Padilla.
4	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. Thank you
5	very much. Have a seat here also.
6	The matter before us today is an appeal of
7	Randy's the Matter of Randy's Heating, LLC, Docket
8	Number 052021-LI01646. This hearing is being held
9	pursuant and due to proper notice to all of the
10	parties in Vancouver, Washington on July 27th, 2023,
11	at approximately 9:10 a.m.
12	This is an appeal for an initial order
13	issued by the Office of Administrative Hearings, on
14	April 12th, 2022. It's my understanding that decision
15	was affirmed; citation notices: ECHBO01063,
16	ECHBO01064, ECHBO01065, ECHBO01066, and ECHBO01067,
17	issued by The Department of Labor and Industries on
18	December 12th of 2020. It is further my understanding
19	that the appellant has timely appealed this decision
20	to the Electrical Board.
21	So the electrical board is a legal body
22	authorized by Legislature not only to advise the
23	Department regarding the electrical program, but to
24	hear appeals when the Department issues citations or
25	takes some other adverse action regarding the

1 electrical license certification and compliance. 2 The electrical board is a completely separate entity from the Department and as such will 3 independently review the actions taken by the 4 Department. When the Department issues penalties, the 5 6 hearing is assigned to the Office of Administrative 7 Hearings to conduct the hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedures Act. 8

9 The ALJ who conducts the hearing issues a 10 proposed decision and order. If either parties 11 appeals, their -- the decision is subject to review by 12 the Electrical Board.

Please keep in mind, while our review is de novo, for example, we sit in the same position as the administrative law judge and will review the entire record regardless of whether a certain piece of evidence is referenced by the ALJ. We are bound by the evidence and the record, and no new evidence can be submitted at this hearing.

Each party will be given approximately 15 minutes today to argue the merits of their case. Any board member may ask questions at any time to -- and time may be extended at the discretion of the board.

24At the conclusion of the hearing, the board25will determine if the findings and the conclusions



1	reached by the ALJ are supported by the finding and
2	rules pertaining to the electrical installations.
3	Are there any questions?
4	MR. SOSA PADILLA: No questions.
5	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. As the
6	appealing party, you have the prove to establish
7	the proposed decision is incorrect. Therefore, we
8	will hear from you first.
9	MS. GRIMES: Thank you.
10	Randy's Heating appeals the ALJ's denial of
11	its motion for partial summary judgment and the ALJs
12	initial order. And I'll begin with the motion for
13	partial summary judgment. Randy's Heating asks this
14	Electrical Board to reverse the ALJ's denial because
15	four of the Department's five citations should have
16	been dismissed as barred by the statute of
17	limitations. A statute of limitations is a time limit
18	for the Department to issue citations, and the statute
19	of limitations in this case is two years.
20	Generally, a statute of limitations will
21	begin running when the citable conduct occurs;
22	however, there is also something called the discovery
23	rule. And the discovery rule states that a statute
24	limitations will not start running until the
25	Department discovers or should have discovered the

citable conduct. Importantly, the Department must
 exercise due diligence in order for the discovery rule
 to apply. If it does not, then the discovery rule
 will not apply.

Here, Randy's Heating was cited for conduct 5 6 that occurred between May 2018 and May 2019. Randv's Heating received notice of these citations in 7 January 2021. So if the statute of limitations had 8 9 started running when the conduct occurred, then the 10 January 2021 citations would be outside that two-year statute of limitations. 11 However, in this case the ALJ 12 relied on the discovery rule and held that the statute 13 did not start running until December of 2019, when 14 Inspector Ben Chavez reviewed Randy's Heating's 15 affidavits of work experience.

16 Now, there are two issues with this: the point of discovery and due diligence. 17 Inspector 18 Chavez testified that before affidavits of work 19 experience ever get to him, they are reviewed by 20 Licensing. And Licensing will flag any suspect work 21 affidavits and forward them to auditors for further 22 review. Inspector Chavez also testified that he does 23 not know how much time passed between when the 24 affidavits were flagged and when he reviewed them.

So regarding the point of discovery, the ALJ

Page 10



25

provided no authority for determining that Inspector Chavez's review was the point of discovery rather than when Licensing reviews the affidavit. And because we don't know the amount of time between when these affidavits were flagged and when Inspector Chavez reviewed them, the point of discovery could have been earlier.

Second, regarding due diligence, the 8 9 earliest conduct that was cited occurred in May 2018. 10 Inspector Chavez did not review that conduct until 11 December 2019, over one-and-a-half years later. And, 12 again, there's no evidence in the record as to how 13 long Inspector Chavez had access to the flagged 14 affidavits before he reviewed them. This is not due 15 diligence.

16 Moreover, in December 2019, when the affidavits were reviewed, the Department was still 17 18 within the statute of limitations. However, Randy's 19 Heating was not cited with the original citations until June 2020, and those citations were eventually 20 21 voided due to department error, and Randy's Heating 22 was cited again for the same conduct in January 2021. 23 At that point, we're almost three years outside of the 24 cited conduct.

25

The Department argues that the discovery

L & I - Electrical Board Meeting - July 27, 2023

1	rule must be applied because otherwise contractors
2	will be able to break the rules with impunity, because
3	the Department will not be able to cite them in time.
4	However, the burden of citation is on the Department,
5	and statutes of limitations exist for a reason. The
6	Department cannot rely on the discovery rule to extend
7	the statute of limitations to a time frame that suits
8	its needs. The Department needs to work within the
9	
9	statute of limitations, if at all possible, and here

For these reasons, the discovery rule should not apply here. And without the discovery rule, the statute of limitations started running when the citeable conduct occurred, and four of the five citations were noticed outside of the two years of the statute of limitations.

Therefore, Randy's Heating asks this
Electrical Board to reverse the ALJ's denial of
partial summary judgment and dismiss the four
citations. Now I'll turn to the initial order.

21 Randy's Heating asked the Electrical Board 22 to reverse the ALJ's conclusions of law and findings 23 of fact that Leonard Tobin was not a full-time 24 supervisory employee. On appeal from the initial 25 order, Randy's Heating must show that Mr. Tobin was a



1 full-time supervisory employee by a preponderance of 2 the evidence, and that just means that it's more 3 likely than not.

So Randy's Heating must show that it was 4 more likely than that not that Mr. Tobin was a 5 6 full-time supervisory employee. And under the WAC, 7 Mr. Tobin, to be a full-time supervisory employee, he must have been on the full-time payroll; he must have 8 9 received a regular salary or a wage, similar to other 10 employees; he must have had supervisory responsibility for work performed by the contractor; and he must have 11 12 carried out the duties on RCW 19.28.

13 During the hearing, Randy Johnson, Randy's 14 Heating's owner, he testified to all of these facts. He testified that Mr. Tobin was a full-time employee 15 of Randy's Heating. He determined Mr. Tobin's 16 17 schedule, the projects he worked on, and where and when he worked on them. Mr. Tobin supervised all the 18 19 necessary electrical work under RCW 19.28. He ensured that all electrical work complied with installation 20 21 laws, State rules, and safety procedures. And 22 Mr. Tobin ensured that all required labels, permits, 23 and licenses were used, and all corrective notices were issued and complied with. 24

Mr. Johnson also testified to these facts in

Page 13



25

1	an affidavit and a declaration. He provided amended
2	tax returns and affidavits of work experience. All of
3	this evidence shows that Mr. Tobin was a full-time
4	supervisory employee. However, in spite of this
5	evidence, the ALJ concluded that Mr. Tobin was not a
6	full-time supervisory employee based in part on
7	Inspector Chavez's testimony and three findings of
8	fact, which I'll address now.

9 Regarding Inspector Chavez's testimony, he testified that the main factor he considers when 10 11 determining whether someone is a full-time supervisory 12 employee is whether they're listed in the Employment 13 Security Department's database. He continued that if 14 that person is not listed in the database, then the 15 burden shifts to the employer to provide documentation proving that the person is an employee. 16

17 Randy's Heating provided amended tax returns 18 and tax -- and wage reports to show that while 19 Mr. Tobin was initially misclassified, due to a 20 misunderstanding of the law, the underlining facts at 21 the time of the cited conduct show that Mr. Tobin was 22 an employee.

However, the ALJ disagreed, and in that, the ALJ also made three findings of fact that should be reversed. First, the ALJ found that Randy's Heating's



L & I - Electrical Board Meeting - July 27, 2023

1	tax returns initially identified Mr. Tobin as a 1099
2	employee, and that counted against the conclusion that
3	he would be a full-time supervisory employee.
4	However, Mr. Johnson explained he testified that he
5	didn't understand the legal distinction. He didn't
6	understand that a 1099 employee wasn't an employee as
7	required by the Department. And once he knew of his
8	mistake, he went through great pains and financial
9	cost to correct those documents. Again, this is a
10	situation where an employee was merely mislabeled, but
11	the facts show that Mr. Tobin was a full-time
12	supervisory employee.

13 Second, the ALJ found that Randy's Heating 14 did not provide timecards. However, Randy's Heating is not required to provide timecards. 15 The WAC 16 requires pay records, timecards, or similar records to 17 verify the working relationship. Randy's Heating 18 provided amended tax returns and affidavits of work 19 experience, which are pay records, or similar records 20 showing the working relationship. They showed 21 Mr. Tobin was paid as an employee, the hours that he 22 worked, and the amount he was paid.

Third, the ALJ found that it was more likely than not that Mr. Johnson filed an amended tax return only after being notified. And it's unclear why this



would count against Randy's Heating. We would hope that anyone who is notified of their mistake would correct it, and that's just what Randy's Heating did. And if this finding is meant to infer some kind of nefarious intent, the ALJ provides no support for that inference.

Therefore, based on the foregoing, the ALJ's 7 conclusions of law and findings of fact are in 8 erroneous, and the evidence shows that Mr. Tobin was a 9 10 full-time supervising employee by a preponderance of 11 the evidence, and Randy's Heating asks this Electrical 12 Board to reverse the ALJ's initial order and conclude 13 that Mr. Tobin was a full-time supervisory employee, 14 and therefore dismiss the remaining citation.

15

16

17

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very much. Department?

18 MR. SOSA PADILLA: Good morning, members of 19 the Board. My name is Wilson Sosa Padilla. I am an 20 assistant attorney general, and I represent the 21 Department.

The preponderance of the evidence supports the initial decision finding that Tobin -- Mr. Tobin, as the master electrician, was not a full-time supervisory employee or a member of the firm as

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc.

required. And there are two reasons. One is, the
 evidence shows that Mr. Tobin was not a member of the
 firm on file with the Secretary of State as required.

4 Second, there are contracts between Mr. Tobin and Randy's Heating. There are IRS records 5 6 and ESD records and lack of payroll records showing 7 that Mr. Tobin was indeed not a full-time supervisory And as a side issue, the Department also 8 employee. issued the citations within the two years of the 9 10 statute of limitations. So the initial order denying 11 the summary judgment motion was correct. So I will 12 start with this summary judgment motion.

13 Mr. -- Randy's Heating is arguing that the 14 Department wasn't noticed, that there was a problem with Mr. Tobin's classification when the Department 15 16 received the affidavits in 2018. But if you look in 17 the packet, which is a very long, big packet, page 18 1471, you will find the affidavit. And these are 19 affidavits -- as you might already know, these are affidavits in which an electrician is basically 20 21 saving, I'm being trained, and I -- I'm certifying the 22 hours.

If you look at page 471, 472, 473, and 474,
there is absolutely nothing in there that would put
the Department on notice that there was something

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc.

incorrect with Mr. Tobin's classification. 1 As Mr. -- Inspector Chavez said, when this 2 was submitted with the Department, it was submitted 3 with the part that deals with licensing. The problem 4 with Mr. Tobin not being class -- properly classified, 5 6 that goes in compliance. So -- and we do know when the Department 7 received these affidavits. Mr. Chavez didn't know. 8 But if we go to page 1475, in there, it's called -- on 9 10 the top you're going to see -- it says Exhibit C. If 11 you go to the entry from November 19th of 2019, which is the one, two, three, four, fifth entry, it says, 12 13 Affidavit submitted for Randy's Heating, dated 14 August 1st, 2019, to -- sorry. Not that one. The 15 second to last one, February 13th, 2018: Denied 16 affidavit from Randy's Heating. 17 So we don't know when these 2018 affidavits 18 were filed, but we know that they were denied in 2013. 19 But they were denied and referred to audit, but it doesn't necessarily mean that the Department needed to 20 21 check that everything for this company was in 22 compliance, because if we -- if we agree with the way 23 that Mr. -- Randy's Heating wants you to see how to 24 address whether the Department should have checked in Mr. Tobin's classification, then every time -- the 25



Department has all the -- all the tools to check whether Mr. Tobin was or was not a full-time supervisory employee or a member of the -- of the firm. They have access to the Secretary of State records. They have access to ESD records, and they have the files.

The problem is we need to determine when it 7 was reasonable, or we should expect the Department to 8 9 do something. And the Department is expected to do 10 something when they are working on something, and they 11 see there is something wrong. When the Department was 12 looking at the affidavit and they say, well, we are 13 going to deny them, then later on, in 2019, we're 14 going to deny them for untimely. Then in November of 15 2019, notary date for the applicant's signature is altered. We're just talking about the affidavit. 16

17 At some point -- we don't know, but at some 18 point -- maybe when the Department noticed that there 19 was a notary date for applicant signature was altered, in November of 2019, that's when the Department 20 21 probably started saying, okay, what's going on here. 22 And that's when, two months later, Mr. Chavez --23 Inspector Chavez -- is that -- start digging deeper, and find out that Mr. Tobin was not a member of the 24 firm or a full-time supervisory -- supervisory 25



1 employee.

2 So the Board -- the initial order issued by the administrative law judge was correct because it 3 was proper for -- for that judge to apply the 4 discovery rule. Something that also -- something that 5 6 also -- the Supreme Court, in one case, applied the 7 discovery rule in a case between a company, and the Department of Ecology explained, we need to apply 8 9 discovery rule in this case because the Department of 10 ecology is relying on self-reporting of these 11 companies. So there is no other way for the 12 Department to find out what is the problem unless they 13 actually see the problem. Not just because somebody 14 filed something they should know. So for those 15 reasons is that the summary judgment motion was 16 properly denied.

Now, I want to talk about -- about the other issue whether Mr. Tobin was a member of the firm or a full-time supervisory employee. Since Randy's Heating is not arguing that Tobin was a member of the firm, I will basically skip that, because they are not arguing that. They are arguing that Mr. Tobin was a full-time supervisory employee.

24 One of the problems of Randy's case is that 25 basically, the only evidence Randy's presented was his

1	
1	own testimony. So he was trying to show that
2	Mr. Tobin was not was an employee based on what he
3	was saying, that Mr. Tobin was paid, that Mr. Tobin
4	was fulfilling all of his duties, and so on.
5	He presented some evidence that ESD records
6	and IRS records were modified to reflect what he was
7	saying, which is fine. The problem is that at the
8	time of the inspection, Mr. Tobin was not a full-time
9	supervisory employee, and that's what is needed.
10	So you can look and probably you don't
11	need to go. But the 1099 forms so what does the
12	evidence show at the time of the inspection that
13	Mr. Tobin was not a full-time supervisory employee?
14	Well, we have 1099 forms show that he
15	received non-employee compensation between 2016 and
16	2019. Those forms are in page 1242 and 1245, W W-2
17	forms for 2017 and 2018, showing that Tobin did not
18	receive any wages from Randy's. Those ones those
19	forms say they are correcting the original form
20	saying, originally, Mr. Tobin was not paid any
21	compensation, but we are changing, and he was getting
22	some compense compensation. The problem is that
23	those forms were changed after the Department started
24	the investigation.
<u>0</u> -	

25

When Mr. Chavez -- Inspector Chavez started

1	the investigation he asked for payroll information.
2	He sent an e-mail to Randy's Heating. He sent an
3	e-mail to Mr. Chavez, and there was no response. So
4	there is no record showing that he was in the payroll.
5	So after the investigation started, as I
6	said, Randy's changed Tobin's employee status with the
7	IRS, and the reason that he put in those forms that
8	are in page 1205 is that Tobin had Randy's had a
9	subcontractor that was paid and issued as a 1099.
10	The ESD records amended reason was: Did not
11	know that Leonard Tobin was an employee. But the
12	problem is that all this happened after the Department
13	issued started the investigation. And I understand
14	that trying to comply with a government entity with
15	the Department of Labor and Industries can be very
16	complicated, and that Mr Randy's Heating was
17	trying to comply with that. The problem is that he
18	did not.
19	And another reason why we know that he was
20	not a full time supervisory employee is because
21	Mr. Tobin and Randy's Heating entered into an

agreement, two agreements actually, there, in

page 1287, that -- they are saying -- actually, in

page 1288, this is the agreement, I believe, from

2016. In page 1288 it says, Section 2.3, employment

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc.

22

23

24

25

1 relationship: RH, Randy's Heating, hereby agrees that 2 Member, that is Mr. Tobin is a self-employed member of 3 the firm and paid as a 1099 employee. And that is the 4 same that the 2019 agreement says in page 1291, RH 5 hereby agrees that Member is a self-employed member of 6 the firm and paid as a 1099 employee.

So, again, going back to -- I understand 7 that it's hard to try to comply, but Mr. -- Randy's 8 9 Heating was trying to comply, making Tobin a member of 10 the firm. As the agreement says, the problem with 11 that is that to be a member of the firm, just having 12 an agreement is not enough. This member needs to be a 13 member in the records of the Secretary of State. So 14 he was not. So then they are try -- Randy's tries to 15 make Mr. Tobin a full-time supervisory employee, and 16 it's totally fine. The problem, again, is that that 17 happened after the fact.

18 So the Department is not citing Randy's 19 Heating after Mr. Tobin was made full-time supervisory The Department is citing Randy's Heating 20 employee. 21 for what happened before. And just because these --22 some of the paperwork presented made changes and 23 reflected some changes, it doesn't mean that changes the fact that at the time of the violation or the 24 inspection, Mr. Tobin was not a full-time supervisory 25



1 employee.

2 And one more thing is, I just want to highlight the policy reason. If companies could just 3 do something like this on purpose, and I'm not saying 4 that Mr. -- Randy's Heating did it, but if a company 5 6 can just say, okay, let's just don't have a full-time 7 supervisory employee or an -- an electrical administrator as a full-time supervisory employee, we 8 9 are not going to pay anything. We will just wait 10 until we get dinged by the Department. As soon as we 11 get a citation, we go back two years, and we amend 12 everything, and then we go to the Department and say, 13 hey, we made a mistake.

14 How many companies do you think will be 15 doing that or trying to do that to avoid paying 16 full-time salary to a full-time supervisory employee? 17 So that is one of the reasons. Not only because 18 there's nothing in the RCW or the WAC that says that 19 the companies can just go back and try to fix the paperwork, but your problem is that there will be no 20 21 incentive for companies to comply.

Unless this Board has any questions, the Department requests to -- that the Board affirms the initial order.

25

Thank you.



1 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very much. 2 All right. Ouestions from the Board? I would like to bring up that the -- I would 3 like to affirm the -- the Department's situation 4 simply because of the last comments he also made here 5 6 about the contract being supplied showing he's a 1099 employee versus he's actually part of the firm. 7 I don't need to point out multiple times the 8 9 explanation and the case itself. We all read that. 10 Anybody have any discussions -- separate --11 different? 12 BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Well, looking at 13 the record, you know, I -- what I took out of it was 14 that they're trying to show that he's an employee, but 15 I never saw full-time employee. So that was problematic for me. Plus the 1099s, so... 16 17 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you. 18 BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: You know, it looks from -- from the agreements that, you know what, 19 when we have the permit, you're -- you're an employee. 20 21 When we don't have a -- we're not going to pay. They're paying per job. They're not paying as an 22 23 It's more piecework. employee. 24 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you. 25 Something else that I'm going to point out Page 25

1	that during these times that he was supposed to be a
2	full-time employee for Randy's Heating, he's also with
3	Edlen Electric. He shows records for 293 hours in
4	quarter two; 458 hours in quarter three; quarter four,
5	419 hours, and so on and so forth, and yet in Randy's
6	Heating has 520 hours per quarter. That's almost 80
7	hours a week of working.
8	RANDY JOHNSON: Yeah. I do that. I do that
9	now. I've been doing that for six years, but you're
10	going to sit back and say that a man in America can't
11	work?
12	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Hold on a second.
13	Hold on. This is a discussion with the Board.
14	RANDY JOHNSON: Okay. God bless America
15	then, right?
16	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: To be a full-time
17	employee on both, that to me is not proof of that.
18	Any other questions? Discussions? If not,
19	let's hear the motion.
20	BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: I'll make a
21	motion to affirm the citations as issued.
22	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: We have a motion.
23	Do we have a second?
24	BOARD MEMBER NORD: I have second.
25	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: We have a motion of
	Page 26

Γ

1	second.
2	Any discussion?
3	Hearing none, all in favor to uphold the
4	ALJ's decision, all in favor by saying aye.
5	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
6	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any opposed?
7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Aye.
8	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: The motion passes.
9	Thank you very much.
10	The Board has made its decision. I'm sorry,
11	Mr
12	MR. SOSA PADILLA: Sosa Padilla.
13	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Sosa Padilla,
14	you're the prevailing party.
15	Have you prepared a proposed order?
16	MR. SOSA PADILLA: I can have it and send it
17	to you this morning.
18	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay.
19	MR. SOSA PADILLA: I'll start working on it
20	right now.
21	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Please work with your
22	partner
23	MR. SOSA PADILLA: Yes.
24	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: to come up on
25	agreed up come up on an agreed order.
	Page 27

1	If you leave today and you have not come to
2	an agreed order, please be advised, if you do not
3	reach an agreement today, the matter will be
4	automatically set to be present at the next regularly
5	scheduled board meeting. If an agreed order has not
6	been received by that date, the Departement I'm
7	sorry. The parties will be expected to file the
8	proposed orders and appear and advise why the proposed
9	order why their proposed order best reflects the
10	Board's decision. Hopefully this will not be
11	necessary.
12	If you're unable to reach an agreement as to
13	the form of the order before the next meeting, please
14	forward to the secretary of the Board, and they will
15	be sure to get you signed copies provided to the
16	parties.
17	MR. SOSA PADILLA: Thank you. Have a good
18	day.
19	MS. GRIMES: Thank you.
20	GENERAL CONSTRUCTION
21	& WILLIAM T. BROWN
22	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. So moving
23	on to our next item on our list here is General
24	Construction Company with William T. Brown.
25	Do we have is there a representative for
	Page 28



1	General Construction Company present?
2	MR. HARADA: Yes.
3	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you.
4	And is there a representative for the
5	Department?
6	MS. CLAVEL: Good morning, Anna Clavel for
7	the Department.
8	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very much.
9	Matter before us today is an appeal of the
10	matter of General Construction Company and William T.
11	Brown, Document Number 12-2021-LI-01739. This hearing
12	is being held pursuant to due to proper notice of
13	interest of the parties in Vancouver, Washington
14	Vancouver, Washington, on July 22nd, 2023, at
15	approximately 9:41 a.m.
16	It's my understanding the decision affirm
17	citation notices, EJONV05509, EJONV05510, EJONV05511,
18	EJONV05512, EJONV05513, and EJONV05514, issued by The
19	Department of Labor and Industries on September 16th,
20	2021. It's further my understanding, the appellant
21	has timely appealed the decision to the board.
22	Did you hear my remarks before about the
23	procedure?
24	MS. CLAVEL: Yes.
25	MR. HARADA: Yes. I did.
	Page 29

1	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Do you have any
2	questions about them?
3	MS. CLAVEL: No.
4	MR. HARADA: The only question I have I
5	just want to make sure. So everything that's been
6	submitted as part of this?
7	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Of the Electrical
8	Board packet? Yes.
9	MR. HARADA: Yeah.
10	That's all been reviewed?
11	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Yes. It has.
12	MR. HARADA: Okay. Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very much.
14	And the appellant party has the burden of
15	proof to establish the proposed orders decision is
16	incorrect; therefore, we hear from you first.
17	Will you please speak your name and spell it
18	for the court reporter. I would appreciate it.
19	MR. HARADA: My name is Mitchell Harada.
20	I'm with Owada Law. Good morning.
21	MS. SCHARTIGER: And I'm Hannah Schartiger.
22	I'm in-house counsel for Kiewit.
23	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you. You may
24	begin.
25	MR. HARADA: Good morning, gentlemen and
	Page 30
	Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. scheduling@capitolpacificreporting.com

1	lady. This boils down to basically definitions.
2	What's a UBI? How is it used? What's an entity? Who
3	has the right to decide whether a company doing
4	business under one name, one address, one phone number
5	is a single entity? Or whether it's different
6	entities because it has different UBIs that were
7	unknowingly used in this situation? Not to obtain an
8	electrical contractor's license, but in the
9	circumstance of reporting properly reporting to
10	Employment Security employees under General
11	Construction Company.
10	

12 This matter came to light as a result of an 13 investigation done by an L&I inspector who was part of 14 ECORE, and I presume that all of you know what that 15 means.

16 He found -- in doing a record search, he 17 found that General Construction Company, in 2002, 18 after Kiewit bought General Construction Company, obtained a new electrical contractor's license. 19 It 20 had the UBI of 683. General Construction Company that 21 obtained an electrical contractors license under 22 UBI -- and I'm just shortening them, rather than 23 saying the whole number. 260, was allowed to lapse by 24 General Construction Company. So General Construction 25 Company and Kiewit, they had a third party report to



ESD, hours and information that ESD requires of a
 company, and that company -- this third-party company
 erroneously and unknowingly to Kiewit reported these
 hours using the UBI 260.

So we have electrical contractors 5 Okay. 6 license 683, ESD report 260. Another number jumps up, that is the firm number that L&I has for industrial 7 insurance premium purposes. That's a 700 number. 8 And 9 Kiewit/General Construction Company, again, one name, 10 one address, one phone number for all these years 11 consistently, no gaps, paying everything on time, paying everything to the dollar, is there on record as 12 13 being one entity, that they're doing this business as 14 an entity.

15 How that comes into play is the inspector relied on UBI to find that they were separate 16 17 entities. A UBI, according to the Department of --Department of Revenue website is a UBI number -- a 18 19 nine digit UBI number that registers you with several 20 State agencies and allows you to do business in 21 Washington State. A UBI number is sometimes called a 22 tax registration number, a business registration 23 number, or a business license number. Use the 24 business license application to apply for a UBI.

And then -- apparently I'm not connected to

Page 32



25

1	the okay. And then under under the Department
2	of Labor and Industries' website, it talks about
3	license requirements for an electrical construction
4	trade or person. So it requires a valid UBI number
5	issued by the Department of revenue.
6	So we have a valid UBI number: 683. We have
7	what what Kiewit and GCC, General Construction,
8	thought was a lapsed UBI in Number 260, that was
9	lapsed for approximately 20 years. And then we have a
10	third party who's reporting hours under the 260 UBI,
11	but for different purposes, for ESD purposes. Now, we
12	also have GCC reporting hours for its employees under
13	683 using the firm number of 700.
14	So Mr so the inspector relies on his
15	I guess his opinion as to at the definition of
16	an entity. And the Department says that it and
17	and I should go back and say, why entity? Why the
18	definition of entity is important is under one of the
19	WACs cited: Each person, firm, partnership,
20	corporation, or other entity must furnish a valid
21	electrical work permit for the installation

22 alteration, et cetera.

23 So we have the -- we could say that GCC is 24 affirmed. It's a corporation. It's also an entity. 25 But the inspector -- because it has two -- it has two



1	UBI numbers, one of which should have lapsed, believes
2	that they he could cite the GCC because they don't
3	have an electrical contractors' license I'm sorry.
4	Because they reported employees under 260, but not
5	under 683, where the electrical contractors' license
6	is.
7	So the thing about an entity and his
8	and the Department's reliance on when terms in a
9	statute are undefined, defer to the agency's
10	definition.
11	Okay. It makes sense. I understand.
12	That's black letter law that we all learned. However,
13	we're not relying on the Department's definition.
14	We're re we're relying on an individual's
15	definition. There's nowhere it's the inspector's
16	definition that suits his purposes in working the
17	numbers to find some malfeasance here. Some wo
18	some wrong actor here, and that's not the case,
19	because GCC was very transparent about who they were.
20	They they were bought by Kiewit in 2002.
21	They got a new contractors license. They worked under
22	that contractors license. They just happened to have
23	a company that was reporting for ESD purposes that
24	hours are under the 260 UBI. That's really what that
25	boils down to. It was an innocent mistake that GCC
	Page 34



had no knowledge of, until this came to light when the
 inspector brought it to representatives, workers for
 Kiewit and General Construction Company, and then it
 was taken care of immediately.

5 This could have been handled as -- ECORE's 6 outreach regulation education could have done was 7 educate them. They could have just said -- the 8 inspector could have just said, did you know this was 9 going on?

Because nobody was -- nobody's safety was at stake here. Everything was done in compliance with the statutes, except for the fact that numbers were not corresponding the way the Department wanted. Otherwise, everything was done in compliance with the law as it has always been with general construction.

So -- so -- so in this case we have 16 17 compliance of everything, as far as the work being 18 performed by a contra -- by an electrical contractor 19 who has a valid license. We have the same entity or 20 corporation performing the work. We have them being 21 reported as employees as required for industrial 22 insurance purposes. There was just a mistake about --23 for ESD purposes.

We have that business being the same entity.There is no nefarious attempt like the inspector
L & I - Electrical Board Meeting - July 27, 2023

1	talked about in the record. Oh, yeah. I've seen this
2	when somebody tries to basically skirt the law by
3	having two UBIs trying to make two separate
4	identities. And the inspector actually testified to
5	that. We have no situation like that here. In fact,
6	we have the opposite. We have someone really trying
7	to comply with the rules, who really care about the
8	safety of their employees, who have a superclean
9	record in how they conduct their business and try to
10	be on the up and up with everything.

11 So this is a situation where one person's 12 definition of entity made -- should not be followed. 13 And furthermore, when the -- when the ALJ made his 14 findings, and his analysis -- here's what he said 15 about UBI number and separate entities. He said --16 under 5.16 on Electrical Board packet, page 16, he 17 Appellant, General Construction Company, argues said: 18 that should be categorized as a single entity 19 regardless of the UBI number. This argument is 20 unpersuasive as General Construction Company UBI 21 number 260, and General Construction Company UBI 22 number 683 are two separate entities. And as 23 employees of UBI 260 performed work without having a 24 valid electrical contractor license because its 25 license lapsed.



1	So he says they're a separate entity because
2	they have two separate UBI numbers. And bingo.
3	That's it. That's the analysis that the ALJ uses. He
4	doesn't go into facts such as how many employees, how
5	do they get paid? How do where do they where's
6	the office? How long has that office been in
7	existence? Is that office address listed on
8	everything? There's no analysis. It's just, like,
9	two numbers equals two identities. So I guess I have
10	two identities because I have two cell phone numbers.
11	It's analogous to that.

12 And even though I may do it -- I may be 13 doing work using one phone, it doesn't mean I'm a 14 different person using that phone versus my personal 15 phone, and this is the same thing that's going on 16 here. Just because in one instance, unknowingly to 17 General Construction, they chose -- a third party used 18 UBI number 260 to report hours to ESD, it doesn't mean that General Construction Company was a separate 19 20 entity from that which did its electrical work under 21 683.

So I encourage you to read and consider my post-hearing brief, and the pre-Board submission. And, also, please take into account the testimony of James Vest. James Vest is a Department of Labor and



Industries employee. Mr. Vest testified that he spoke
 with the inspector in this case and informed the
 inspector, hey, General Construction Company 683, as
 you identified them, they report their hours to L&I
 for premiums under 683.

6 And the inspector chose to ignore that 7 information and instead chose his opinion of what an 8 entity is, not a department opinion, not a court 9 precedent, not a statute that identifies or further 10 defines entity, but he chose his own definition, and 11 sought two different phone numbers and decided there 12 are two different people using them.

And that's really what happened here. And I And that's really what happened here. And I ask you to reverse the ALJ's finding, and find that this very compliant company did -- did not violate the citations as alleged.

Thank you.

17

23

18 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very much.19 Department?

20 MS. CLAVEL: Good morning members of the 21 Board. My name is Anna Clavel. I'm an assistant 22 attorney general representing the Department.

Can everyone hear me okay?

24 The crux of the Department's argument is
25 that a business cannot utilize two UBI numbers and at
Page 38

1	the same time be considered one entity.
2	In Washington, a business can only have one
3	UBI number. So it follows that two UBI numbers refer
4	to two separate legally liable entities. In addition
5	to having separate UBI numbers, GCC260 and GCC263 have
6	different states of formation, different addresses,
7	and different governing members and principals, and
8	that's shown on pages 339 and 343 in your packet.
9	When Kiewit purchased General Construction
10	Company, it created a new legal entity by obtaining a
11	new UBI number. It continued to report all of its
12	employees under the original entity with UBI ending in
13	260, but the newer entity with the UBI ending in 683
14	is the entity that held the electrical license.
15	Although the cited entities have the same name or
16	similar names, they must be considered separate
17	entities under Washington law.
18	GCC's own witness, Melissa Watalovich (ph)
19	testified that each UBI number and the list of
20	entities under the Kiewit umbrella, she says, they are
21	truly separate independent entities. And that
22	statement is on page 226 to 227 of your packet.
23	And so, because 260 and 683 are separate
24	entities, when 260's workers performed electrical
25	work, it did so without a valid electrical without
	Page 39

a valid electrical contractor's license, and without a
 valid electrical permit.

Similarly, I show in the Department's 3 exhibits, Mr. Brown was improperly assigned as 4 administrator and was not identified in the business's 5 6 government filings. Transparency and business records 7 is critical in keeping the public safe and holding businesses accountable. Members of the public must be 8 able to look up a business and use their UBI number 9 10 and find legally accurate information. They would not 11 have been able to do so here.

12 I wanted to respond to a couple of Counsel's 13 He mentioned that he is not -- he does arguments. 14 not -- there is no double liability on his part when 15 he has two cell phone numbers. It is not analogous. 16 Mr. Harada is not separately legally liable for having two cellphone numbers. A person cannot sue him twice 17 18 because he has two cell phone numbers. On the other 19 hand, a business with two -- a business that utilizes two UBI numbers, those two UBI numbers are legally and 20 21 separately liable from each other.

Counsel also mentioned testimony from Mr. Vest. Again, GCC might be under the same workers' compensation umbrella -- under the Kiewit workers' compensation umbrella, but that doesn't mean that they



1 are not separate entities. A workers' compensation 2 account can contain multiple UBI numbers as it did 3 here. 4 And so, the Department asks that the Board 5 affirm the ALJ's decision to affirm the Department's 6 citation against the appellant, and I'm happy to

7 answer any questions.

14

17

8 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. Thank you9 very much.

10 MS. SCHARTIGER: May I have a few moments 11 for rebuttal? I'll keep it very short.

12 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: We will ask questions13 if we need to.

MS. SCHARTIGER: Okay.

15 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any questions from the 16 Board? Comments?

Go ahead.

BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
Board Member Gray.

You mentioned a couple of times that GCC is a corporation, registered with the Secretary of State. Did that change at all during this entire process? So from the time Kiewit purchased GCC and the UBI number conversions, did the relation -- did -- did you have to notify Secretary of State that that corporation



changed ownership?

1

2 MS. SCHARTIGER: I believe we did. Excuse 3 me.

So prior to 2002, GCC was its own company, 4 and then Kiewit purchased GCC in 2002. And at that 5 6 point we notified the Secretary of State, and that's 7 why the new UBI was created. It became under the Kiewit companies. Unfortunately, the 260 number was 8 never expunged, and therein lies the crux of the 9 10 problem was that it was still there. But for all intents and purposes, GCC owned by Kiewit with the UBI 11 12 633, is the GCC that does business from 2002 to today, 13 and that entity has always held the proper contractors 14 license and electrical license, and that is the 15 company through which GCC did business. It did not do business under 260. We did not believe that that 16 company in that form still existed because that was 17 the non-Kiewit-owned GCC. At that point, it was the 18 19 Kiewit-owned GCC that did business. And that is the 20 company that paid all the employees, did the work, got 21 the permits, et cetera.

It was just this point of reporting hours through ESD that our third-party payroll consultant, I guess, whatever you call them, the ADDs of the world, was reporting it under 260, and that was being



1	accepted and eventually got flagged.
2	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any questions?
3	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Yes. Thank you
4	Mr. Chair.
5	Counsel get ready to stop me.
6	So if something happened with an electrical
7	installation after this change, the UBI numbers, and
8	relationship, as far as the corporation with the
9	State, could have occurred, could someone have taken
10	legal liability against the 260 corporation, which is
11	where the administrator was registered; correct?
12	MS. SCHARTIGER: The administrator was
13	registered under 683, the Kiewit company. So that
14	would be the company that would be liable for it. Any
15	issue, all contracts, all that liability would flow to
16	the 683 number of which Kiewit is a parent company.
17	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Where the administrator
18	was registered?
19	MS. SCHARTIGER: Was registered. Yes.
20	There was an administrator for 683. Everything was
21	proper under 683.
22	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Okay. Thank you.
23	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any questions?
24	MS. CLAVEL: If I could respond as well.
25	There is an argument that because the
	Page 43

1	employees were being reported were continuously
2	being reported under 260, there is an argument that if
3	one of those employees were to do something that would
4	make the company legally liable, there's a question of
5	which entity, 260 or 638, the responsible entity. And
6	so, arguably, 683 can say, well, that's not us.
7	That's 260.
8	MS. SCHARTIGER: I disagree with that.
9	MR. HARADA: Yeah. I disagree too. It's
10	the same entity.
11	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you.
12	Any other questions? I'm relying on my
13	contractors for these questions.
14	MR. HARADA: So if I could just add. So the
15	Department wants to claim separate entity the
16	concern of liability in one instance by having
17	different numbers when GCC and Kiewit we're all
18	we're claiming one number. Come come we're
19	under 683.
20	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. Thank you.
21	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Can I ask a question?
22	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Sure.
23	BOARD MEMBER NORD: What I am wondering
24	about is when this legal change happened, when one
25	company bought out the other company, the higher
	Page 44

corporation ceased, does the prior licenses cease? Or
 does somebody have to take action to cease those
 licenses?

4

AAG BLOHOWIAK: I don't know.

5 MS. SCHARTIGER: My understanding -- if I 6 may. My understanding is that they don't just stop 7 existing, but when Mr. Harada used the term lapse, 8 lapse I feel, like, has a bad connotation. We did 9 intentionally let that license attached to 260 lapse 10 because we got it under the 683.

And so, it's not a bad thing. We just -- it was part of the wind down of 260. We had moved everything to 683. 683 was the properly licensed entity, and that's the entity we were operating on.

BOARD MEMBER NORD: Does the Kiewit have, as the corporate entity, have the responsibility to ensure that any third-party contractors are reporting hours correctly to the correct licenses? Is that an error on your part that you should have responsibility for?

MS. SCHARTIGER: I think we -- yes. I think we would own up to that responsibility that we weren't checking it, you know. We assume people do their jobs. I'll be honest. We didn't know that the 260 number was still out there available to be reported



1	on. So it was enlightening to everyone. We instantly
2	fixed it, because that was never the intent, and I'll
3	go to a point saying that someone being reported for
4	ESD purposes under 260 is not determinative of
5	employment status. They were employees of the
6	Kiewit-owned general construction.
7	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions by
8	the Board?
9	BOARD MEMBER ISSACSON: This is a question
10	for Ben. Who in a worker like that or a buyout
11	like that, who is responsible to make sure that those
12	UBI numbers get taken care of like they're supposed
13	to?
14	AAG BLOHOWIAK: So I think that's a question
15	for Counsel. You know, I advise the Board on its
16	rules and things like that, but that's an appropriate
17	question, if you would like to ask them.
18	BOARD MEMBER ISSACSON: Absolutely.
19	Did you hear the question?
20	MS. SCHARTIGER: Can you I don't want to
21	make you to repeat it, but I'm going to ask. I
22	apologize.
23	BOARD MEMBER ISSACSON: I'm happy to. I was
24	facing the other direction.
25	When a merger like that happens, who's the
	Page 46
	Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. scheduling@capitolpacificreporting.com 800.407.0148

1 responsible party to make sure that those UBI numbers 2 get taken care of in a timely and appropriate manner? MS. SCHARTIGER: We have -- I think we have 3 the responsibility to register with the State. 4 We have the responsibility to get all of the proper 5 6 licensing that's required to do the work we do. And 7 in the sense that we knew that the new Kiewit-owned general construction needed to be registered with the 8 9 State, needed to have all the licensing, we did that. 10 I -- I can't say why we may not have 11 affirmatively gone out and asked the State to remove 12 I think in finding all this, we found that the 260. 13 generally unused UBIs do get expunged from the system 14 after five years. It's now been expunged from the 15 system, but -- yes. I guess no one from the Kiewit 16 companies ever went in and realized, hey, there is 17 this old UBI out there. We need to take some action 18 to get it removed. 19 And if I may add, those MR. HARADA: licenses are valid for two -- or the UBI is valid for 20 21 two years with the contractor's license was valid for 22 two years, so when General Construction Company

23 allowed 260 to lapse, I -- I presume that they just 24 felt like it would lapse like a driver's license, a 25 law license, that it would no longer be valid. They



1	didn't know that it was going to be used for a
2	reporting of hours to ESD. But they didn't use it for
3	purposes of electrical contracting and trying to in
4	any way get around any of the requirements of 1928.
5	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you.
6	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Yes. Don Baker.
7	On those lines, so the UBI number, once it's
8	established, it doesn't just run in after every two
9	years. There's a response required by the entity to
10	affirm that it's still active; is that right? Is that
11	what you just said?
12	MS. SCHARTIGER: No. I don't
13	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Well, my understanding
14	is it lapses after two years. So I and I use
15	that term as that's what I recall reading it's not
16	right in front of me, but that's what I recall reading
17	or hearing somewhere. And so that's why I use that.
18	Yeah. I see your hand.
19	MS. SCHARTIGER: If I can respond really
20	quick?
21	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Sure.
22	MS. SCHARTIGER: Do you mean the electrical
23	contractor license lapses after two years? Or because
24	the question was the UBI number.
25	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Yeah. And I may be
	Page 48

1	mistaken.
2	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Same question?
3	BOARD MEMBER BURKE: So I'm going to
4	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Go ahead.
5	BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Dominic is listening
6	carefully.
7	So I've dissolved a business before, and if
8	I was it's been about twelve years, and I believe I
9	had to send the notice into the State to close and
10	I had to pay a fee, too, to close down that UBI
11	number.
12	So I'm just curious, in 2002, Kiewit opened
13	up a new UBI number. How did the other one how
14	come a flag didn't go up at some point when hours
15	started getting logged into a UBI number that was
16	inactive but maybe not being dissolved? How come a
17	flag didn't go up in some place?
18	BOARD MEMBER COX: Yeah. As ESD because
19	it was only being used through ESD.
20	MS. SCHARTIGER: I don't want to be putting
21	blame on someone else. That's a question we've asked
22	ourselves. If we didn't properly dissolve it, you
23	know, I maybe there could have been action we
24	needed to take, but that was kind of our thing, is how
25	was how are hours being reported under a UBI number
	Page 49

1	that is not associated with anything else? It's just
2	kind of a random UBI number hanging out there, so
3	BOARD MEMBER COX: That's 20 years old, as I
4	understand from the record.
5	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Yeah.
6	BOARD MEMBER COX: So 20 years.
7	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Yeah. So those hours
8	were reported to UBI number that is inactive?
9	MS. SCHARTIGER: Obsolete.
10	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Obsolete. How were
11	those hours accounted for under that UBI number? What
12	happened to it? What happened to the dollars
13	associated?
14	MS. SCHARTIGER: It was all the dollars
15	were Kiewit paid all the dollars. At the end of
16	the day, our our other numbers were we have
17	ensured that all of the monies for were why those
18	hours are being reported have been paid by General
19	Construction and Kiewit. It was just a reporting
20	issue of those hours being reported under 260.
21	MS. CLAVEL: I apologize. I have to object.
22	We're going a little bit outside of the record. I
23	think we need some limits.
24	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: That information has
25	not been presented in the record. So that is not
	Page 50

1 part --2 BOARD MEMBER ISSACSON: To some extent it 3 has. 4 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: The hours, I see, have 5 been reported, but the monies are not reported. But 6 anyway. Any other questions? 7 Okav. Board Member Jack Knottingham. 8 Yes. 9 BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: I'm aware of 10 multiple electrical contractors that have different 11 UBIs and different companies, same address, same board 12 of governors, you know, same structure. With each 13 separate entity, they have a separate assigned 14 administrator. And I believe Mr. Guise was assigned 15 multiple companies. I was looking through the record. 16 I did not find it, again, how that whole structure related. 17 18 It's my understanding, if you don't close a 19 UBI, it's still going to be active and Employment Security, doesn't have -- they don't double-check 20 21 licensing, you know. Those things are siloed, you 22 know, which it would be good if they did interact 23 better. 24 I've got a question for our counsel. My 25 concern -- I believe that the citations are valid, but

2anything that can be addressed by the Board?3AAG BLOHOWIAK: No. So the Board doesn't4have any sort of equitable remedies. You can see5your job is to look at the initial order, determine if6the findings are supported by the substantial evidence7in the record, and if the findings and facts are8supported by substantial evidence, and then if those9findings support the conclusions of law.10The Board I think, if you wanted to, you11could make a record that you feel that the violations12are excessive. You can make that finding, but you13don't have the authority to change.14BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: I mean, that's my15opinion that the citations are valid. I I don't16know why there wasn't outreach. I don't know why17there wasn't one citation issue to kind of bring the18attention. I do have serious issues about, like I19said, the quantity of citations. It looks like it20racked up the total. I don't believe GCC was trying21to cheat. I think it was a mistake, but it was a22mistake. But that's my opinion.23CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions?24BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Just a comment. I've25gone through a couple businesses and changing it. SoPare 52	1	my concern is the quantity of the citations. Is that
 have any sort of equitable remedies. You can see your job is to look at the initial order, determine if the findings are supported by the substantial evidence in the record, and if the findings and facts are supported by substantial evidence, and then if those findings support the conclusions of law. The Board I think, if you wanted to, you could make a record that you feel that the violations are excessive. You can make that finding, but you don't have the authority to change. BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: I mean, that's my opinion that the citations are valid. I I don't know why there wasn't outreach. I don't know why there wasn't one citations. It looks like it racked up the total. I don't believe GCC was trying to cheat. I think it was a mistake, but it was a mistake. But that's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions? BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Just a comment. I've 	2	anything that can be addressed by the Board?
your job is to look at the initial order, determine if the findings are supported by the substantial evidence in the record, and if the findings and facts are supported by substantial evidence, and then if those findings support the conclusions of law. In The Board I think, if you wanted to, you could make a record that you feel that the violations are excessive. You can make that finding, but you don't have the authority to change. BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: I mean, that's my opinion that the citations are valid. I I don't know why there wasn't outreach. I don't know why there wasn't one citation issue to kind of bring the attention. I do have serious issues about, like I said, the quantity of citations. It looks like it to cheat. I think it was a mistake, but it was a mistake. But that's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions? BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Just a comment. I've gone through a couple businesses and changing it. So	3	AAG BLOHOWIAK: No. So the Board doesn't
 the findings are supported by the substantial evidence in the record, and if the findings and facts are supported by substantial evidence, and then if those findings support the conclusions of law. The Board I think, if you wanted to, you could make a record that you feel that the violations are excessive. You can make that finding, but you don't have the authority to change. BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: I mean, that's my opinion that the citations are valid. I I don't know why there wasn't outreach. I don't know why there wasn't one citation issue to kind of bring the attention. I do have serious issues about, like I said, the quantity of citations. It looks like it racked up the total. I don't believe GCC was trying to cheat. I think it was a mistake, but it was a mistake. But that's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions? BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Just a comment. I've 	4	have any sort of equitable remedies. You can see
 in the record, and if the findings and facts are supported by substantial evidence, and then if those findings support the conclusions of law. The Board I think, if you wanted to, you could make a record that you feel that the violations are excessive. You can make that finding, but you don't have the authority to change. BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: I mean, that's my opinion that the citations are valid. I I don't know why there wasn't outreach. I don't know why there wasn't one citation issue to kind of bring the attention. I do have serious issues about, like I said, the quantity of citations. It looks like it racked up the total. I don't believe GCC was trying to cheat. I think it was a mistake, but it was a mistake. But that's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions? BOARD MEMBER EURKE: Just a comment. I've 	5	your job is to look at the initial order, determine if
 supported by substantial evidence, and then if those findings support the conclusions of law. The Board I think, if you wanted to, you could make a record that you feel that the violations are excessive. You can make that finding, but you don't have the authority to change. BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: I mean, that's my opinion that the citations are valid. I I don't know why there wasn't outreach. I don't know why there wasn't one citation issue to kind of bring the attention. I do have serious issues about, like I said, the quantity of citations. It looks like it racked up the total. I don't believe GCC was trying to cheat. I think it was a mistake, but it was a mistake. But that's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions? BOARD MEMBER EURKE: Just a comment. I've gone through a couple businesses and changing it. So 	6	the findings are supported by the substantial evidence
 findings support the conclusions of law. The Board I think, if you wanted to, you could make a record that you feel that the violations are excessive. You can make that finding, but you don't have the authority to change. BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: I mean, that's my opinion that the citations are valid. I I don't know why there wasn't outreach. I don't know why there wasn't one citation issue to kind of bring the attention. I do have serious issues about, like I said, the quantity of citations. It looks like it racked up the total. I don't believe GCC was trying to cheat. I think it was a mistake, but it was a mistake. But that's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions? BOARD MEMBER EURKE: Just a comment. I've gone through a couple businesses and changing it. So 	7	in the record, and if the findings and facts are
10The Board I think, if you wanted to, you11could make a record that you feel that the violations12are excessive. You can make that finding, but you13don't have the authority to change.14BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: I mean, that's my15opinion that the citations are valid. I I don't16know why there wasn't outreach. I don't know why17there wasn't one citation issue to kind of bring the18attention. I do have serious issues about, like I19said, the quantity of citations. It looks like it20racked up the total. I don't believe GCC was trying21to cheat. I think it was a mistake, but it was a23CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions?24BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Just a comment. I've25gone through a couple businesses and changing it. So	8	supported by substantial evidence, and then if those
11could make a record that you feel that the violations12are excessive. You can make that finding, but you13don't have the authority to change.14BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: I mean, that's my15opinion that the citations are valid. I I don't16know why there wasn't outreach. I don't know why17there wasn't one citation issue to kind of bring the18attention. I do have serious issues about, like I19said, the quantity of citations. It looks like it20racked up the total. I don't believe GCC was trying21to cheat. I think it was a mistake, but it was a23CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions?24BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Just a comment. I've25gone through a couple businesses and changing it. So	9	findings support the conclusions of law.
12are excessive. You can make that finding, but you13don't have the authority to change.14BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: I mean, that's my15opinion that the citations are valid. I I don't16know why there wasn't outreach. I don't know why17there wasn't one citation issue to kind of bring the18attention. I do have serious issues about, like I19said, the quantity of citations. It looks like it20racked up the total. I don't believe GCC was trying21to cheat. I think it was a mistake, but it was a23CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions?24BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Just a comment. I've25gone through a couple businesses and changing it. So	10	The Board I think, if you wanted to, you
13don't have the authority to change.14BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: I mean, that's my15opinion that the citations are valid. I I don't16know why there wasn't outreach. I don't know why17there wasn't one citation issue to kind of bring the18attention. I do have serious issues about, like I19said, the quantity of citations. It looks like it20racked up the total. I don't believe GCC was trying21to cheat. I think it was a mistake, but it was a22mistake. But that's my opinion.23CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions?24BOARD MEMBER EURKE: Just a comment. I've25gone through a couple businesses and changing it. So	11	could make a record that you feel that the violations
14BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: I mean, that's my15opinion that the citations are valid. I I don't16know why there wasn't outreach. I don't know why17there wasn't one citation issue to kind of bring the18attention. I do have serious issues about, like I19said, the quantity of citations. It looks like it20racked up the total. I don't believe GCC was trying21to cheat. I think it was a mistake, but it was a22mistake. But that's my opinion.23CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions?24BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Just a comment. I've25gone through a couple businesses and changing it. So	12	are excessive. You can make that finding, but you
opinion that the citations are valid. I I don't know why there wasn't outreach. I don't know why there wasn't one citation issue to kind of bring the attention. I do have serious issues about, like I said, the quantity of citations. It looks like it racked up the total. I don't believe GCC was trying to cheat. I think it was a mistake, but it was a mistake. But that's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions? BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Just a comment. I've gone through a couple businesses and changing it. So	13	don't have the authority to change.
 16 know why there wasn't outreach. I don't know why 17 there wasn't one citation issue to kind of bring the 18 attention. I do have serious issues about, like I 19 said, the quantity of citations. It looks like it 20 racked up the total. I don't believe GCC was trying 21 to cheat. I think it was a mistake, but it was a 22 mistake. But that's my opinion. 23 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions? 24 BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Just a comment. I've 25 gone through a couple businesses and changing it. So 	14	BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: I mean, that's my
17 there wasn't one citation issue to kind of bring the attention. I do have serious issues about, like I 9 said, the quantity of citations. It looks like it 20 racked up the total. I don't believe GCC was trying 21 to cheat. I think it was a mistake, but it was a 22 mistake. But that's my opinion. 23 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions? 24 BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Just a comment. I've 25 gone through a couple businesses and changing it. So	15	opinion that the citations are valid. I I don't
18attention. I do have serious issues about, like I19said, the quantity of citations. It looks like it20racked up the total. I don't believe GCC was trying21to cheat. I think it was a mistake, but it was a22mistake. But that's my opinion.23CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions?24BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Just a comment. I've25gone through a couple businesses and changing it. So	16	know why there wasn't outreach. I don't know why
 19 said, the quantity of citations. It looks like it 20 racked up the total. I don't believe GCC was trying 21 to cheat. I think it was a mistake, but it was a 22 mistake. But that's my opinion. 23 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions? 24 BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Just a comment. I've 25 gone through a couple businesses and changing it. So 	17	there wasn't one citation issue to kind of bring the
 20 racked up the total. I don't believe GCC was trying 21 to cheat. I think it was a mistake, but it was a 22 mistake. But that's my opinion. 23 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions? 24 BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Just a comment. I've 25 gone through a couple businesses and changing it. So 	18	attention. I do have serious issues about, like I
 21 to cheat. I think it was a mistake, but it was a 22 mistake. But that's my opinion. 23 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions? 24 BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Just a comment. I've 25 gone through a couple businesses and changing it. So 	19	said, the quantity of citations. It looks like it
 22 mistake. But that's my opinion. 23 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions? 24 BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Just a comment. I've 25 gone through a couple businesses and changing it. So 	20	racked up the total. I don't believe GCC was trying
 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions? BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Just a comment. I've gone through a couple businesses and changing it. So 	21	to cheat. I think it was a mistake, but it was a
24BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Just a comment. I've25gone through a couple businesses and changing it. So	22	mistake. But that's my opinion.
25 gone through a couple businesses and changing it. So	23	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions?
	24	BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Just a comment. I've
	25	gone through a couple businesses and changing it. So Page 52

1 I understand the process as well. 2 You know, the ESD side of things, for instance, we have Equifax third-party, I think all the 3 union contractors do, and I don't believe that any of 4 us get a report or any notification of what UBI number 5 6 that they're reporting our ESD hours to. So I don't 7 know that any of us contractors in here could even say we know where they are being reported, so... 8

9 MS. CLAVEL: If I could respond to that. 10 It's -- it is the business' responsibility to know 11 this information. Many large businesses utilize an 12 accounting firm to take care of that filing for them, 13 and it is their responsibility that the firm that they 14 utilize is filing under the correct number.

BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Yeah.

16 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: I believe the -- the 17 violations reflect that, and say it's their 18 responsibility to take care of those things. And I 19 really agree with Jack Knottingham. It was an error. 20 It's the responsibility of Kiewit to get those cleared 21 up --

MS. SCHARTIGER: But does the fact that hours were reported under this other UBI, does that -how is that the conclusion, then, that there is an entity doing work? Because the entity that was doing

Page 53



15

1 the work was the 683 entity.

2 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: We're not doing arguments on this. It shows hours being reported to 3 the 260 number. And I'm not -- I'm not trying to 4 create an argument. I just saying I see the error, 5 6 and I see the stance, and I sympathize, I'll use that 7 word, with your situation and condition. And I would -- as Ben mentioned here, I would like to put on 8 the record, I don't think that's an appropriate level, 9 10 I'll use punishment, of the situation, and if there was another way of doing that, I'd like to see it. 11 And unless anybody has argument that they didn't have 12 13 two UBI numbers that you do feel they become one 14 entity based upon the record that we have here. I'd 15 like to hear the argument for that from any of our Board members. 16

17 BOARD MEMBER COX: So as I'm reading the 18 record, these citations are for offering to perform, 19 submit a bid, advertising, installing, maintaining cables, contractor equipment without having a valid 20 21 electrical contractor's license. GCC had a valid 22 electrical contractor's license. The other citations 23 are for the fact that they're pulling permits without 24 a license, that the administrator is not properly licensed with the company. Everything was being 25



1	done they were an electrical contractor.
2	As we've all beaten this horse this morning,
3	this is a reporting clerical error. The citations
4	that are in question, as I read the record, are
5	whether or not they were a valid electrical contractor
6	with a valid electrical administrator pulling valid
7	electrical permits. And as I read this, they were a
8	valid contractor. They were they did have a valid
9	electrical administrator, and they were pulling
10	permits and getting inspection.
11	This was simply a reporting issue that I
12	agree with Board Member Baker, why was this not
13	flagged? But I see the actual citations as, I'm
14	citing you for not being an electrical contractor,
15	valid license electrical contractor, and not having
16	valid permits. And I don't see that. I see
17	everybody keeps running down this rabbit hole of
18	reporting to ESD. They were a valid contractor. They
19	had a valid administrator. They were pulling permits,
20	as they were supposed to. They were doing everything
21	they're supposed to do as an electrical contractor
22	under the laws and regulations in the State of
23	Washington. That's how I see the record.

24 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. Thank you very25 much.

1	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: And because of that,
2	the Department saw hours posted and the other UBI
3	number, and therefore concluded they must have been
4	doing all those things outside of the law. And I
5	think that that conclusion the Department made is
6	incorrect. I believe that they were doing everything
7	correct under the other UBI number. And, again, it's
8	a clerical error.
9	And Counsel makes a good point, why didn't
10	they just reach out? I've seen the Department do it
11	before, and say, Hey, you've got a problem here. You
12	need to get it squared away.
13	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Board Member Don
14	Baker, would you like to reference your question to
15	Counsel now?
16	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: No. I think Jack wants
17	to say something.
18	BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: My concern, as
19	you know, are they one entity? Or are they multiple
20	entities? As they stated earlier, I'm aware of single
21	owners that own multiple companies, have different
22	UBI, you have different licensing types, 06, 01, 02,
23	but all separate companies, all different UBIs, all
24	different administrators, they all have different
25	payroll.



1	I don't doubt that GCC was trying Kiewit
2	was trying to do things improperly. There were
3	mistakes made, as they said. My concern lies that if
4	we overturn this because, you know, different UBIs,
5	same address, we're going to have people out there
6	that are manipulating the law, that are doing this to
7	try to hide hours, and cheat L&I and Employment
8	Security. I don't believe that's the case here. But
9	I don't know what grounds you would decide that they
10	are one entity just because they have the same name or
11	same address because I can give you multiple cases
12	where that's not the case.

13 Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: 14 STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP: I just 15 want to point out that even with law, I can totally see the point that there are -- everything's being 16 17 done in terms of the work side of this business through permitting, and inspections, and proper 18 19 certification. To do all of it, you have to have the 20 entire recipe, which includes having the correct UBI. 21 So while I sympathize. I understand. It takes the 22 whole thing for us to have someone operating 23 completely legally in the state, and so that would be 24 my concern.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS:

25

Page 57

Thank you very much.

1 And then Board Member Bobby Gray. BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 2 I just want to reiterate what Board Member 3 Yes. Knottingham said. I work for a corporation that did 4 5 exactly that. They purchased an existing corporation, 6 which had been established in 1956, and it was 7 purchased in 1993. They kept exactly the same name, same address, same phone number, same everything, 8 9 because it was an established company with a good 10 reputation. That all changed because this company 11 changed the registration with the Secretary of State, 12 had someone -- I mean, I don't think that was the case 13 here, but had someone went out and done electrical 14 work under the same name that was a part of the 15 previous company, then that would have, I think, expressed what the problem is here that you have two 16 separate companies with two separate numbers that 17 18 have, coincidentally, the same name, same address, 19 same everything.

And so I think that it has to be corrected. I echo your sentiment on the penalties. I think they're excessive, and I think the Department should look at that and consider that it wasn't an intentional violation of the rules, but other than that, I think we've got to hold the ruling of the ALJ.



1	Thank you.
2	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Motion?
3	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: So moved.
4	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. Motion to
5	uphold the decisions based by the ALJ?
6	BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Second.
7	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Second?
8	Any discussion?
9	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: If I can make a friendly
10	amendment to the motion?
11	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Yes. Thank you.
12	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: I think somewhere in
13	there, we should go on record stating the fact that we
14	do think that the penalty is excessive for the
15	violations.
16	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: So your initial motion
17	would then be to affirm the ALJ's decision with an
18	amendment to note that we've the Electrical Board
19	feels the penalties are excessive?
20	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Correct.
21	BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Second.
22	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other discussion?
23	BOARD MEMBER COX: Can I ask a question of
24	State's Counsel, at this point in the discussion?
25	As you heard, we're discussing making
	Page 59

1 known that we believe the penalties are excessive. Is 2 that something that can be negotiated between the two parties in the final judgment? 3 I don't believe so. 4 MS. CLAVEL: 5 BOARD MEMBER COX: Okav. 6 MS. CLAVEL: I mean, I don't know that the decision lies -- I don't think that there's further 7 decisions or negotiations to be made after this 8 9 Although, you know, I'm not 100 percent decision. 10 sure. I won't say -- I think that's a good question. 11 I won't say that it's not impossible, but I'll have to 12 look into it. 13 AAG BLOHOWIAK: Yeah. If I could just 14 briefly touch on that. The Department has discretion 15 in some instances, with how much in the amount of 16 penalties, but in other cases they're compelled by law, that they shall find for a certain amount, and so 17 18 they may not have that. Although for, certainly, 19 superior courts and courts that would -- this Board's decision have equitable powers that other Office of 20 21 Administrative Hearings at the Electrical Board don't 22 have, and they could potentially make modifications to 23 that as well.

24BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Thank you. Mr. Chair,25to that point -- to the Chair, they could have reduced



1	the number of citations, however. They could have
2	written citations so that there was one instead of 24
3	for exactly the same violation.
4	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: You're talking about
5	prior to this
6	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: I'm sorry?
7	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Is that what you're
8	saying, prior to this?
9	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: They could yes. They
10	could have done that. Yes. That's so they have
11	the discretion on some things as you pointed out, but
12	maybe not.
13	MS. CLAVEL: That's correct. And they could
14	have also used the full 20 years. They could have
15	gone back the full 20 years. They went back two years
16	to some perspective.
17	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Part of the
18	discussion?
19	BOARD MEMBER COX: Is there a way to put the
20	Board's decision on hold to allow Counsel to discuss a
21	potential resolution that the Board could agree on?
22	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: I think what you're
23	asking for is when we no.
24	Okay. So on the advice that we could deny
25	this motion that we have on the table currently, and Page 61

1	we could entertain the motion to continue to the next
2	meeting to allow the parties more time to discuss
3	settlement.
4	So move we have a motion on the table
5	still. Motion second. And the discussion. All in
6	favor?
7	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Aye.
8	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: We have one.
9	Any opposed?
10	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
11	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Motion fails.
12	So we're back to
13	BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: I will make a
14	motion that we continue this to the next meeting so
15	that the parties can negotiate the settlement.
16	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Hearing a motion.
17	Do we have a second?
18	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Second.
19	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: We have a second.
20	Any discussion?
21	Hearing none, all in favor signal by saying
22	aye.
23	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
24	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any opposed?
25	Motion passes.
	Page 62

1 Thank you very much. So as of this -- this is outside of our 2 I'd like to -- I move this to Counsel. 3 norm. AAG BLOHOWIAK: So if the parties could just 4 put a brief order continuing this to the next meeting 5 6 together and present that to the Board, just, you 7 know, something short to continue. Today? Or can I do it at my 8 MR. HARADA: office? 9 10 AAG BLOHOWIAK: Yeah. You could do it at 11 your office, and if you just want to e-mail it to the Secretary of the Electrical Board, we'll make sure 12 13 that that gets signed by the Chair, and it gets sent 14 And just so the record is clear, the -- you will out. 15 be on the calendar before our next hearing in October. 16 MS. CLAVEL: But if we're able to settle --17 AAG BLOHOWIAK: But if you're able to 18 resolve this before hand and present some sort of 19 agreed order, we can do that off the record and 20 outside of the meeting. 21 MR. HARADA: Thank you. 22 MS. CLAVEL: Could I clarify? It sounded 23 like before we -- the Board continued this matter to the next meeting, the -- it sounded like the Board was 24 inclined to affirm the citations but were concerned 25 Page 63

1	about the amount of the penalties of the fines. Is
2	this
3	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: I wouldn't
4	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: So the Board members
5	didn't make a final decision on this or any type of
6	motion on this.
7	AAG BLOHOWIAK: I would advise the Board not
8	to make any specific comments on how they would or
9	would not have ruled since that motion was declined,
10	and then the motion hearing was to continue this.
11	So my advice to my client would be don't
12	make any specific comments on how you would have ruled
13	on that today, and we will continue this at the next
14	meeting or something to present outside of that. It
15	will be circulated.
16	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Yeah.
17	MR. HARADA: May I ask one more question?
18	Is there a transcript from today's proceeding that's
19	available?
20	AAG BLOHOWIAK: If you reach out to the
21	secretary, through the Secretary's Office, you should
22	be able to get a copy of the transcript.
23	MR. HARADA: Okay. Thank you.
24	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very much.
25	Any other questions?
	Page 64



1	MS. CLAVEL: No other questions. I'm here
2	for the next one.
3	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. Thank you very
4	much. Actually, right now might be a good time to
5	take a short break. I'd say we re-adjourn ten until.
6	15-minute break. At this point we're at recess.
7	(Recess was taken from 10:35 a.m. to
8	10:53 a.m.)
9	GABRIEL MCCOY AND EPRO
10	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. It is now
11	10:54, and I'd like to bring back the Board back
12	I'd like to bring the Board meeting back to order.
13	All right. So our next item on the list
14	here is the Gabriel McCoy and EPRO. Do we have
15	somebody from Gabriel McCoy here?
16	GABRIEL McCOY: Yeah.
17	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: And do we have the
18	Department?
19	All right. The matter today the matter
20	before us today is the matter before us today is an
21	appeal of the matter of Gabriel McCoy and EPRO, Docket
22	Numbers 022022-LI01755. This hearing is being held
23	pursuant and due to proper notice to all interested
24	parties in Vancouver, Washington on July 27th, 2023,
25	at approximately 10:55 a.m.

1	The appeal from the initial order issued by
2	the Office of Administrative Hearings on October 27,
3	2022. It's my understanding that the decision
4	reversed. Citation Notice Numbers EIMER00485,
5	EIMER00486, and EIMER00487 issued by the Department of
6	Labor and Industries on October 8th of 2021. And it
7	is my further understanding that the Department has
8	timely appealed the decision to the Electrical Board.
9	And did you both hear the procedure we had
10	from before?
11	MS. CLAVEL: Yes.
12	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Do you have any
13	questions?
14	MS. CLAVEL: No.
15	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any questions.
16	GABRIEL McCOY: I don't think so.
17	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. On that, the
18	Department being the appellant, you have the floor.
19	MS. CLAVEL: Thank you. I'll be brief.
20	Again, Anna Clavel for the Department. I'll
21	be brief. This is very code heavy, and as you are the
22	technical experts, and if you've reviewed my brief,
23	the analysis is there.
24	The Department believes that the OH judge
25	misapplied the law or misunderstood the facts in this
	Page 66

1	case. EPRO performed an improper grounding
2	installation because they relied on an underground
3	water pipe as a grounding electrode and supplemented
4	it with only one metal pipe that is 8' into the
5	ground. The NEC requires if you're not able to
6	ascertain that the metal pipe is I'm sorry, the
7	metal rod has a resistance to earth of 25 ohms or
8	less, then you need a second metal rod, and there was
9	not two metal rods to supplement the underground water
10	pipe in this case.
11	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. Thank you
12	very much.
13	And I forgot to ask your name. Can you
14	spell and speak it for the reporter?
15	GABRIEL McCOY: Yeah. Gabriel McCoy,
16	G-A-B-R-I-E-L, McCoy, M-C-C-O-Y.
17	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. The floor
18	is yours.
19	GABRIEL McCOY: So I guess, first off, I
20	feel like I'm at a bit of a disadvantage here. I'm
21	not a lawyer, small business owner, worker, installer,
22	basically everything.
23	So I think a little bit of background as to
24	where we are at on the job has some bearing, at least,
25	as far as I am concerned. The reason that we were at
	Page 67

L & I - Electrical Board Meeting - July 27, 2023

1	the job in the first place was because the panel that
2	existed in the home was a Federal Pacific, which is
3	known to cause fire hazards. The owner was selling
4	the house and felt like to do a favor to the buyers,
5	that we go in and have the panel replaced to something
6	that was new, and didn't have those issues, as well as
7	fixing some GFI problems in the house while the panel
8	is being installed. So that's why we were there
9	why I was there.

10 It was a difficult panel change. I was 11 there until, like, 9:00 to 10 o'clock at night, doing 12 the ground rods. One had no problem. The other one 13 The water pipe, I grounded that. had no issue. 14 Thought it meant code. And exhausted and done for the 15 day on a significant time crunch because the job had to be done in order for the house to sell to this new 16 17 buying party.

In addition to that, this was during COVID, my dad and my brother were both in the hospital at that point, and neither one of them made it. To say the least, there was a lot on my plate that day, and for the weeks following.

We had a metal water pipe. The water pipe was grounded. It was over 30 feet. It's metal when it goes into the ground. It's metal when it comes out



L & I - Electrical Board Meeting - July 27, 2023

1	of the ground. The inspector and trans would only say
2	that they don't accept metal water pipe. No one would
3	tell me why, or where, or how. The only way that they
4	would accept it is if I was to dig up the metal water
5	pipe and show them that it's in the contact with the
6	ground for 10', which seems odd in that when you have
7	existing ground rods, we don't dig those up to show
8	that they're 8' in length or pull them out of the
9	ground or anything else. We just assume that they're
10	there, and that they're appropriate length, and we add
11	a second one, and we're good to go.

12 There's another inspector that we called as 13 a witness or whatever, during the trial, Timothy Styph 14 (ph), and I had a previous correction unrelated to any 15 of this with him. I asked him, as an inspector, just a simple question, does one metal water pipe within 5' 16 17 feet enters the building and the ground rod suffice 18 for a panel change from the ground of electric conductors. 19

He responded, yes. He looked it up. He said it was uncommon, and that it does in fact work. He -- I forgot those conversations and changed his mind by the time we went to trial.

24Well, Trent, also, is the one that advised25me to even contest the original corrections in the



1 first place and that he supposedly was unaware that 2 there was a metal water pipe within 5'. And that's 3 why I should appeal. It's a good case, and letting 4 things that it would work.

5 So here we are, I suppose. Trent also is on 6 the record saying that he teaches grounding and 7 bonding for code update or for continuing education, 8 and that for over 20 years he believed that one metal 9 water pipe and one ground rod was sufficient.

10 Mike Emmel and Trent are also on the record 11 disagreeing over when ground rods are needed. There's 12 another job where Mike Emmel was the inspector on it, 13 which he didn't even look at the ground rods. It was 14 a meter change replacing the meter, refeeding the 15 overhead wires, and refeeding the wires over the Mike didn't even inspect the ground rods 16 panel. because they're not necessary. He didn't go into the 17 18 house to see where the ground wire was lying in the 19 panel. He didn't do any of that. He said it's not 20 required.

Trent Harris says it's absolutely required, and I talked to him before I did that job because it was in a similar area where the ground was going to be potentially difficult. He said it was absolutely required, and I had to get them in. So I did.



1	In addition to that, I did a commercial job
2	and replacing two 200 panels, two separate 200 AMP
3	panels, and on that job an L&I inspector I made it
4	aware to him that the ground rods wouldn't go in. We
5	had a very limited space to put the ground rods inside
6	the whole building when it's asphalted. It was brand
7	new and sealed with concrete. So there was only like
8	a 2' strip to put those in. That inspector told me to
9	cut off the ground rods
10	MS. CLAVEL: I would object to this
11	testimony not within the record.
12	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Is that information in
13	the record?
14	MS. CLAVEL: It's not
15	GABRIEL McCOY: It is. I believe it to be.
16	MS. CLAVEL: I'm sorry. Which one?
17	GABRIEL McCOY: All of it.
18	MS. CLAVEL: Which inspector is this?
19	GABRIEL McCOY: Which inspector is what?
20	MS. CLAVEL: Are you referring to.
21	GABRIEL McCOY: The inspector that told me
22	to cut off the ground rods and told me I did an
23	excellent job.
24	MS. CLAVEL: That that is a separate.
25	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: I recall the job
	Page 71
1	comment, but I don't recall discussing about the small
----	--
2	space putting rods in.
3	MS. CLAVEL: That is a separate inspection
4	that is I'm sorry. Go ahead.
5	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
6	It was in the it's in the record for the
7	gentleman was testifying.
8	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: So is that information
9	is in the record?
10	BOARD MEMBER BURKE: It's in the
11	transcripts.
12	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Basically what's
13	saying
14	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: You may continue.
15	GABRIEL McCOY: So the point of all that is
16	that it seems as though depending on which inspector
17	you get, it depends on what the requirements are going
18	to be or how it's going to be enforced. And it seems
19	as though that it wasn't just a simple correction in
20	this case. Somehow we had to go to court with a
21	\$3,000 fine or more assessed to it, and an obscene
22	amount of time to get through what we believe to be
23	done correct in the first place.
24	At the end of the day, the judge ruled in
25	the favor of me and my company. The charges were
	Page 72

L & I - Electrical Board Meeting - July 27, 2023

1	dismissed. We were surprised the State wanted to
2	continue on the course of taking action against us for
3	something that has been that had been resolved.
4	It if it wasn't done right in the first place, it
5	was believed to be right as Supervisor Trent Harris at
6	L&I for over 20 years of his career believed that was
7	the right way for it to be installed, when it wasn't,
8	or whatever the inspector came up with not being
9	installed. It took us over three days, maybe four
10	days, to get one ground rod in a yard that's, like,
11	half the size of this area here.

12 I would have liked to have never done that 13 The problem was that we were already -- the work iob. 14 was already complete. The panel was already done. 15 The inspection was already pulled. The new people 16 were already moving in. And now what; right? We were 17 stuck between a rock and a hard place, to say the very 18 least. I thought that we had -- apparently we did 19 not, and here we are forever later fighting this --20 continue to go fight this battle. We'd like to see 21 the Board uphold the judge's ruling and be done with this. 22

23 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. Thank you24 very much.

GABRIEL McCOY: That's all.

Page 73



1 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any questions from the 2 Board? Board Member Bobby Gray. 3 Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 4 BOARD MEMBER GRAY: I think the citation is valid. 5 If you look 6 at 250.53(a)(2), it specifically says that when ground 7 rods are installed they have to be supplemented by something other than a water pipe. And so I don't 8 9 think that's in debate. What's concerning to me is 10 some of the testimony by the inspector implying to the 11 ALJ that someone could get electrocuted under this, 12 and the fact that -- implying that a metal underground 13 water pipe, there was no way to verify that it was 14 continuous, which -- that's a ridiculous thing to say. 15 When they go out and verify 20' of rebar in 16 a concrete slab for a concrete case electrode they have a way -- even if they can't see the rebar, they 17 18 have a way of confirming that it does go 20' in there. 19 So that bothered me when I was going through this. So I think the fact that we couldn't use a 20 21 water pipe as a ground electrode conductor is 22 debatable. It's not debatable, however, that if the 23 supplementary electrode for the underground water pipe 24 is a ground rod, that that ground rod has to be supplemented by an additional grounding electrode 25

1	other than that water pipe, and the 56" ground rod
2	would not meet that requirement.
3	So I'll wait to make a motion until I hear
4	from others.
5	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very much.
6	Other Board members?
7	I'm going to put my two cents in here. I
8	agree with you completely. Once you put one ground
9	rod in, it gives the second rod full length. And just
10	like the package shows, if you can't get it into the
11	ground, you have to put it at 45 degrees. If that
12	can't happen, it doesn't work. You have a plate
13	inside of the ground. And the comment that I read in
14	there saying you could find plates through
15	manufacturers, I disagree with that. That's not a
16	question.
17	You can't find it would be extra work.
18	And, yes, it's required to be put in place. And I'm
19	kind of concerned a little bit that that being a
20	contractor, that you're not aware or that you're
21	confused of being required to ground rods. Being an
22	electrician in the trade for some years, it's a known
23	thing in Washington state. You have to have two
24	ground rods supplying water pipe. Period. And if you
25	cut a ground rod off, just as a heads up, that's



1 actually -- you could lose -- we could suspend your
2 license for that. Cutting ground rods is a -- it's
3 bluntly a big no.

Cut one off, and especially if the inspector 4 goes out there and pulls it out of the ground, it 5 6 tells me that you knew it wasn't all the way in. You knew it wasn't that well installed. Attached -- and 7 I'm making a little assumption, he knew the second rod 8 9 had to be there. And not knowing it had to be there 10 is a concern that maybe you should go to a grounding, 11 bonding course or something because it sounds like to 12 me that is some lack of knowledge in there, and so 13 that's my bigger concern.

And honestly, if -- I'll be blunt. If I had any thought that you were being -- that you knew the two ground rods are required, and you cut one off, I would be asking for a suspension. To cut off a ground rod is -- and it's in the code. We can go through it and apply it and look it up. You can suspend or lose your certification for that.

Something else I was concerned, too, that I don't know if anybody else can answer this question, about the 1" cutoff at the top of the rod. I don't know of that anywhere in the code. I've never seen it. I've never heard of it. And so I don't know



1	where it came from. Honestly, cutting ground rod,
2	don't do it because I think it's that's a fancy
3	I would support suspension of license. So that's my
4	opinion.
5	Any other comments or questions from the
6	Board?
7	BOARD MEMBER COX: Yeah. Question,
8	actually, for you Mr. Chair. I'm not an 01. I'm an
9	06. I don't deal with panel changes or grounding
10	them. So as I understood you to say, I'm trying to
11	read the code and understand it, if there is an
12	existing water pipe there and they ground to that,
13	code says not just one supplemental ground rod, but it
14	says two?
15	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Two.
16	BOARD MEMBER COX: Oh, wait. Okay. I'll
17	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Go ahead.
18	BOARD MEMBER COX: pose that same
19	question to Bobby Gray.
20	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: I think Bobby Gray
21	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: That isn't what
22	you're saying is functionally correct
23	BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay.
24	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: but not technically
25	correct.
	Page 77



1	What it says is that if you have first of
2	all, if you've got a ground rod as your electrode,
3	that has to be supplemented by another electrode
4	unless you can show there's 25 ohms or less to ground
5	on a single ground rod, and that's an exception.
6	BOARD MEMBER COX: So if the water pipe was
7	the existing one
8	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Hold on. Another part
9	of the code says that if you're using a metal
10	underground water pipe it has to be supplemented by
11	another electrode. In this case they used a ground
12	rod as the supplemental electrode. And then the
13	installation rules for the a ground rod say, again,
14	either that has to have 25 ohms or less to ground, or
15	it has to be supplemented by another approved
16	ground grounding electrode. So that's kind
17	BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay. So that's how we
18	come up with one plus one is two. They used the water
19	pipe that was there to ground to. You have to have a
20	supplemental. That supplemental can be the 8' ground
21	rod, and then the rule says if you have the ground
22	rod, it needs to be supplemented by a second rod
23	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: By something other than
24	a water wipe.
25	BOARD MEMBER COX: by something other
	Page 78

1 than the water pipe. So you have -- the water pipe is the 2 primary. One is the supplemental, but if the ground 3 4 rod is used, that ground rod has to be supplemented. 5 That's what we get --CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: And to clarify my 6 comment from earlier is it, 25 ohms comes into play. 7 8 BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay. 9 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: So if you can prove 25 10 ohms or less, then it's not required. So that's more 11 technically correct is what Board Member Bobby Gray 12 said. 13 BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Or if the rod, it's 8', and it's a 10' --14 15 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Right. 16 BOARD MEMBER BURKE: -- you can still --17 okay. 18 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: And so, it's required 19 to be there, and not -- yeah. I'll stop there. 20 BOARD MEMBER COX: And so, from reading the transcript, I don't see anywhere in there where either 21 22 the installer or the inspector did any kind of 23 measurement for 25 ohms; okay? 24 BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Correct. 25 BOARD MEMBER COX: So we have no way --Page 79

1	that's not even an issue at point. I can't make an
2	assumption and say, well, why didn't the inspector
3	test and see if it was 25 ohms?
4	BOARD MEMBER BURKE: It's not on him to do.
5	BOARD MEMBER COX: It's not on him to do?
6	Okay.
7	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: And I'm going to add
8	this also. I don't think any installations that I've
9	ever done to panel changes, once you change the panel,
10	you have to establish the grounding system. And so
11	panel change, you change the grounding system. I have
12	yet to find any, I'll be blunt, any installer, any
13	company I've worked for has ever don't 25 ohms test.
14	You just drop in the rod
15	BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay.
16	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: and have a good
17	day.
18	And so that's why I default to that every
19	time someone comes up to put ground rods in. You know
20	where the second one is at, because no one tests for
21	that.
22	BOARD MEMBER COX: And that's why I asked
23	the question. Like I said, I'm not an 01. Thank you,
24	gentlemen, for clarifying.
25	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: And thanks for asking
	Page 80

1 the question. 2 Any comments? BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: I have testimony. 3 And I have seen one that was 25 ohms or less, you 4 We did cell tours, and we would do ground 5 know. 6 resistance testing, and it's amazing how much you have to put in to be able to meet the 25 ohms, and honestly 7 it's cheaper and faster typically. Now, understanding 8 9 the problems, but typically it's cheaper and faster to 10 put a second one than it is to try to get the ground 11 test and do the testing. CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: And one more time. 12 13 Let me clarify. What I mean by that is doing 14 residential services. I did residential change-outs. 15 I've never heard it happening -- other than commercial 16 side and industrial side. Yes. 17 Any other questions and comments from the 18 Board? 19 BOARD MEMBER TUMELSON: Board Member 20 Tumelson. One additional comment, you know. The way 21 that the code reads 250.50, just to kind of double 22 down is all grounding electrodes present. And so if 23 there is a water pipe, or a concrete case electrode, 24 or multiple ground rods, they all must be tied 25 together to form that grounding electrode system. And Page 81



1	so in the comment that Gray made about the concrete
2	case electrode, typically that is done in foundation
3	inspection and/or there is two separate concrete
4	encased electrode stubbed up greater than 20' to
5	demonstrate continuity.
6	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very much.
7	And any other questions, comments from
8	Electrical Board?
9	All right. So chair would entertain the
10	motion.
11	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: I move to overturn the
12	ALG's ruling.
13	AAG BLOHOWIAK: So Board Member Gray, can I
14	just point an order to clarify the motion? Is the
15	motion to overturn the ALJ judge and affirm the
16	Department's citations?
17	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Correct.
18	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: So the motion on the
19	floor right now is to affirm the ALJ's decision and to
20	reapply the Department's decisions?
21	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Correct.
22	Do we have second?
23	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Second.
24	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: We have a motion to
25	second.
	Page 82

Γ

1	Any comments and discussion?
2	Hearing none, all in favor?
3	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
4	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any opposed?
5	Hearing none, motion passes.
6	All right. Thank you very much. The
7	Board's made the decision. I'm going to mess up your
8	name up again.
9	MS. CLAVEL: Anna Clavel.
10	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Anna Clavel, have you
11	prepared a proposed order?
12	MS. CLAVEL: I have.
13	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. So if the
14	parties could exit the area here, have a discussion
15	here today, that would be great. If you cannot
16	provide us if you cannot come up on an agreement
17	this will be automatically set to be presented at the
18	next regularly scheduled board meeting.
19	If agreement order cannot be received by the
20	date, the parties will be expected to file their
21	proposed orders and appear and advise why their
22	proposed order best reflects the Board's decision.
23	Hopefully this will not be necessary.
24	If you're able to reach an agreement today,
25	reach agreement before the next meeting, please
	Page 83

1	forward to the secretary of the Board's Office, and I
2	can ensure it gets signed and copies provided to the
3	parties.
4	Questions?
5	All right. Thank you very much.
6	All right. So moving on to our next item
7	here, which is the Department's legislative update
8	with Technical Specialist Larry Vance.
9	Are you available? Is he even here?
10	STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP: Chair
11	Jenkins, I can do that for Larry.
12	DEPARTMENTAL/LEGISLATIVE UPDATE
13	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. So at this
14	point here we have Lorin Lathrop. He is going to take
15	over the Number 5, Certification/CEU quarterly report.
16	Thank you.
17	STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP: So
18	Members of the Board, we are just we just had our
19	TAC meeting for the 2023 NEC code adoption on
20	July 11th. We had 28 Board members there, and we
21	received a lot of good advice. We are currently going
22	through those proposals and that advice and coming up
23	with the document to kind of summarize where we're
24	going. So that's the update there.
25	We are still looking at an adoption date of

1	April 1st of 2024 for the 2023 Electrical Code, and we
2	are currently on schedule to make that. So still a
3	lot of work to be done, but we're making it.
4	The other well I'll stop there. Any
5	questions for me about that?
6	BOARD MEMBER CUNNINGHAM: What was the date
7	again?
8	STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP:
9	April 1st, 2024.
10	The other thing one that is going into
11	this adoption, is there is a lot of housekeeping.
12	We had some good proposals to align a lot of our
13	headings and our topics within the within the WAC
14	to meet what, also, is in the NEC. So trying to make
15	it more user friendly. That's one of the things that
16	we've been working really hard at.
17	The other thing that has been going to jump
18	in here is that we also had the expedited rule that
19	was just adopted on the first of July to starting
20	the 3rd of July to bring our rules in line with the
21	new apprenticeship requirements that were passed in
22	April with Senate Bill 5320. So we're that all
23	went through very well. We didn't receive any kind of
24	problems. So that is in place as well.
25	And then I will hand it off to Larry to do



1	his CEU and quarterly certification report.
2	CERTIFICATION/CEU QUARTERLY REPORT
3	TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: Thank you,
4	Secretary of Labor.
5	Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, my name
6	is Larry Vance. I'm the technical specialist with the
7	Department of Labor and Industries.
8	I'm sorry that I don't have the reports for
9	you this month. PSI has been having some technical
10	difficulties on their end. They we've been working
11	with them. They came back with a report that was
12	different than the report that we're generally used
13	to. And the fact that it didn't show didn't show
14	the same data. So they went back to the drawing board
15	with their developers. They're expediting the work
16	order, and hopefully by next the next board meeting
17	we'll have reports functional again.
18	One thing that we have done with
19	examinations is that there was a bill. I don't the
20	bill number off the top of my head, but it was a bill
21	that required State agencies to end the practice of
22	sharing Social Security numbers with outside entities.
23	And we used Social Security numbers through secure
24	file transfer between ourselves and PSI, and from PSI
25	and back to us. And what we had to do was is
	Page 86



1 modify our systems -- our licensing systems, not just 2 for -- not just for electrical, but this is for plumbing, asbestos, I'm trying to think -- there is --3 elevator was the other one, essentially ending that 4 5 practice.

So what -- what now happens is that there is 6 a unique identifier that's generated for each exam 7 applicant, and that's how we communicate back and 8 9 forth, using that unique identifier rather than Social 10 Security number. But it was quite a programming --11 there was quite a lift on both ends, both on PSIs and ours, because there is a year's worth -- there's a 12 13 year's worth of candidates out there, and we're 14 communicating about those candidates using their 15 Social Security number. So how do we -- how do we 16 mesh all that? That was the lift -- the big lift. How do, you know -- how to make sure nobody gets lost 17 18 in the woods on that?

19 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Can you help me there 20 for a second?

> TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: Yes.

22 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: You said you did get 23 the report back from PSI that has the current -- the 24 Did you get a chance to look through those? reports. 25

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: Yes. I did.

Page 87

1	And there was several glaring errors in the report
2	that showed that the report was probably not
3	accurate
4	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you.
5	TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: and that's
6	why I didn't bring that report forward.
7	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. Thank you.
8	TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: Yes. Thank
9	you.
10	That's all I have.
11	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. Any
12	questions for Technical Specialist Vance?
13	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I
14	have a question on the legislative update. Can I go
15	back to the agenda item?
16	One of the things that has bothered me for
17	sometime on the Board is we hear appeals from
18	people where they received a citation because of an
19	installation, and what was never clear to me is what
20	constitutes an installation according to the
21	Department? In other words, if I come out and just
22	lay raceway next to a trench, have I installed that
23	raceway? I mean, it's not in place, but I've handled
24	it, laid it out in a ditch, and I'm not a certified
25	journeyman wire. So could I receive a citation for
	Page 88



1 that?

Or in the case of a mini-split, for example, if I come out and just lay, maybe, wiring in flexible conduit between the two units, don't connect it either way, and wait for an 01 to come out and make that connection out there, am I in violation of something because I have, quote, installed that system?

And so one of the things that the Department 8 9 did in the TAC meeting, they came up with some 10 language that explained the -- and I think explained 11 the difference between someone installing an 12 electrical component and material handling. In other 13 words, by bringing the shop handout, they bring out --14 they can drop the luminaires down, or they can drop the wire, whatever it is. That's material handling. 15 16 And they went through and clarified that and submitted 17 that at the TAC meeting. But because it was late, 18 there was concern that there wasn't enough review done 19 on it, and so it was not accepted. And it was my understanding that it was sort of tabled until future. 20

And so my question would be to Larry or Lorin, where does that stand now? Is that just -have we lost that? Or will it come up in some other format some place? Or is that completely gone?

I can't speak for Wayne on this. I think at

Page 89



L & I - Electrical Board Meeting - July 27, 2023

1	this point, you know, we weren't able to gage
2	consensus during the meeting there. People wanted
3	more time, and I don't know of any efforts to
4	resurrect the proposal, so to speak. In other words,
5	is there is there traction within the industry to
6	take this on at this point?
7	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay.
8	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: To add a little bit of
10	a question, more broad. Typically, we get a report
11	or a request from the Department. We kind of talked
12	about the TAC meeting and what the proposed changes
13	are. Is that coming up in the next meeting?
14	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: That's coming up at the
15	next meeting. We weren't able just just the
16	timeline and everything, what what we've got to
17	bring to the Board is is the is a package is
18	a real package that's ready to move to the CR 102
19	level.
20	So it's just prior to the CR 102 level when
21	we've had a it's a final product from the Code
22	Reviser it's not a final product. It's a proposed
23	final product, but it is, you know at that point
24	there unless there is public comment that changes
25	things, there is generally no changes moving forward.
	Dage 90



1	So we want to have that. We want to have that version
2	available for the Board in advance of next board
3	meeting, so that there is time for Board members to
4	review and just follow the normal process.
5	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. So we're going
6	to inspect them, and in some short time we'll see if
7	some e-mail went through that has the proposed
8	changes? So we can review them prior to next meeting
9	then.
10	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: I'm coming off let me
11	just work off the top of my head here. I believe that
12	I have I committed to have the rules ready for the
13	Code Reviser, I believe, on the 28th of August. So
14	we're getting into September. Probably about that
15	time, we're going to be posting that version online,
16	you know, and making we do it ahead of public
17	comment period. We do it ahead of 102. We just like
18	to get it out there; right?
19	So we will get that out in the public realm,
20	advertise it, electronic newsletter, news articles,
21	and let everybody know that is there. And Board
22	members can read it then, or you can get you will
23	get the official version at least 20 days prior to the
24	next meeting.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very much. Page 91



1	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Yeah.
2	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right.
3	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Any questions?
4	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: You can continue on
5	sorry.
6	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: No. I'm good. Yeah. I
7	don't know. Was I in the middle of a statement?
8	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: It sounded like you
9	wanted to continue on. That's all right.
10	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: No. I was good. I was
11	just trying to give I was trying to think and speak
12	at the same time. So I think I gave an accurate
13	rendition of what the future holds.
14	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. Thank you
15	very much.
16	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Yes. Thank you.
17	STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP: Chair
18	Jenkins, just to answer Mr. Gray's question a little
19	further is that, Wayne ensures me that it's his
20	intention to put together some kind of a stakeholder
21	of that material handler definition issue, and to
22	continue to kind of fill it out so that there is we
23	have some kind of consensus going forward.
24	So I think that he would say that it's not
25	dead. We're it's still in the process.
	Page 92

1	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Thank you for that.
2	STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP: You're
3	welcome.
4	BOARD MEMBER TUMELSON: Board Member
5	Tumelson. I had a question for the Department.
6	I don't know where I read it, maybe I just
7	heard it, but I heard that a plan review might be on
8	the docket for a photovoltaic for you guys. Is that
9	you guys?
10	Okay. Maybe it was just a rumor. Someone
11	was like, oh, the Department is going to be requiring,
12	you know, photovoltaic plan review. So I was like,
13	okay. I'd like to know that. I didn't see it in the
14	Current or anything.
15	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: No. Still they still
16	plan board take plan for any time they're within any
17	of the required occupancies, planned occupance source,
18	so schools, public buildings, et cetera.
19	BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Board Member
20	Knottingham. There is a there is a reference to
21	photovoltaic. I think it's over 9.6kw in the WAC
22	296486(b) 900 electrical planar view portion of that
23	article. And it's specifically enumerates PV systems
24	over 9.6kw.
25	Can you clarify that that only applies to

1	the occupancy groups, institutional health care,
2	education?
3	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Yes. Because it's
4	within, I believe, WAC 900, which is the plan review.
5	BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Yeah. Correct.
6	Okay. And we just encountered this and had a debate
7	about whether it was broadly applied. Or it was all
8	specific to those occupancies.
9	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: All.
10	Okay. Yeah.
11	STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP: So one
12	thing I would add is that we do have a requirement for
13	a design review so that when you when the inspector
14	shows up, that we have some kind of a one-line, we
15	know what was designed, and that we can check all of
16	those elements. So that is an on-site. It is not a
17	planner, where your submitting plans or you're then
18	going through a process for us to approve or to
19	disapprove before a, like, school or something like
20	that. So there is that design review that we have
21	those documents on-site.
22	BOARD MEMBER TUMELSON: Thank you.
23	TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: We have that
24	for PUD, WIN, and for this current rulemaking. We're
25	looking into the future. Yeah.
	Page 94

1	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions
2	for Technical Specialist Larry Vance?
3	TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: Thank you all.
4	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very much.
5	So it looks like we're back to you, again,
6	Mr. Lorin Lathrop.
7	Secretary's report.
8	SECRETARY'S REPORT
9	STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP: Thank
10	you, Chair Jenkins.
11	So this is our end-of-the-fiscal-year
12	report, so not when it comes to our balances,
13	things are a little bit different because we are still
14	awaiting for a number of bills to come in and to
15	clear. So we will have a better and a complete answer
16	for you at the October meeting, but at this point this
17	is the preliminary data for the budget.
18	As of right now, we're expecting between two
19	and \$300,000 of expenditures to continue to roll in
20	over the next month or so and get all caught up.
21	So as of right now. The electrical fund
22	balance of June 30th of 2023 was 17,319,235, which is
23	about seven-and-a-half times the average monthly
24	operating expenditures.
25	The average monthly operating expenditures
	Page 95

1	for the fourth quarter of fiscal year '23 were
2	2,568,628, compared to 2,252,966,000 for the same
3	period last year, which is an increase of about
4	14 percent.
5	The average monthly revenue for the fourth
6	quarter of fiscal year '23 was 2,834,328 compared to
7	2,900,908 for the same period last year, which is a
8	decrease of about three percent.
9	For customer service for the month of June,
10	we sold 48,778 permits, 98.3 percent of them, or
11	47,959 were processed online, which is a decrease of
12	about .3 percent from last quarter. 99.7 of
13	contractor permits were sold online, which is a
14	decrease of about .1 percent from the previous
15	quarter.
16	Homeowner online sales from this quarter was
17	82.8 percent, which is a 1 percent decrease from the
18	previous quarter, and the online inspection requests
19	was 75.2 percent, which is a 1.6 percent decrease from
20	last quarter.
21	During this quarter, customers made
22	91.3 percent of all electrical license renewals
23	online, which is a .7 percent increase from last
24	quarter.

Our key performance measures, this comes

Page 96

L & I - Electrical Board Meeting - July 27, 2023

from the scorecard. 1 The first one, percentage of 2 inspections performed within 48 hours of a request, the goal is 86 percent. Both last fiscal year and 3 this fiscal year, we're looking at the whole year in 4 this report, were the same. 77 percent were answered 5 6 within 24 hours. And the percentage of inspections 7 performed within 48 hours, again, was the same this year at 89 percent. It doesn't say here, but the goal 8 9 for performance in -- within 48 hours is 94 percent of 10 inspections done within 48 hours of request.

Total number of inspections last year, we did 261,919. This year we increased it a little bit to 264,723. VEI is continuing to grow. Last year we did 27,342 virtual inspections, and this year we did 43,350.

Number of focused citations and warnings, 16 focused citations are for contractor licensing, worker 17 18 certification, permit, and failing to supervise 19 This is our underground economy that we're trainees. targeting, trying to curve that. Last year the field 20 21 did 1430 focus citations, ECORE and Audit did 3,764 22 with a total of 5,194. This year the field did 1,128. 23 Focus citations, ECORE and Audit did 5,609, for a 24 total of 6816.

25

The average inspector stops per day went

1	down a little bit. Last year it was 11.7. This year
2	it was 11.4, and the number of serious corrections
3	that would result in a disconnection of power was
4	37,023 in '22 and 37,532 this year.
5	The turnaround time for plan review, the
6	goal is less than 1.6 weeks. Right now last year
7	they operated in turnaround time was three days.
8	This year they brought that down to two days.
9	And then total number of pages reviewed,
10	last year 4,390, and this year 3,958.
11	When we talk about focus citations, that
12	numbers includes those which carry the monetary
13	penalty, and those which do no not. And when they
14	did when we didn't give a monetary penalty we
15	referred to those as warnings.
16	So in the last year, two percent of all the
17	licensing citations that we file that we cited
18	where only two percent were warnings; for
19	certifications, 16 percent were for warnings; for
20	permits, 40 percent were warnings; and for a trainee
21	not having proper supervision was 35 percent. And of
22	all the focus citations we did, only 25 percent
23	overall were warnings.
24	I feel like this is a big hose. Do we want

to stop there? Anyone have a question before we go

25

1 too far? BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Just a couple comments. 2 I'll start, and then you can add your comments. 3 We've talked about it for years that we get 4 to a fund balance where we're at. There's a big fear 5 6 of getting swept. So I think further discussion on 7 the topic of the three years now, of getting more inspectors and encouraging more inspectors whereas the 8 9 Department had on changing, you know, pay structure 10 and all those things we've discussed over the years. 11 You might want to add something. 12 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Well, can I just 13 interrupt for a second? 14 BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Yep. 15 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: I think reading the minutes from last meeting, in looking at doing a 16 (indiscernible) out of that, and they are having some 17 18 comp and stuff -- discussions going on. 19 Can you odd to that, Lorin? STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP: 20 I can. 21 BOARD MEMBER BURKE: I knew where it 22 started. So where are we going --23 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you. 24 BOARD MEMBER BURKE: -- in the last months? 25 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right.

1	STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP: So to
2	so with this last year there was a standard a
3	negotiated raise that happened for inspectors, which
4	over two years got somewhere in the 15 to 17 percent,
5	so depending on if you're an inspector, what level.
6	So that brought our that brought our wages up to
7	I'd say the upper third of what inspectors are being
8	paid statewide.
9	BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Do you know how that
10	compares to construction electricians?
11	STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP: I
12	would I would say that we would be competitive with
13	everyone but King County.
14	BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Got it.
15	STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP: That's
16	the fairest way I can say it.
17	BOARD MEMBER BURKE: That's a fair
18	statement.
19	STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP: So on top
20	of that, in this last legislative session, they the
21	Legislature also passed a budget in which we were
22	granted a larger allotment to be able to use only for
23	retention and promotion. That two-year allotment
24	bumped us to, I'm going to say, at the top of the pay
25	scale. We're right now, for these next two years,



we are highly competitive with what we're paying
 compared to basically everyone. But, again, King
 County is right there with us, and that's just the way
 that goes.

5 So we have -- we have some very positive 6 things happening out of that. We are hoping to 7 continue to be able to recruit and to attract 8 excellent candidates because now we're not hovering 9 for the previous year, so you know, out of all the 10 municipalities, we were second to last in terms of pay 11 statewide.

So we are now -- we've gone from that -that bottom, so now we are at the top of the pay scale, at least for the next two years, and right now we're -- it's looking quite promising.

Where that goes in the future, we don't know yet. There's a lot of work going on to -- to in class and comp and stuff like that, that Wayne is doing good work on, so that's where we're at.

20 BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Which is great to hear 21 that it's happening. As the Board, I'm sure it will 22 stay in our agenda. So thank you.

23 STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP: You're24 welcome.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: How many open

Page 101

1	positions how many recruiting positions do you have
2	open right now for inspectors?
3	STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP: I
4	believe I just did a number yesterday. I believe
5	it is 21, 22 is what we currently have for vacancy
6	statewide, which no. That can't be right. The
7	percentage is 21 percent excuse me. The percentage
8	is 21 percent. I believe I'd have I can look it
9	up for you. I have it here. I believe it's in the 25
10	range. I'd have to look, but it's got 21 percent.
11	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Okay. And are you
12	tracking some anticipated electrician retirement?
13	STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP: Yes.
14	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: What do those numbers
15	look like over the next two years?
16	STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP: I don't
17	think I have a hard number. I can tell you that I've
18	looked at some of the key positions that we have just
19	in the supervisor ranks and those. And we're looking
20	at over ten retirements in the next two years just in
21	key positions.
22	So that is that is it's quite there
23	is a lot. There's going to be a lot moving. And
24	that's part of the reason why that we've been trying
25	to bring in we've gone from two technical
	Page 102



1	specialists to three, so we can start doing some of
2	the change management and start to plan for what the
3	Department can do moving forward and getting people
4	like me who are a little bit on the younger side of
5	this that can try to learn the ropes somewhere in the
6	history of the Department and keep it going.
7	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Do they does the
8	Department have or encourage written succession plans
9	in those different regions, in those departments where
10	you have supervisors there
11	STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP: I don't
12	know the answer to that. I I would have to get
13	I don't want to shoot from the hip on that. I
14	would I I will find the answer for you and get
15	it back to you.
16	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Okay. Maybe in the
17	next board meeting.
18	STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP: Yeah.
19	Absolutely.
20	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Thank you.
21	STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP: Uh-huh.
22	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. You may
23	continue.
24	STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP: Okay.
25	All right. Page two.
	Page 103

1	The electrical license/citations/amusement
2	rights/appeals. As of July 25th, there are a total of
3	1391 items to be processed. The oldest item is dated
4	June 2nd of 2023. The majority of our workload is
5	closer to June 13th of 2023. 1,019 of these items are
6	affidavits. We continue to see an increase in the
7	number of affidavits being submitted most likely due
8	to the July 1st, 2023 apprenticeship law change.
9	Electrical trainees are submitting hours worked in the
10	01 cat general category to ensure they're recorded
11	prior to this date.
12	In addition to processing documents,
13	licensing staff are responsible for answering all
14	incoming electrical program phone calls. For this
15	time frame between April 1st of 2023 and July 1st of
16	2023, they answered a total of 6,662 calls. This is
17	an average of 2,220 calls per month or 555 a week, 105
18	a day or 13 an hour. This does not include inbound
19	calls coming into their direct lines or inbound calls
20	being made outbound calls, excuse me, made to
21	customers. Current staffing allows for two
22	representatives to be available at a time to answer
23	calls.

24The standard workflow has been updated, and25the licensing team has been trained on law changes

1 that took place on July 1st of 2023. Much of the 2 backlog reported is due to the increase in number of 3 affidavits we are receiving as well as the staff 4 familiarization -- familiarizing themselves with the 5 updated review process.

6 They have completed the hiring process to fill two positions within the Citations and Amusement 7 Rights section. This movement created a vacancy 8 9 within the Licensing team. We have also completed the 10 hiring process to fill the position that was vacated 11 by its promotional opportunity. Jennifer Billie, Program Support Supervisor, has accepted the position 12 13 with another program area within the agency. Jen 14 Dietrich, who has been overseeing the citation 15 Amusement rides and Appeals position, will take on the 16 supervisory responsibilities of the Licensing team 17 until the program dangers a succession plan.

18 There's nothing new to report for new 19 testing labs. And with the pass of Engrossed 20 Substitute Senate Bill 5320, which was the 21 apprenticeship law that was just -- that was amended 22 the original 6126 was passed in April by the 23 Legislature and signed by Governor Inslee. And that 24 the report.

> CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any questions from the Page 105

1	Board?
2	I just want to say, I just hope that
3	they'll all this disbursing throughout the whole
4	program gets fairly and eventually distributed based
5	upon whatever you guys decide, all the way from the
6	chief to the next person higher. I think the Board
7	has a same input there.
8	Okay. All right. Hearing no other
9	questions for Secretary's reports, I think you had
10	some other questions or comments about the
11	preconstruction meetings.
12	PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETINGS AND ELECTRICAL INSPECTOR INVOLVEMENT
13	
14	STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP: Oh, yes. Thank you.
15	Last month or last meeting, there was a
16	question that was brought to Wayne about who or what
17	or how is the Department handling preconstruction
18	meetings with customers. And with discussion in the
19	last supervisors' meeting, they were the
20	supervisors the field supervisors were instructed
21	to be very careful with those types of meetings.
22	Mainly, come out with if you're going to have those
23	types of meetings, they should be only supervisors and
24	leads who go out to them, and that they are to answer
25	specific code questions, not to layout or approve work
	Page 100

1	ahead of time, that they should be we can't give
2	suggestions. We cannot consult, but we can answer
3	code questions.
4	So that is the direction.
5	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right.
6	Yes?
7	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Just to comment on
8	that, this all stems from a case we had that lingered
9	here for several years. My recommendation is that if
10	a supervisor is going to go out and have a
11	conversation or a meeting with a contractor or owner,
12	that they document that somehow and keep it on file in
13	some form or fashion.
14	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Did you get that?
15	STANDING SECRETARY LORIN LATHROP: Yep. I
16	got it. Sorry. I'm getting
17	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: That's all right.
18	Any other questions? Comments?
19	All right. Given that, we're getting close
20	to the end, and I think we can push through, unless
21	anyone has any questions, comments, or concerns?
22	Hearing none, do we have any public comment
23	regarding items not in the agenda?
24	PUBLIC COMMENT REGARDING ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA
25	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: It looks like we do
	Page 107
1	have some people signed in to do this. Aaron Larsen,
----	--
2	are you still with us?
3	As a reminder to public comments, these are
4	comments made to the Board and to the Department. We
5	don't take questions. We will not respond to any
6	comments or suggestions sent to us directly. Okay.
7	CAROLYN LOGUE: He actually signed up.
8	We're here on the same subject. I'm Carolyn Logue. I
9	can just go first, if that's okay.
10	So my name is Carolyn Logue. I'm the
11	lobbyist for the Washington Air Conditioning
12	Contractors Association. It is an association of
13	HVACR, contractors, installers, distributors, and
14	manufacturers working here in Washington state. This
15	is technically kind of on the agenda because the
16	Department did the update. But in your rule packet,
17	as you see it going forward, will be the proposal,
18	again, to see how we can help or have the 06As be able
19	to do the connections between the indoor and outdoor
20	units in ductless mini-splits. And I just wanted to
21	come and talk to you today just to emphasize a little
22	bit about why that is so important.
23	First of all, I think there has been some
24	discussions about just how much work this is. Right
25	now what we're seeing is this I'd say five years

now what we're seeing is this -- I'd say five years

1	ago, our contractors were telling us, this is
2	25 percent of their installs, maybe less. It is now
3	getting to be 50 to 70 percent of the install requests
4	coming through for these ductless mini-splits. It is
5	being used, as you know, retrofit for older houses
6	that have had traditional baseboard or non-ductless
7	systems and want to introduce particularly now that
8	we're trying to introduce more air conditioning.
9	Condos and apartments and especially when you're
10	seeing more and more condos and apartments going up,
11	but, also and then, of course, the need and more
12	demand for zone control within homes and in buildings.
13	In addition, in the 2021 energy code, the
14	State Building Code Council has mandated that there be
15	heat pumps in new construction. That's real for
16	residential and commercial. The other the other
17	thing is that even in the 2018 code, for the builders
18	out there, what they found in order to meet the
19	requirements is that they do they charge to figure
20	out the carbon emission and energy efficiency required
21	in the code that the ductless mini-split systems are
22	often the most efficient means of doing that. It
23	allows them and gives them more flexibility in terms
24	of other appliance use if they do a ductless
25	mini-split type of system.

1 We want to make sure that you understand 2 that we want to make sure that this is done safely. We're not talking about having the 06As, the 01 still 3 need to be involved in constructing and installing the 4 outdoor unit. There would still be inspections. 5 We 6 want to make sure it's done correctly. So we want to 7 see how they can help the 06As.

From what we can tell, we haven't seen -- in 8 9 another state, that doesn't allow the HVAC techs to do 10 this work. We have Genseco. I just want to kind of 11 emphasize how much we want to sit down. If there is 12 language discussions, et cetera, we are ready to do 13 We have Wayne Craig from Bob's Heating and Air that. 14 Conditioning and others who are ready to sit down and 15 have really good discussions about how we can do this, 16 so that it's safe, so that it's done correctly, and it is done within the scope of what is training for our 17 06A electricians. 18

So with that, I just wanted to make sure you
know we want to work on this. We want to help. We
want to figure out how to do it, because it's becoming
such a big huge, giant part of the industry.

AARON LARSEN: So my name is Aaron Larsen, as she said. I work for Genseco. We're a heating and air conditioning wholesaler. My background is both a



1 journeyman electrician from Idaho, as well as a 2 journeyman HVAC installer from Idaho. I moved up here a couple years ago with the request from Gensco to 3 support the team on a technical standpoint. 4 So my official title is technical services manager. 5 So I 6 cover a lot of installation issues that our dealers run into throughout the field. 7

So what I would like to tell you is the 8 running front of this cable from outdoor unit to 9 10 indoor unit is really a normal part of the HVAC 11 installation. It still needs to be done per code. Code addresses the strapping. We should still have 12 13 our 06A licensing as well as an inspection process 14 thereof. But I do believe it's a normal part of the 15 HVAC installation.

16 On the gross side of it, we at Genseco last year saw 70 percent growth, year over year, of 17 18 ductless products. The previous year we saw about 19 I think it was right around 40 percent grown. 20 43 percent growth. This year we're expecting 21 somewhere in the neighborhood of 35 to 40 percent 22 growth again.

23 So if you account for that, we've doubled 24 our business every two years for the last few years. 25 This is an amazing growth. It's going to continue to



1	grow. And we would really like to find out how we can		
2	help make this more seamless installation for both the		
3	01A that does the line voltage work to the outdoor		
4	unit as well as the HVAC contractor.		
5	We're willing to discuss, if we need to make		
6	training modifications. We require a lot of training.		
7	We do a lot of training in our facility, and I know		
8	our competitors do as well. We're willing to discuss		
9	any modifications with training that you-all see fit		
10	to make this happen.		
11	And again, we still want the permitting		
12	process. We still want the inspection. We just want		
13	to make sure everything runs smoothly, and we can meet		
14	the demands that Washington has put forward for the		
15	electrification of Washington.		
16	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. Thank you very		
17	much.		
18	AARON LARSEN: Thank you. I appreciate your		
19	time.		
20	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: And next on our list		
21	is Steven Anderson.		
22	Spell and speak your name for the court		
23	reporter, please.		
24	STEPHEN ANDERSON: Yeah. My name is Stephen		
25	Anderson. IT'S S-T-E-P-H-E-N, A-N-D-E-R-S-O-N.		
	Page 112		

1 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. You may 2 continue. STEPHEN ANDERSON: Okay. I'm an electrical 3 administrator, an 01. I own Solex Corporation, which 4 is an electrical contractor and general contractor, 5 6 and we specialize in solar. I didn't intend to make any public comments today, but I spoke with John 7 Barns, and he suggested that I mention this to 8 9 everybody. So that's what I'm doing. 10 There's been quite a big of caginess with 11 the electrical inspectors, not that L&I inspectors, but the City inspectors. I wouldn't bring this to 12 13 you, but I understand you have some sort of oversight 14 and advisory role even with the cities. 15 So there was law -- I'll make this guick. 16 But there was a law passed, RCW 19.27.195 sometime ago: Renewable energy systems-Study code and adopt 17 18 changes. State building code council, in consultation 19 with the Department of Commerce and the local government shall conduct a study of the State building 20 21 code and adopt changes necessary to ensure greater use 22 of renewable energy systems. 23 That study did occur, in the City of

Seattle, Edmonds, Bellevue, Kirkland, Ellensburg.
They were all involved. What came out of that were



quite a few different code changes, and the idea is
 that it incentivized solar and made it, you know, less
 costly.

So in particular, WAC 296-46B-690 004, 4 5 Installation: Support structure or foundation. It 6 says specifically those portions of the structure 7 support or foundation are exclusively mechanical and not part of the bonding or grounding path would not be 8 9 considered part of the photovoltaic system. Such 10 structural support or foundation may be done by the 11 owner, registered general contractor, or licensed 12 electrical contractor without electrical permit or 13 inspection.

14 There is no permit and no inspection. Well, why would that be? Well, it's not electrical work. 15 16 The people that would do that would be roofers, typically. And that support structure we're talking 17 18 about the flashes that have a standoff attached to 19 them, and this whole solar sits on top of it. So they're -- they're metal, and they can conduct 20 21 electricity, but they're not part of the bonding path 22 in any way. And no different than -- say, a metal 23 roof would be part of the bonding path just because it's metal or a metal duct work. 24

So -- but what happens is City inspectors

Page 114



25

1	will come out, and they will want to before we
2	install any of this, they're going to want to see
3	those materials, oftentimes, at the site. They want
4	to have a cover inspection. Theoretically, it should
5	already be up on the roof, because it could be done
6	without a permit on an inspection. Why are they
7	inspecting this though? If they're inspecting it,
8	number one, why is an electrical inspector inspecting
9	stuff that's not electrical at all? It's part of a
10	solar installation, but not all solar is electrical.
11	Essentially, they would be trespassing. I mean, are
12	we going to have electrical inspectors inspecting the
13	plumbing also? I mean, do they come by and say,
14	here's a water pipe. I'm going to inspect that too.
15	Well, that would be ridiculous. That would be
16	absolutely unreasonable. But we have that happen all
17	the time with many of the different cities, and it
18	creates sort of a I want to have a good
19	relationship with the inspectors. I don't want to
20	have sort of a contentious sort of relationship. I
21	want to have a good time, actually.

But it gets worse because in four, it says,
The entity placing the cell, module, panel, or array
is not subject to requirements for electrical
inspection, licensing, or certification so long as

work is limited to placement and securement of the
 device, and an electrical work permit has been
 previously obtained.

4 So the other thing that they want to do is 5 they want to have a special inspection, a cover 6 inspector, come and look at the certification listing 7 mark on the panels. And, really, this cover 8 inspection is all about inspecting these materials 9 that are exempt from an inspection because of the WAC 10 here completely.

And so, essentially, these cities are coercing me or my employees into showing up for inspections that's essentially a phoney inspection of either passive electrical work, that is not subject to an inspection, or work that isn't even electrical at all that should be done by roofers or framers without an inspection or a permit.

So, you know, it's some pretty aggravating stuff. But I think, you know -- I just wanted to bring it to your attention to let you know that's what is going on. And that's it.

22 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. Thank you very 23 much for your time. I appreciate it.

24

25

STEPHEN ANDERSON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. Any other



1	questions, comments, or concerns for the Electrical	
2	Board before we adjourn?	
3	JOHN BARNS: Yes. I do have an order on the	
4	case that you just heard for signature. And I also	
5	have an order from if you-all recall, there was a	
6	special E Board meeting on June 1st in Olympia, and it	
7	had to deal with open wiring on insulators in a marina	
8	setting. We do have an order. It's not an agreed	
9	order. We have sent it to PMW, and either they have	
10	not gotten back with this, or they have, and they	
11	don't have any issue with the order. They still have	
12	issue with the underlying decision. But anyway, I do	
13	have these two orders for signature.	
14	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. We'll review	
15	those and we can sign as appropriate.	
16	JOHN BARNS: Thank you.	
17	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other questions?	
18	Any comments, concerns for the Electrical Board	
19	itself?	
20	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Thank you, Mr. Chair I	
21	wonder if it would be appropriate to ask that the	
22	Board get a copy of the proposal that the Department	
23	developed for describing material handling on job	
24	sites. Could we ask that that be sent around?	
25	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: I would you're	
	Page 117	

1	talking about for the next meeting?		
2	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Yes. And for the review		
3	in case we want to support this.		
4	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Request that the		
5	Department does that. Thank you.		
6	Anything else we want to ask the Department		
7	for?		
8	Yes?		
9	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Based on the last		
10	gentleman's comments, I am curious to know how the		
11	Department handles the inconsistencies across		
12	jurisdictions. I see that often. I'm sure other		
13	people see that as well. I don't know what the		
14	Department typically does to remedy that or if they've		
15	got to campaign for training and education. But I		
16	would like to hear something maybe at the next Board		
17	meeting on how the Department manages and how they can		
18	go forward to correct some of those, because these are		
19	real issues.		
20	Dominic, have you experienced that?		
21	BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Yeah. Absolutely.		
22	Every jurisdiction. Yeah.		
23	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. Last thing		
24	I want to mention is, first of all, I appreciate the		
25	Department for updating us. I very much appreciate		
	Page 118		

1	that.
2	So any other questions or comments?
3	Okay. Given that, the chairman will motion
4	to close the meeting.
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So do.
6	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: We have a motion.
7	Do we have a second?
8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Second.
9	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: We have a second.
10	Any discussion?
11	Hearing all in favor?
12	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
13	CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any opposed?
14	Motion passes.
15	(Meeting concluded at 12:04 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 119

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc.

Γ

1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4 5	I, EVELYN JAIMEZ, A CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON, RESIDING AT VANCOUVER, DO HEREBY CERTIFY;
6	THAT THE FOREGOING PROCEEDINGS WERE TAKEN BEFORE ME
7	AND THEREAFTER REDUCED TO A TYPED FORMAT UNDER MY DIRECTION; THAT THE TRANSCRIPT IS A FULL, TRUE AND COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF SAID PROCEEDINGS CONSISTING OF
8	PAGES 1 THROUGH 120.
9	THAT AS A CCR IN THIS STATE, I AM BOUND BY THE RULES OF CONDUCT AS CODIFIED IN WAC 308-14-130; THAT
10	COURT REPORTING ARRANGEMENTS AND FEES IN THIS CASE ARE OFFERED TO ALL PARTIES ON EQUAL TERMS.
11	
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE HEREUNTO SET MY HAND THIS 10TH DAY OF AUGUST 2023.
13	
14	
15	111-1-
16	EVELYN JAIMEZ, CCR NO. 3446
17 18	
10 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 120
	A

	- 10:55 65:25	
\$	11.4 98:2	2
\$3,000 72:21	11.7 98:1	2' 71:8
\$300,000 95:19	11th 84:20	2,220 104:17
	12-2021-LI-01739 29:11	2,252,966,000 96:2
-	1205 22:8	2,568,628 96:2
00000 3:16	1242 21:16	2,834,328 96:6
	1245 21:16	2,900,908 96:7
0	1287 22:23	2.3 22:25
004 114:4	1288 22:24,25	20 33:9 50:3,6 61:14,15 70:8 73:6
01 56:22 77:8 80:23 89:5 104:10	1291 23:4	91:23
110:3 113:4	12:04 119:15	20' 74:15,18 82:4
01A 112:3	12th 7:14,18	200 71:2
02 56:22	13 104:18	2002 31:17 34:20 42:4,5,12 49:12
022022-LI01755 65:22	1391 104:3	2013 18:18
052021-LI01646 7:8	13th 18:15 104:5	2016 21:15 22:25
06 56:22 77:9	14 96:4	2017 21:17
06A 110:18 111:13	1430 97:21	2018 10:6 11:9 17:16 18:15,17 21:1
06as 108:18 110:3,7	1471 17:18	109:17
	- 1475 18:9	2019 10:6,13 11:11,16 18:11,14 19:13,15,20 21:16 23:4
1	15 8:20 100:4	2020 7:18 11:20
1 76:23 96:14,17	15-minute 65:6	2021 10:8,10 11:22 29:20 66:6
1,019 104:5	16 36:16 98:19	109:13
1,128 97:22	16th 29:19	2022 7:14 66:3
1.6 96:19 98:6	17 100:4	2023 3:3,21 5:23 6:2,11 7:10 29:14
10 68:11	17,319,235 95:22	65:24 84:19 85:1 95:22 104:4,5,8, 15,16 105:1
10' 69:6 79:14	19.27.195 113:16	2024 85:1,9
100 60:9	19.28 13:12,19	21 102:5,7,8,10
102 90:18,20 91:17	1928 48:4	22 98:4 102:5
105 104:17	1933 3:3	226 39:22
1099 15:1,6 21:11,14 22:9 23:3,6	1956 58:6	227 39:22
25:6	1993 58:7	22nd 29:14
1099s 25:16	19th 18:11	23 96:1,6
10:35 65:7	1st 18:14 85:1,9 104:8,15 105:1	24 61:2 97:6
10:53 65:8	117:6	25 67:7 78:4,14 79:7,9,23 80:3,13
10:54 65:11		81:4,7 98:22 102:9 109:2

3 96:12 3,764 97:21

3,958 98:10

30 68:24

343 39:8

35 98:21 111:21

37,023 98:4

37,532 98:4

3rd 85:20

30th 95:22 **339** 39:8

250.50 81:21	419 26:5
250.53(a)(2) 74:6	43 111:20
258A-C 3:4	43,350 97:15
25th 104:2	45 75:11
260 31:23 32:4,6 33:8,10 34:4,24	458 26:4
36:21,23 37:18 39:13,23 42:8,16,25 43:10 44:2,5,7 45:9,12,24 46:4	47,959 96:11
47:12,23 50:20 54:4	471 17:23
260's 39:24	472 17:23
261,919 97:12	473 17:23
264,723 97:13	474 17:23
27 3:2 5:23 66:2	48 97:2,7,9,10
27,342 97:14	48,778 96:10
27th 3:21 6:2,11 7:10 65:24	
	-
28 84:20	5
28 84:2028th 91:13	5 5 84:15
28th 91:13	5 84:15
28th 91:13293 26:3	5 84:15 5' 69:16 70:2
28th 91:13 293 26:3 296-46B-690 114:4	5 84:15 5' 69:16 70:2 5,194 97:22
28th 91:13 293 26:3 296-46B-690 114:4 296486(b) 93:22 2nd 104:4	5 84:15 5' 69:16 70:2 5,194 97:22 5,609 97:23
28th 91:13 293 26:3 296-46B-690 114:4 296486(b) 93:22	5 84:15 5' 69:16 70:2 5,194 97:22 5,609 97:23 5.16 36:16
28th 91:13 293 26:3 296-46B-690 114:4 296486(b) 93:22 2nd 104:4	5 84:15 5' 69:16 70:2 5,194 97:22 5,609 97:23 5.16 36:16 50 109:3

555 104:17

6,662 104:16

6126 105:22

633 42:12

638 44:5

6816 97:24

6

683 31:20 32:6 33:6,13 34:5 36:22

21 44:6,19 45:10,13 54:1

37:21 38:3,5 39:13,23 43:13,16,20,

56 75:1

7 96:23 70 109:3 111:17

> **77** 97:5 8 8' 67:4 69:8 78:20 79:13 **80** 26:6 82.8 96:17 **86** 97:3 **89** 97:8 8th 66:6 9 9.6kw 93:21,24 900 93:22 94:4 01 3 06.22

700 32:8 33:13

75.2 96:19

91.3	96:22
911	5:20
94 9	7:9
98.3	96:10
99.7	96:12
9:00	68:11
9:06	3:2,22
9:10	7:11
9:41	29:15

Α

A-N-D-E-R-S-O-N 112:25

a.m. 3:2,22 7:11 29:15 65:7,8,25

AAG 45:4 46:14 52:3 60:13 63:4,10, 17 64:7,20 82:13

Aaron 108:1 110:23 112:18

absolutely 17:24 46:18 70:21,24

4,390 9	8:10
----------------	------

40 98:20 111:19.21

4



Index: 250.50..absolutely

7

Index: accept..application

Neeting - July 27, 2023		
103:19 115:16 118:21	advice 61:24 64:11 84:21,2	
accept 69:2,4	advise 7:22 28:8 46:15 64:	
accepted 43:1 89:19 105:12	advised 28:2 69:24	
access 11:13 19:4,5	advisory 113:14	
account 37:24 41:2 111:23	affidavit 11:3 14:1 17:18 1	
accountable 40:8	19:12,16	
accounted 50:11	affidavits 10:15,18,21,24 - 17 14:2 15:18 17:16,19,20	
accounting 53:12	104:6,7 105:3	
accurate 40:10 88:3 92:12	affirm 25:4 26:21 29:16 41	
Act 8:8	59:17 63:25 82:15,19	
action 7:25 45:2 47:17 49:23 73:2	affirmatively 47:11	
actions 8:4	affirmed 7:15 33:24	
active 48:10 51:19	affirms 24:23	
actor 34:18	agencies 32:20 86:21	
actual 55:13	agency 105:13	
add 44:14 47:19 69:10 80:7 90:9 94:12 99:3,11	agency's 34:9 agenda 88:15 101:22 107:2	
addition 39:4 68:18 71:1 104:12 109:13	108:15 aggravating 116:18	
additional 74:25 81:20	agree 18:22 53:19 55:12 6	
address 14:8 18:24 31:4 32:10 37:7 51:11 57:5,11 58:8,18	agreed 27:25 28:2,5 63:19	
addressed 52:2	agreement 22:22,24 23:4, 28:3,12 83:16,19,24,25	
addresses 39:6 111:12	agreements 22:22 25:19	
ADDS 42:24	agrees 23:1,5	
adjourn 117:2	ahead 4:8 41:17 49:4 72:4 91:16,17 107:1	
administrative 7:13 8:6,8,15 20:3 60:21 66:2	air 108:11 109:8 110:13,25	
administrator 24:8 40:5 43:11,12,	ALG's 82:12	
17,20 51:14 54:24 55:6,9,19 113:4	align 85:12	
administrators 56:24	ALJ 8:9,17 9:1 10:11,25 14	
adopt 113:17,21	25 15:13,23 16:5 36:13 3 59:5 74:11 82:15	
adopted 85:19	ALJ's 9:10,14 12:18,22 16:	
adoption 84:19,25 85:11	38:14 41:5 59:17 82:19	
advance 91:2	ALJS 9:11	
adverse 7:25	alleged 38:16	
advertise 91:20	allergic 5:3,9	
advertising 54:19		

advice 61:24 64:11 84:21,22 allotment 100:22,23 advise 7:22 28:8 46:15 64:7 83:21 allowed 31:23 47:23 alteration 33:22 altered 19:16,19 affidavit 11:3 14:1 17:18 18:13,16 Alysa 6:22 amazing 81:6 111:25 affidavits 10:15,18,21,24 11:5,14, amend 24:11 17 14:2 15:18 17:16,19,20 18:8,17 amended 14:1,17 15:18,24 22:10 105:21 affirm 25:4 26:21 29:16 41:5 48:10 amendment 59:10,18 America 26:10,14 amount 11:4 15:22 60:15,17 64:1 72:22 **AMP** 71:2 **Amusement** 105:7,15 analogous 37:11 40:15 analysis 36:14 37:3,8 66:23 agenda 88:15 101:22 107:23,24 and/or 82:3 Anderson 112:21,24,25 113:3 116:24 agree 18:22 53:19 55:12 61:21 75:8 **Anna** 29:6 38:21 66:20 83:9,10 agreed 27:25 28:2,5 63:19 117:8 answering 104:13 agreement 22:22,24 23:4,10,12 anticipated 102:12 apartments 109:9,10 apologize 46:22 50:21 ahead 4:8 41:17 49:4 72:4 77:17 apparently 32:25 73:18 appeal 7:6,12 12:24 29:9 65:21 66:1 70:3 appealed 7:19 29:21 66:8 appealing 9:6 **ALJ** 8:9,17 9:1 10:11,25 14:5,23,24, appeals 6:16 7:24 8:11 9:10 88:17 25 15:13,23 16:5 36:13 37:3 58:25 105:15 appellant 7:19 29:20 30:14 36:17 ALJ's 9:10,14 12:18,22 16:7,12 27:4 41:6 66:18 appliance 109:24 applicant 19:19 87:8 applicant's 19:15 application 32:24

- applied 12:1 20:6 94:7
- applies 93:25
- **apply** 10:3,4 12:12 20:4,8 32:24 76:19
- apprenticeship 85:21 104:8 105:21
- approve 5:23 94:18 106:25
- approved 78:15
- approving 6:10
- approximately 3:22 7:11 8:20 29:15 33:9 65:25
- **April** 5:23 6:2,11 7:14 85:1,9,22 104:15 105:22
- area 4:21,23,24 70:23 73:11 83:14 105:13
- arguably 44:6
- argue 8:21
- argues 11:25 36:17
- arguing 17:13 20:20,21,22
- argument 36:19 38:24 43:25 44:2 54:5,12,15
- arguments 40:13 54:3
- array 115:23
- article 93:23
- articles 91:20
- asbestos 87:3
- ascertain 67:6
- asks 9:13 12:17 16:11 41:4
- asphalted 71:6
- assembly 4:21,23
- assessed 72:21
- assigned 8:6 40:4 51:13,14
- assist 4:25
- assistant 3:11 16:20 38:21
- association 108:12
- **assume** 45:23 69:9
- assumption 76:8 80:2
- attached 45:9 76:7 114:18

- attempt 35:25 attending 3:24 attention 52:18 116:20 attorney 3:11 16:20 38:22 attract 101:7 audit 18:19 97:21,23 auditors 10:21 August 18:14 91:13 authority 11:1 52:13 authorized 7:22 automatically 28:4 83:17 average 95:23,25 96:5 97:25 104:17 avoid 24:15 awaiting 95:14 aware 5:13,18 51:9 56:20 71:4 75:20 **ave** 6:11,13 27:4,5,7 62:7,10,22,23
- 83:3 119:12

В

- **back** 23:7 24:11,19 26:10 33:17 61:15 62:12 65:11,12 86:11,14,25 87:8,23 88:15 95:5 103:15 117:10
- background 67:23 110:25
- backlog 105:2
- **bad** 45:8,11
- Baker 3:8 6:4 48:6,13,21,25 55:12 56:1,14,16 64:3 82:23 101:25 102:11,14 103:7,16,20 107:7 118:9
- balance 95:22 99:5
- balances 95:12
- Barns 113:8 117:3,16
- barred 9:16
- baseboard 109:6
- **based** 14:6 16:7 21:2 54:14 59:5 106:4 118:9
- **basically** 17:20 20:21,25 31:1 36:2 67:22 72:12 101:2

Index: applied..board

- battle 73:20 bearing 67:24 beaten 55:2 begin 9:12,21 30:24 **behalf** 6:22 believed 70:8 73:5.6 believes 34:1 66:24 **Bellevue** 113:24 Ben 3:12 10:14 46:10 54:8 **bid** 54:19 big 5:12 17:17 76:3 87:16 98:24 99:5 110:22 113:10 **bigger** 76:13 bill 85:22 86:19,20 105:20 Billie 105:11 **bills** 95:14 **bingo** 37:2 **bit** 50:22 67:20,23 75:19 90:9 95:13 97:12 98:1 103:4 108:22 **black** 34:12 blades 5:17 blame 49:21 bless 26:14 Blohowiak 3:12 45:4 46:14 52:3 60:13 63:4,10,17 64:7,20 82:13 blunt 76:14 80:12 bluntly 76:3 **board** 3:1,6,23 5:23 6:4,13 7:20,21 8:2,12,22,23,24 9:14 12:18,21 16:12,19 20:2 24:22,23 25:2,12,18 26:13,20,24 27:5,10 28:5,14 29:21
- 26:13,20,24 27:5,10 28:5,14 29:21 30:8 36:16 38:21 41:4,16,18,19 43:3,17,22 44:21,23 45:15 46:8,9, 15,18,23 48:6,13,21,25 49:3,5,18 50:3,5,6,7,10 51:2,8,9,11 52:2,3,10, 14,24 53:15 54:16,17 55:12 56:1,13, 16,18 58:1,2,3 59:3,6,9,12,18,20,21, 23 60:5,21,24 61:6,9,19,21 62:7,10, 13,18,23 63:6,12,23,24 64:3,4,7 65:11,12 66:8 72:5,10,12 73:21 74:2,3,4 75:6 77:6,7,16,18,21,23,24 78:6,8,17,23,25 79:8,11,13,16,20,



24,25 80:4,5,15,22 81:3,18,19 82:8, burden 12:4 14:15 30:14 11,13,17,21,23 83:3,18 84:18,20 85:6 86:5,14,16 88:13,17 90:8,14,17 91:2,3,10,21 92:1,3,6,10,16 93:1,4, 14,17 101:20 118:21 15,16,19 94:3,5,9,22 99:2,14,21,24 100:9,14,17 101:20,21,25 102:11,14 103:7,16,17,20 106:1,6 107:7 108:4 117:2,6,18,20,22 118:2,9,16,21 119:12 111:24 Board's 28:10 60:19 61:20 83:7,22 **business'** 53:10 84:1 business's 40:5 **Bob's** 110:13 businesses 40:8 52:25 53:11 Bobby 3:8 58:1 74:3 77:19,20 79:11 buyers 68:4 body 5:8 7:21 buying 68:17 boils 31:1 34:25 buyout 46:10 **bonding** 70:7 76:11 114:8,21,23 bothered 74:19 88:16 С **bottom** 101:13 cable 111:9 bought 31:18 34:20 44:25 cables 54:20 **bound** 8:17 caginess 113:10 brand 71:6 calendar 63:15 break 12:2 65:5.6 call 42:24 breathe 5:11 called 9:22 18:9 32:21 69:12 breathing 5:15 calls 104:14,16,17,19,20,23 briefly 60:14 campaign 118:15 bring 3:22 25:3 52:17 65:11,12 candidates 87:13,14 101:8 85:20 88:6 89:13 90:17 102:25 113:12 116:20 carbon 109:20 bringing 89:13 94:1 broad 90:10 career 73:6 broadly 94:7 careful 106:21 brother 68:19 carefully 49:6 brought 35:2 98:8 100:6 106:16 Carolyn 108:7,8,10 Brown 28:21,24 29:11 40:4 **carried** 13:12 budget 95:17 100:21 carry 98:12 **builders** 109:17 building 3:4 4:20 69:17 71:6 109:14 113:18,20 buildings 93:18 109:12

- Burke 3:9 49:3,5 52:24 53:15 72:10 79:13,16 80:4 99:2,14,21,24 100:9,
- **business** 31:4 32:13,20,22,23,24 35:24 36:9 38:25 39:2 40:6,9,19 42:12,15,16,19 49:7 57:17 67:21

care 35:4 36:7 46:12 47:2 53:12,18 case 4:13,16 8:21 9:19 10:11 20:6,7,

9,24 25:9 34:18 35:16 38:2 57:8,12 58:12 67:1.10 70:3 72:20 74:16 78:11 81:23 82:2 89:2 107:8 117:4 118:3

Index: Board's..change

cases 57:11 60:16

cat 104:10

categorized 36:18

category 104:10

caught 95:20

cease 45:1,2

ceased 45:1

cell 37:10 40:15,18 81:5 115:23

cellphone 40:17

cents 75:7

certification 8:1 57:19 76:20 86:1 97:18 115:25 116:6

Certification/ceu 84:15 86:2

certifications 98:19

certified 88:24

certifying 17:21

cetera 33:22 42:21 93:18 110:12

CEU 86:1

chair 4:11 5:21 6:2 41:18 43:4 58:2 60:24,25 63:13 72:5 74:4 77:8 82:9 84:10 88:13 92:17 95:10 117:20

chairman 86:5 119:3

CHAIRPERSON 3:20 5:25 6:5,8, 14,24 7:4 9:5 16:16 25:1,17,24 26:12,16,22,25 27:6,8,13,18,21,24 28:22 29:3,8 30:1,7,11,13,23 38:18 41:8,12,15 43:2,23 44:11,20,22 46:7 48:5 49:2,4 50:24 51:4 52:23 53:16 54:2 55:24 56:13 57:13,25 59:2,4,7, 11,16,22 61:4,7,17,22 62:8,11,16, 19,24 64:4,16,24 65:3,10,17 66:12, 15,17 67:11,17 71:12,25 72:8,14 73:23 74:1 75:5 77:15,17,20 79:6,9, 15,18 80:7,16,25 81:12 82:6,18,24 83:4,10,13 84:13 87:19,22 88:4,7,11 90:7,9 91:5,25 92:2,4,8,14 95:1,4 99:12,15,23,25 103:22 105:25 107:5,14,17,25 112:16,20 113:1 116:22,25 117:14,17,25 118:4,23 119:6,9,13

chance 87:24

change 41:22 43:7 44:24 52:13 68:10 69:18 70:14 80:9,11 103:2 104:8



bumped 100:24

Meeting - July 27, 2023	
change-outs 81:14	Clark 3:3
changed 21:23 22:6 42:1 58:10,11 69:22	class 18
changing 21:21 52:25 99:9	classific
charge 109:19	classifie
charges 72:25	Clavel 29 50:21 53 65:1 66:1
Chavez 10:14,18,22 11:5,10,13 18:2,8 19:22,23 21:25 22:3	20,24 72
Chavez's 11:2 14:7,9	clear 63:
cheaper 81:8,9	cleared
cheat 52:21 57:7	clerical
check 18:21 19:1 94:15	client 64
checked 18:24	close 49
checking 45:23	closer 1
chief 106:6	code 66: 77:11,13
chose 37:17 38:6,7,10	90:21 91
circulated 64:15	14,17,21 114:1
circumstance 31:9	coercing
citable 9:21 10:1	coincide
citation 7:15 12:4 16:14 24:11 29:17 41:6 52:17 66:4 74:5 88:18,25	College
105:14	81:20 82
citations 7:24 9:15,18 10:7,10 11:19,20 12:15,20 17:9 26:21 38:16 51:25 52:1,15,19 54:18,22 55:3,13 61:1,2 63:25 82:16 97:16,17,21,23 98:11,17,22 105:7	commen 81:2,17 & 107:18,2 118:10 1
cite 12:3 34:2	Commer
citeable 12:14	commer
cited 10:5 11:9,19,22,24 14:21 33:19 39:15 98:17	committe
cities 113:14 115:17 116:11	commun
citing 23:18,20 55:14	commun
City 113:12,23 114:25	Commur
claim 44:15	comp 99
	compani

claiming 44:18

clarified 89:16

clarify 63:22 79:6 81:13 82:14 93:25 clarifying 80:24

3

3:5 101:17

ation 17:15 18:1,25

d 18:5

29:6,24 30:3 38:20,21 43:24 3:9 60:4,6 61:13 63:16,22 11,14,19,20 71:10,14,16,18, 2:3 83:9,10,12

:14 88:19 95:15

53:20

55:3 56:8

4:11

9:9,10 51:18 107:19 119:4

04:5

:21 68:14 70:7 76:18.24 3 78:9 81:21 84:19 85:1 1:13 106:25 107:3 109:13, 1 111:11,12 113:17,18,21

g 116:12

entally 58:18

3:3

nt 52:24 72:1 75:13 79:7 2:1 90:24 91:17 107:7,22,24

nts 25:5 41:16 64:8,12 77:5 82:7 83:1 99:2,3 106:10 21 108:3,4,6 113:7 117:1,18 19:2

rce 113:19

cial 71:1 81:15 109:16

ed 91:12

nicate 87:8

nicating 87:14

nity 3:3

9:18 101:18

companies 20:11 24:3,14,19,21 42:8 47:16 51:11,15 56:21,23 58:17

company 18:21 20:7 24:5 28:24 29:1,10 31:3,11,17,18,20,24,25 32:2,9 34:23 35:3 36:17,20,21 37:19 38:3,15 39:10 42:4,15,17,20 43:13, 14,16 44:4,25 47:22 54:25 58:9,10,

Index: change-outs..conductors

15 72:25 80:13

compared 96:2,6 101:2

compares 100:10

compelled 60:16

compensation 21:15,21,22 40:24, 25 41:1

compense 21:22

competitive 100:12 101:1

competitors 112:8

complete 73:14 95:15

completed 105:6,9

completely 8:2 57:23 75:8 89:24 116:10

compliance 8:1 18:6,22 35:11,14, 17

compliant 38:15

complicated 22:16

complied 13:20,24

comply 22:14,17 23:8,9 24:21 36:7

component 89:12

concern 44:16 51:25 52:1 56:18 57:3,24 76:10,13 89:18

concerned 63:25 67:25 75:19 76:21

concerns 107:21 117:1,18

conclude 16:12

concluded 14:5 56:3 119:15

conclusion 8:24 15:2 53:24 56:5

conclusions 8:25 12:22 16:8 52:9

concrete 71:7 74:16 81:23 82:1,3

condition 54:7

conditioning 108:11 109:8 110:14, 25

condos 109:9,10

conduct 8:7 9:21 10:1,5,9 11:9,10, 22,24 12:14 14:21 36:9 113:20 114:20

conductor 74:21

conductors 69:19

Index: conducts..days

111.3

courts 60:19

conducts 8:9

conduit 89:4

confirming 74:18

confused 75:21

connect 89:4

connected 32:25

connection 89:6

connections 108:19

connotation 45:8

consensus 90:2 92:23

considered 39:1.16 114:9

considers 14:10

consistently 32:11

constitutes 88:20

constructing 110:4

construction 28:20,24 29:1,10 31:11,17,18,20,24 32:9 33:3,7 35:3, 15 36:17,20,21 37:17,19 38:3 39:9 46:6 47:8,22 50:19 100:10 109:15

consult 107:2

consultant 42:23

consultation 113:18

contact 69:5

contentious 115:20

contest 69:25

continue 62:1,14 63:7 64:10,13 72:14 73:2,20 92:4,9,22 95:19 101:7 103:23 104:6 111:25 113:2

continued 14:13 39:11 63:23

continuing 63:5 70:7 97:13

continuity 82:5

continuous 74:14

continuously 44:1

contra 35:18

contract 25:6

contracting 48:3

contractor 13:11 35:18 36:24 48:23 54:20 55:1,5,8,14,15,18,21 75:20 96:13 97:17 107:11 112:4 113:5

114:11,12

contractor's 31:8,19 40:1 47:21 54:21,22

contractors 12:1 31:21 32:5 34:21, 22 42:13 44:13 45:17 51:10 53:4,7 108:12,13 109:1

contractors' 34:3,5

contracts 17:4 43:15

control 109:12

conversation 107:11

conversations 69:22

conversions 41:24

coordinator 4:20

copies 28:15 84:2

copy 64:22 117:22

corporate 45:16

corporation 33:20,24 35:20 41:21, 25 43:8,10 45:1 58:4,5 113:4

correct 15:9 16:3 17:11 20:3 43:11 45:18 53:14 56:7 57:20 59:20 61:13 72:23 77:22,25 79:11,24 82:17,21 94:5 118:18

corrected 58:20

correcting 21:19

correction 69:14 72:19

corrections 69:25 98:2

corrective 13:23

correctly 45:18 110:6,16

cost 15:9

costly 114:3

coughing 5:16

council 109:14 113:18

counsel 30:22 40:22 43:5 46:15 51:24 56:9,15 59:24 61:20 63:3

Counsel's 40:12

count 16:1

counted 15:2

County 100:13 101:3

couple 40:12 41:20 52:25 99:2

cover 111:6 115:4 116:5,7 **COVID** 68:18 **Cox** 3:9 49:18 50:3,6 54:17 59:23 60:5 61:19 77:7,16,18,23 78:6,17,25 79:8,20,25 80:5,15,22 **CR** 90:18,20 Craig 110:13 create 54:5 created 39:10 42:7 105:8 creates 115:18 critical 40:7 **crunch** 68:15 crux 38:24 42:9 Cunningham 3:8 85:6 curious 49:12 118:10 current 87:23 93:14 94:24 104:21 curve 97:20 customer 96:9 customers 96:21 104:21 106:18 cut 71:9,22 75:25 76:4,16,17 cutoff 76:23 cutting 76:2 77:1

court 20:6 30:18 38:8 72:20 112:22

D

dad 68:19

dangers 105:17

data 86:14 95:17

database 14:13,14

date 19:15,19 28:6 83:20 84:25 85:6 104:11

dated 18:13 104:3

day 28:18 50:16 68:15,21 72:24 80:17 97:25 104:18

days 73:9,10 91:23 98:7,8

de 8:13

dead 92:25 deal 5:12 77:9 117:7 dealers 111:6 **deals** 18:4 debatable 74:22 debate 74:9 94:6 December 7:18 10:13 11:11,16 decide 31:3 57:9 106:5 decided 38:11 decision 7:14,19 8:10,11 9:7 16:23 27:4,10 28:10 29:16,21 30:15 41:5 59:17 60:7,9,20 61:20 64:5 66:3,8 82:19 83:7,22 117:12 decisions 59:5 60:8 82:20 declaration 14:1 declined 64:9 decrease 96:8,11,14,17,19 deeper 19:23 default 80:18 **defer** 34:9 defines 38:10 definition 33:15,18 34:10,13,15,16 36:12 38:10 92:21 definitions 31:1 degrees 75:11 demand 109:12 demands 112:14 demonstrate 82:5 denial 9:10,14 12:18 denied 18:15,18,19 20:16 deny 19:13,14 61:24 denying 17:10 Departement 28:6 department 7:1,17,23,24 8:3,5 9:18,25 10:1 11:17,21,25 12:3,4,6,8 15:7 16:17,21 17:8,14,15,25 18:3,7, 20,24 19:1,8,9,11,18,20 20:8,9,12 21:23 22:12,15 23:18,20 24:10,12,

23 29:5,7,19 32:17,18 33:1,5,16 35:13 37:25 38:8,19,22 41:4 44:15 56:2,5,10 58:22 60:14 65:18 66:5,7, 18,20,24 86:7 88:21 89:8 90:11 93:5,11 99:9 103:3,6,8 106:17 108:4,16 113:19 117:22 118:5,6,11, 14,17,25 **Department's** 9:15 14:13 25:4 34:8,13 38:24 40:3 41:5 82:16,20 84:7 DEPARTMENTAL/LEGISLATIVE 84:12 departments 103:9 depending 72:16 100:5 depends 72:17 describing 117:23 design 94:13,20 designed 94:15 determinative 46:4 determine 8:25 19:7 52:5 determined 13:16 determining 11:1 14:11 developed 117:23 developers 86:15 **device** 116:2 **Dietrich** 105:14 difference 89:11 difficult 68:10 70:24 difficulties 86:10 dig 69:4,7 digging 19:23 digit 32:19 diligence 10:2,17 11:8,15 dinged 24:10 **direct** 104:19 direction 46:24 107:4 directions 4:21 directly 108:6 disabled 4:25

disadvantage 67:20 disagree 44:8,9 75:15 disagreed 14:23 disagreeing 70:11 disapprove 94:19 disbursing 106:3 disconnection 98:3 discovered 9:25 discovers 9:25 discovery 9:22,23 10:2,3,12,17,25 11:2,6,25 12:6,11,12 20:5,7,9 discretion 8:23 60:14 61:11 discuss 61:20 62:2 112:5.8 discussed 99:10 discussing 59:25 72:1 discussion 6:9 26:13 27:2 59:8,22, 24 61:18 62:5,20 83:1,14 99:6 106:18 119:10 discussions 25:10 26:18 99:18 108:24 110:12,15 dismiss 12:19 16:14 dismissed 9:16 73:1 **dissolve** 49:22 dissolved 49:7,16 distinction 15:5 distress 5:14 distributed 106:4 distributors 108:13 ditch 88:24 docket 7:7 65:21 93:8 document 29:11 84:23 107:12 documentation 14:15 documents 15:9 94:21 104:12 dollar 32:12 dollars 50:12,14,15 Dominic 3:9 49:5 118:20 **Don** 3:8 48:6 56:13



door 4:15	efficient 109:22
double 40:14 81:21	efforts 90:3
double-check 51:20	EIMER00485 6
doubled 111:23	EIMER00486 6
doubt 57:1	EIMER00487 6
drawing 86:14	EJONV05509 2
driver's 47:24	EJONV05510 2
drop 80:14 89:14	EJONV05511
duct 114:24	EJONV05512 2
ductless 108:20 109:4,21,24 111:18	EJONV05513 2
due 7:9 10:2,17 11:8,14,21 14:19	EJONV05514 2
29:12 65:23 104:7 105:2	electric 26:3 69
duties 13:12 21:4	electrical 3:1.2

Dylan 3:8

Е

e-mail 22:2,3 63:11 91:7 earlier 11:7 56:20 79:7 earliest 11:9 earth 67:7 Eastern 5:7 ECHBO01063 7:15 ECHBO01064 7:16 ECHBO01065 7:16 ECHBO01066 7:16 ECHBO01067 7:16 echo 58:21 ecology 20:8,10 economy 97:19 ECORE 31:14 97:21,23 ECORE's 35:5 **Edlen** 26:3 **Edmonds** 113:24 educate 35:7 education 35:6 70:7 94:2 118:15 efficiency 109:20

efficient 109:22
efforts 90:3
EIMER00485 66:4
EIMER00486 66:5
EIMER00487 66:5
EJONV05509 29:17
EJONV05510 29:17
EJONV05511 29:17
EJONV05512 29:18
EJONV05513 29:18
EJONV05514 29:18
electric 26:3 69:18

electrical 3:1,23 5:23 7:20,21,23 8:1,2,12 9:2,14 12:18,21 13:19,20 16:11 24:7 30:7 31:8,19,21 32:5 33:3,21 34:3,5 35:18 36:16,24 37:20 39:14,24,25 40:1,2 42:14 43:6 48:3, 22 51:10 54:21,22 55:1,5,6,7,9,14, 15,21 58:13 59:18 60:21 63:12 66:8 82:8 85:1 87:2 89:12 93:22 95:21 96:22 104:1,9,14 106:12 113:3,5,11 114:12,15 115:8,9,10,12,24 116:2, 14,15 117:1,18

electrician 16:24 17:20 75:22 102:12 111:1

electricians 100:10 110:18

electricity 114:21

electrification 112:15

electrocuted 74:11

electrode 67:374:16.21.23.2578:2. 3,11,12,16 81:23,25 82:2,4

electrodes 81:22

electronic 91:20

elements 94:16

elevator 4:19 87:4

Ellensburg 113:24

emergency 4:14,16 5:20

emission 109:20

Emmel 70:10,12

emphasize 108:21 110:11

employee 12:24 13:1,6,7,15 14:4,6,

12,16,22 15:2,3,6,10,12,21 16:10, 13,25 17:8 19:3 20:1,19,23 21:2,9, 13 22:6,11,20 23:3,6,15,20 24:1,7,8, 16 25:7,14,15,20,23 26:2,17 38:1 employees 13:10 31:10 33:12 34:4 35:21 36:8,23 37:4 39:12 42:20 44:1,3 46:5 116:12 employer 14:15 employment 14:12 22:25 31:10 46:5 51:19 57:7 encased 82:4 encountered 94:6 encourage 37:22 103:8 encouraging 99:8 end 50:15 72:24 86:10,21 107:20 end-of-the-fiscal-year 95:11 ending 39:12,13 87:4 ends 87:11 energy 109:13,20 113:17,22 enforced 72:18 Engrossed 105:19 enlightening 46:1 ensure 45:17 84:2 104:10 113:21 ensured 13:19.22 50:17 ensures 92:19 entered 22:21 enters 69:17 entertain 62:1 82:9 entire 8:15 41:22 57:20 entities 31:6 32:17 36:15,22 39:4, 15,17,20,21,24 41:1 56:20 86:22 entity 8:3 22:14 31:2,5 32:13,14 33:16,17,18,20,24 34:7 35:19,24 36:12,18 37:1,20 38:8,10 39:1,10, 12,13,14 42:13 44:5,10,15 45:14,16 48:9 51:13 53:25 54:1,14 56:19 57:10 115:23

entry 18:11,12

enumerates 93:23



EPRO 65:9,14,21 67:1 equals 37:9 Equifax 53:3 equipment 54:20 equitable 52:4 60:20 Erick 3:7 6:7 erroneous 16:9 erroneously 32:3 error 11:21 45:19 53:19 54:5 55:3 56:8 errors 88:1 **ESD** 17:6 19:5 21:5 22:10 32:1,6 33:11 34:23 35:23 37:18 42:23 46:4 48:2 49:18,19 53:2,6 55:18 essentially 87:4 115:11 116:11,13 establish 9:6 30:15 80:10 established 48:8 58:6.9 evacuation 4:14 eventually 11:20 43:1 106:4 everything's 57:16 evidence 8:17,18 11:12 13:2 14:3,5 16:9,11,22 17:2 20:25 21:5,12 52:6, 8 exam 87:7 examinations 86:19 excellent 71:23 101:8 exception 78:5 excessive 52:12 58:22 59:14,19 60:1 exclusively 114:7 excuse 42:2 102:7 104:20 **exempt** 116:9 exercise 10:2 exhausted 68:14 **Exhibit** 18:10 exhibits 40:4

exist 12:5 existed 42:17 68:2 existence 37:7 existing 45:7 58:5 69:7 77:12 78:7 exit 4:17 83:14 **expect** 19:8 expected 19:9 28:7 83:20 expecting 95:18 111:20 expedited 85:18 expediting 86:15 expenditures 95:19,24,25 experience 10:15,19 14:2 15:19 experienced 118:20 **experts** 66:22 explained 15:4 20:8 89:10 explanation 25:9 exposed 5:8 expressed 58:16 expunded 42:9 47:13,14 extend 12:6 extended 8:23 extent 51:2 extra 75:17

F facility 112:7 facing 46:24 fact 12:23 14:8,24 16:8 23:17,24 35:12 36:5 53:22 54:23 59:13 69:21 74:12.20 86:13 factor 14:10 facts 13:14,25 14:20 15:11 37:4 52:7 66:25 failing 97:18 fails 62:11 fair 100:17 fairest 100:16 fairly 106:4 familiar 4:13

familiarization 105:4 familiarizing 105:4 fancy 77:2 fashion 107:13 faster 81:8,9 favor 6:10 27:3,4 62:6,21 68:4 72:25 83:2 119:11 fear 99:5 February 18:15 Federal 68:2 fee 49:10 feel 45:8 52:11 54:13 67:20 98:24 feels 59:19 feet 68:24 69:17 felt 47:24 68:4 field 97:20,22 106:20 111:7 fight 73:20 fighting 73:19 figure 109:19 110:21 file 17:3 28:7 83:20 86:24 98:17 107:12 filed 15:24 18:18 20:14 files 19:6 filing 53:12,14 filings 40:6 fill 92:22 105:7,10 final 60:3 64:5 90:21,22,23 financial 15:8 find 4:19 17:18 19:24 20:12 32:16 34:17 38:14 40:10 51:16 60:17 75:14,17 80:12 103:14 112:1 finding 9:1 16:4,23 38:14 47:12 52:12 findings 8:25 12:22 14:7,24 16:8 36:14 52:6,7,9 fine 21:7 23:16 72:21 fines 64:1

fire 68:3



firm 16:25 17:3 19:4,25 20:18,20 23:3,6,10,11 25:7 32:7 33:13,19 53:12.13 fiscal 96:1,6 97:3,4 fit 112:9 fix 24:19 **fixed** 46:2 fixing 68:7 flag 10:20 49:14,17 flagged 10:24 11:5,13 43:1 55:13 flashes 114:18 flexibility 109:23 flexible 89:3 **floor** 66:18 67:17 82:19 flow 43:15 focus 97:21,23 98:11,22 focused 97:16,17 follow 91:4 foregoing 16:7 forever 73:19 forgot 67:13 69:22 form 21:19 28:13 42:17 81:25 107:13 format 89:24 formation 39:6 forms 21:11,14,16,17,19,23 22:7 Fort 3:3 forward 10:21 28:14 84:1 88:6 90:25 92:23 103:3 108:17 112:14 118:18 found 14:25 15:13,23 31:16,17 47:12 109:18 foundation 82:2 114:5,7,10 fourth 96:1.5 frame 12:7 104:15 framers 116:16 friendly 59:9 85:15 front 48:16 111:9

fulfilling 21:4

full 22:20 61:14,15 75:9

full-time 12:23 13:1,6,7,8,15 14:3,6, 11 15:3,11 16:10,13,24 17:7 19:2,25 20:19,22 21:8,13 23:15,19,25 24:6, 8,16 25:15 26:2,16

functional 86:17

functionally 77:22

fund 95:21 99:5

furnish 33:20

future 89:20 92:13 94:25 101:16

G

G-A-B-R-I-E-L 67:16

Gabriel 65:9,14,15,16,21 66:16 67:15,19 71:15,17,19,21 72:15 73:25

gage 90:1

gaps 32:11

gave 92:12

GCC 33:7,12,23 34:2,19,25 40:23 41:20,23 42:4,5,11,12,15,18,19 44:17 52:20 54:21 57:1

GCC's 39:18

GCC260 39:5

GCC263 39:5

general 3:11 16:20 28:20,23 29:1,10 31:10,17,18,20,24 33:7 35:3,15 36:17,20,21 37:17,19 38:3,22 39:9 46:6 47:8,22 50:18 104:10 113:5 114:11

generally 9:20 47:13 86:12 90:25

generated 87:7

Gensco 111:3

Genseco 110:10,24 111:16

gentleman 72:7

gentleman's 118:10

gentlemen 30:25 80:24

get all 47:5 95:20

GFI 68:7

giant 110:22

give 5:19 57:11 92:11 98:14 107:1

glaring 88:1

goal 97:3,8 98:6

God 26:14

good 3:20 7:2 16:18 28:17 29:6 30:20,25 38:20 51:22 56:9 58:9 60:10 65:4 69:11 70:3 80:16 84:21 85:12 92:6,10 101:18 110:15 115:18,21

Google 6:1

governing 39:7

government 22:14 40:6 113:20

Governor 105:23

governors 51:12

granted 100:22

Gray 3:9 41:18,19 43:3,17,22 58:1,2 59:3,9,12,20 60:24 61:6,9 62:18 72:5,12 74:3,4 77:19,20,21,24 78:8, 23 79:11,24 82:1,11,13,17,21 88:13 90:8,14 91:10 92:1,3,6,10,16 93:1, 15 94:3,9 117:20 118:2

Gray's 92:18

great 15:8 83:15 101:20

greater 82:4 113:21

Grimes 6:22 9:9 28:19

gross 111:16

ground 67:5 68:12,25 69:1,6,7,9,17, 18 70:9,11,13,16,18,23 71:4,5,9,22 73:10 74:6,21,24 75:1,8,11,13,21, 24,25 76:2,5,16,17 77:1,12,13 78:2, 4,5,11,13,14,16,19,20,21 79:3,4 80:19 81:5,10,24

grounded 68:13,24

grounding 67:1,3 70:6 74:25 76:10 77:9 78:16 80:10,11 81:22,25 114:8

grounds 57:9

groups 94:1

grow 97:13 112:1

grown 111:19



growth 111:17,20,22,25 quess 6:1 33:15 37:9 42:24 47:15 67.19 Guise 51:14 guys 93:8,9 106:5 н half 73:11 hand 40:19 48:18 63:18 85:25 handled 35:5 88:23 handler 92:21 handles 118:11 handling 89:12,15 106:17 117:23 handout 89:13 hanging 50:2 Hannah 30:21 happen 75:12 112:10 115:16 happened 22:12 23:17,21 34:22 38:13 43:6 44:24 50:12 100:3 happening 81:15 101:6,21 happy 41:6 46:23 Harada 29:2,25 30:4,9,12,19,25 40:16 44:9,14 45:7 47:19 63:8,21 64:17,23 hard 23:8 73:17 85:16 102:17 Harris 70:21 73:5 hazards 68:3 head 86:20 91:11 headings 85:13 heads 75:25 health 94:1 hear 7:24 9:8 26:19 29:22 30:16 38:23 46:19 54:15 66:9 75:3 88:17 101:20 118:16 heard 59:25 76:25 81:15 93:7 117:4 hearing 6:10 7:8 8:6,7,9,19,24 13:13 27:3 29:11 48:17 62:16,21 63:15 64:10 65:22 83:2,5 106:8 107:22 119:11

heat 109:15 heating 6:17,19,21,23 7:7 9:10,13 10:5,7 11:19,21 12:17,21,25 13:4,16 14:17 15:13.14.17 16:1.3.11 17:5.13 18:13,16,23 20:19 22:2,16,21 23:1, 9,19,20 24:5 26:2,6 110:13,24 Heating's 10:14 13:14 14:25 heavy 66:21 held 3:2,14 7:8 10:12 29:12 39:14 42:13 65:22 hey 24:13 38:3 47:16 56:11 hide 57:7 higher 44:25 106:6 highlight 24:3 highly 101:1 hip 103:13 hiring 105:6,10 **history** 103:6 hold 26:12,13 58:25 61:20 78:8 holding 40:7 holds 92:13 hole 55:17 home 5:10 68:2 Homeowner 96:16 homes 109:12 honest 45:24 honestly 76:14 77:1 81:7 hope 16:1 106:2 hoping 101:6 horse 55:2 hose 98:24 hospital 68:19 hour 104:18 hours 15:21 17:22 26:3,4,5,6,7 32:1, 4 33:10,12 34:24 37:18 38:4 42:22 45:18 48:2 49:14,25 50:7,11,18,20 51:4 53:6,23 54:3 56:2 57:7 97:2,6,

Hearings 7:13 8:7 60:21 66:2

heart 5:3

Index: growth..incorrect

7,9,10 104:9 house 68:4,7,16 70:18 housekeeping 85:11 houses 109:5 hovering 101:8 huge 110:22 HVAC 110:9 111:2,10,15 112:4 HVACR 108:13

L

Idaho 111:1.2 idea 114:1 identified 15:1 38:4 40:5 identifier 87:7.9 identifies 38:9 identities 36:4 37:9,10 ignore 38:6 immediately 35:4 implying 74:10,12 important 33:18 108:22 Importantly 10:1 impossible 60:11 improper 67:1 improperly 40:4 57:2 impunity 12:2 in-house 30:22 inactive 49:16 50:8 **inbound** 104:18,19 incentive 24:21 incentivized 114:2 inclined 63:25 include 104:18 includes 57:20 98:12 incoming 104:14 inconsistencies 118:11 incorrect 9:7 18:1 30:16 56:6



Meeting - July 27, 2023		Index: increaseJohr
increase 96:3,23 104:6 105:2	inspectors 99:8 100:3,7 102:2 113:11,12 114:25 115:12,19	Isaacson 3:7 ISSACSON 46:9,18,23 51:2
	install 109:3 115:2	
independent 39:21 independently 8:4	installation 13:20 33:21 43:7 67:2 78:13 88:19,20 111:6,11,15 112:2	issue 9:18 17:8 20:18 43:15 50:20 52:17 55:11 68:13 80:1 92:21 117:11,12
indiscernible 99:17	114:5 115:10	issued 7:13,17 13:24 17:9 20:2
individual's 34:14	installations 9:2 80:8	22:9,13 26:21 29:18 33:5 66:1,5
indoor 108:19 111:10	installed 68:8 73:7,9 74:7 76:7	issues 7:24 8:5,9 10:16 52:18 68:6
industrial 32:7 35:21 81:16	88:22 89:7	111:6 118:19
Industries 7:17 22:15 29:19 38:1	installer 67:21 79:22 80:12 111:2	itch 5:16
66:6 86:7	installers 108:13	item 6:18 28:23 65:13 84:6 88:15 104:3
Industries' 33:2	installing 54:19 89:11 110:4	items 104:3,5 107:23,24
industry 90:5 110:22	installs 109:2	Ivan 3:7
infer 16:4	instance 37:16 44:16 53:3	Ivan 5.7
inference 16:6	instances 60:15	J
information 22:1 32:1 38:7 40:10	instantly 46:1	
50:24 53:11 71:12 72:8	institutional 94:1	Jack 3:7 51:8 53:19 56:16
informed 38:2	instructed 106:20	James 3:8 37:25
initial 7:12 9:12 12:20,24 16:12,23 17:10 20:2 24:24 52:5 59:16 66:1	insulators 117:7	January 10:8,10 11:22
initially 14:19 15:1	insurance 32:8 35:22	Jason 3:6
innocent 34:25	intend 113:6	Jen 105:13
input 106:7	intent 16:5 46:2	Jenkins 3:6,20 4:11 5:22,25 6:5,8, 14,24 7:4 9:5 16:16 25:1,17,24
inside 71:5 75:13	intention 92:20	26:12,16,22,25 27:6,8,13,18,21,24
Inslee 105:23	intentional 58:24	28:22 29:3,8 30:1,7,11,13,23 38:18 41:8,12,15 43:2,23 44:11,20,22 46:7
inspect 70:16 91:6 115:14	intentionally 45:9	48:5 49:2,4 50:24 51:4 52:23 53:16
inspecting 115:7,8,12 116:8	intents 42:11	54:2 55:24 56:13 57:13,25 59:2,4,7, 11,16,22 61:4,7,17,22 62:8,11,16,
inspection 21:8,12 23:25 55:10	interact 51:22	19,24 64:4,16,24 65:3,10,17 66:12,
72:3 73:15 82:3 96:18 111:13	interest 29:13	15,17 67:11,17 71:12,25 72:8,14 73:23 74:1 75:5 77:15,17,20 79:6,9,
112:12 114:13,14 115:4,6,25 116:5, 8,9,13,15,17	interested 65:23	15,18 80:7,16,25 81:12 82:6,18,24
inspections 57:18 97:2,6,10,11,14	interesting 4:22	83:4,10,13 84:11,13 87:19,22 88:4, 7,11 90:7,9 91:5,25 92:2,4,8,14,18
110:5 116:13	interrupt 99:13	95:1,4,10 99:12,15,23,25 103:22
inspector 10:14,17,22 11:1,5,10,13	introduce 109:7,8	105:25 107:5,14,17,25 112:16,20 113:1 116:22,25 117:14,17,25
14:7,9 18:2 19:23 21:25 31:13 32:15 33:14,25 35:2,8,25 36:4 38:2,3,6	introduced 5:5	118:4,23 119:6,9,13
69:1,12,15 70:12 71:3,8,18,19,21 72:16 73:8 74:10 76:4 79:22 80:2	investigation 21:24 22:1,5,13 31:13	Jennifer 105:11
94:13 97:25 100:5 106:12 115:8	involved 110:4 113:25	job 25:22 52:5 67:24 68:1,15 70:12, 22 71:1,3,23,25 73:13 117:23
116:6	INVOLVEMENT 106:12	jobs 45:24
inspector's 34:15	IRS 17:5 21:6 22:7	John 113:7 117:3,16



Johnson 13:13,25 15:4,24 26:8,14	L	
journeyman 88:25 111:1,2		
judge 8:15 20:3,4 66:24 72:24 82:15	L&i 31:13 32:7 38:4 57:7 71:3 73:6 113:11	
judge's 73:21	labels 13:22	
judgment 9:11,13 12:19 17:11,12 20:15 60:3	Labor 7:17 22:15 29:19 33:2 37:25	
July 3:2,21 7:10 29:14 65:24 84:20	66:6 86:4,7	
85:19,20 104:2,8,15 105:1	labs 105:19	
jump 6:1 85:17	lack 17:6 76:12	
jumps 32:6	lady 31:1	
June 11:20 95:22 96:9 104:4,5	laid 88:24	
117:6	language 89:10 110:12	
jurisdiction 118:22	lapse 31:23 45:7,8,9 47:23,24	
jurisdictions 118:12	lapsed 33:8,9 34:1 36:25	
ĸ	lapses 48:14,23	
	large 53:11	
keeping 40:7	larger 100:22	
Kerry 3:9	Larry 84:8,11 85:25 86:6 89:21 95:	
key 96:25 102:18,21	Larsen 108:1 110:23 112:18	
keys 4:18	late 89:17	
Kiewit 30:22 31:18,25 32:3 33:7 34:20 35:3 39:9,20 40:24 41:23 42:5,8,11 43:13,16 44:17 45:15 47:15 49:12 50:15,19 53:20 57:1	Lathrop 3:10 4:3,6,10 57:14 84:10 14,17 85:8 92:17 93:2 94:11 95:6,9 99:20 100:1,11,15,19 101:23 102:3 13,16 103:11,18,21,24 106:13	
Kiewit-owned 42:19 46:6 47:7	107:15	
Kiewit/general 32:9	law 8:15 12:22 14:20 16:8 20:3	
kind 16:4 49:24 50:2 52:17 75:19 78:16 79:22 81:21 84:23 85:23 90:11 92:20,22,23 94:14 108:15	30:20 34:12 35:15 36:2 39:17 47:2 52:9 56:4 57:6,15 60:17 66:25 104:8,25 105:21 113:15,16	
110:10	laws 13:21 55:22	
King 100:13 101:2	lawyer 67:21	
Kirkland 113:24	lay 88:22 89:3	
knew 15:7 47:7 76:6,7,8,15 99:21	layout 106:25	
Knottingham 3:7 25:12,18 26:20	leads 106:24	
51:8,9 52:14 53:19 56:18 58:4 59:6, 21 62:13 81:3 93:19,20 94:5	learn 103:5	
knowing 76:9	learned 34:12	
knowledge 35:1 76:12	leave 28:1	
	Lee 3:7 6:7	
	legal 7:21 15:5 39:10 43:10 44:24	

L 3 32:7 38:4 57:7 71:3 73:6 3:22 17 22:15 29:19 33:2 37:25 4,7 19 76:12 4 **e** 89:10 110:12 :23 45:7,8,9 47:23,24 3:8,9 34:1 36:25 8:14,23 11 0:22 :8.11 85:25 86:6 89:21 95:2 08:1 110:23 112:18 7 3:10 4:3,6,10 57:14 84:10, :8 92:17 93:2 94:11 95:6,9 0:1,11,15,19 101:23 102:3, 3:11,18,21,24 106:13 12:22 14:20 16:8 20:3 :12 35:15 36:2 39:17 47:25 4 57:6,15 60:17 66:25 105:21 113:15,16 21 55:22 7:21 89:3 06:25 6:24 3:5 34:12 :1 3:7

Index: Johnson..LLC

legally 39:4 40:10,16,20 44:4 57:23 legislative 84:7 88:14 100:20 Legislature 7:22 100:21 105:23 length 69:8,10 75:9 Leonard 12:23 22:11 letter 34:12 letting 70:3 level 54:9 90:19,20 100:5 liability 40:14 43:10,15 44:16 **liable** 39:4 40:16,21 43:14 44:4 license 8:1 31:8,19,21 32:6,23,24 33:3 34:3,5,21,22 35:19 36:24,25 39:14 40:1 42:14 45:9 47:21,24,25 48:23 54:21,22,24 55:15 76:2 77:3 96:22 license/citations/amusement 104:1 licensed 45:13 54:25 114:11 licenses 13:23 45:1,3,18 47:20 licensing 10:20 11:3 18:4 47:6,9 51:21 56:22 87:1 97:17 98:17 104:13,25 105:9,16 111:13 115:25 lies 42:9 57:3 60:7 lift 87:11,16 light 31:12 35:1 limit 9:17 limitations 9:17,19,20,24 10:8,11 11:18 12:5,7,9,13,16 17:10 limited 71:5 116:1 limits 50:23 lines 48:7 104:19 lingered 107:8 list 4:22 6:18 28:23 39:19 65:13 112:20 listed 14:12,14 37:7 Listen 4:20 listening 49:5 listing 116:6 LLC 6:19 7:7

lobbyist 108:11

local 113:19

logged 49:15

Logue 108:7,8,10

long 11:13 17:17 37:6 115:25

longer 47:25

looked 69:20 102:18

Lorin 3:10 4:2,6,10 57:14 84:10,14, 17 85:8 89:22 92:17 93:2 94:11 95:6,9 99:19,20 100:1,11,15,19 101:23 102:3,13,16 103:11,18,21,24 106:13 107:15

lose 76:1,19

lost 87:17 89:23

lot 3:25 68:21 84:21 85:3,11,12 101:17 102:23 111:6 112:6,7

luminaires 89:14

lying 70:18

Μ

M-C-C-O-Y 67:16

made 14:24 23:19,22 24:13 25:5 27:10 36:12,13 56:5 57:3 60:8 68:20 71:3 82:1 83:7 96:21 104:20 108:4 114:2

main 14:10

maintaining 54:19

majority 104:4

make 5:18 23:15 26:20 30:5 36:3 44:4 46:11,21 47:1 52:11,12 59:9 60:22 62:13 63:12 64:5,8,12 75:3 80:1 85:2,14 87:17 89:5 110:1,2,6, 19 112:2,5,10,13 113:6,15

makes 34:11 56:9

making 23:9 59:25 76:8 85:3 91:16

malfeasance 34:17

man 26:10

management 103:2

manager 111:5

manages 118:17

mandated 109:14

manipulating 57:6

manner 47:2

manufacturers 75:15 108:14

marina 117:7

mark 116:7

master 16:24

material 89:12,15 92:21 117:23

materials 115:3 116:8

matter 7:6,7 28:3 29:9,10 31:12 63:23 65:19,20,21

Mccoy 65:9,14,15,16,21 66:16 67:15,16,19 71:15,17,19,21 72:15 73:25

means 13:2 31:15 109:22

meant 16:4 68:14

measurement 79:23

measures 96:25

mechanical 114:7

meet 75:2 81:7 85:14 109:18 112:13

meeting 3:2,23 5:23 6:2 28:5,13 62:2,14 63:5,20,24 64:14 65:12 83:18,25 84:19 86:16 89:9,17 90:2, 12,13,15 91:3,8,24 95:16 99:16 103:17 106:15,19 107:11 117:6 118:1,17 119:4,15

meetings 106:11,12,18,21,23

Melissa 39:18

member 6:4 8:22 16:25 17:2 19:3, 24 20:18,20 23:2,5,9,11,12,13 25:12,18 26:20,24 41:18,19 43:3,17, 22 44:21,23 45:15 46:9,18,23 48:6, 13,21,25 49:3,5,18 50:3,5,6,7,10 51:2,8,9 52:14,24 53:15 54:17 55:12 56:1,13,16,18 58:1,2,3 59:3,6,9,12, 20,21,23 60:5,24 61:6,9,19 62:7,13, 18 64:3 72:5,10,12 74:3,4 77:7,16, 18,21,23,24 78:6,8,17,23,25 79:8, 11,13,16,20,24,25 80:4,5,15,22 81:3,19 82:11,13,17,21,23 85:6 88:13 90:8,14 91:10 92:1,3,6,10,16 93:1,4,15,19 94:3,5,9,22 99:2,14,21, 24 100:9,14,17 101:20,25 102:11,14 103:7,16,20 107:7 117:20 118:2,9,

Index: lobbyist..monies

21

members 3:6 6:13 16:18 27:5 38:20 39:7 40:8 54:16 62:10,23 64:4 75:6 83:3 84:18,20 86:5 91:3,22 119:12

mention 113:8 118:24

mentioned 40:13,22 41:20 54:8

merger 46:25

merits 8:21

mesh 87:16

mess 83:7

message 4:7,9

metal 67:4,6,7,8,9 68:23,24,25 69:2, 4,16 70:2,8 74:12 78:9 114:20,22,24

meter 70:14

middle 92:7

Mike 3:7 70:10,12,16

mind 8:13 69:23

mini-split 89:2 109:21,25

mini-splits 108:20 109:4

minutes 6:11 8:21 99:16

misapplied 66:25

misclassified 14:19

mislabeled 15:10

mistake 15:8 16:2 24:13 34:25 35:22 52:21,22

mistaken 49:1

mistakes 57:3

misunderstanding 14:20

misunderstood 66:25

Mitchell 30:19

modifications 60:22 112:6,9

modified 21:6

modify 87:1

module 115:23

moments 41:10

monetary 98:12,14

monies 50:17 51:5

month 86:9 95:20 96:9 104:17 106:15

monthly 95:23,25 96:5

months 19:22 99:24

morning 3:20 4:7 5:7 6:21 7:2 16:18 27:17 29:6 30:20,25 38:20 55:2

motion 6:3,4,5,8,15 9:11,12 17:11, 12 20:15 26:19,21,22,25 27:8 59:2, 4,10,16 61:25 62:1,4,5,11,14,16,25 64:6,9,10 75:3 82:10,14,15,18,24 83:5 119:3,6,14

move 62:4 63:3 82:11 90:18

moved 45:12 59:3 111:2

movement 105:8

moving 28:22 73:16 84:6 90:25 102:23 103:3

multiple 25:8 41:2 51:10,15 56:19, 21 57:11 81:24

municipalities 101:10

Ν

names 39:16

nearest 4:17

NEC 67:5 84:19 85:14

necessarily 18:20

needed 18:20 21:9 47:8,9 49:24 70:11

nefarious 16:5 35:25

negotiate 62:15

negotiated 60:2 100:3

negotiations 60:8

neighborhood 111:21

newer 39:13 news 91:20

newsletter 91:20

night 68:11

nobody's 35:10

non-ductless 109:6 non-employee 21:15 non-kiewit-owned 42:18

Nord 3:7 26:24 44:21,23 45:15 50:5, 7,10 62:7

norm 63:3

normal 5:17 91:4 111:10,14

notary 19:15,19

note 59:18

notice 7:9 10:7 17:25 29:12 49:9 65:23 66:4

noticed 12:15 17:14 19:18

notices 7:15 13:23 29:17

notification 53:5

notified 15:25 16:2 42:6

notify 41:25

November 18:11 19:14,20

novo 8:14

number 7:8 29:11 31:4,23 32:6,7,8, 10,18,19,21,22,23 33:4,6,8,13 36:15,19,21,22 37:18 39:3,11,19 40:9 41:23 42:8 43:16 44:18 45:25 48:7,24 49:11,13,15,25 50:2,8,11 53:5,14 54:4 56:3,7 58:8 61:1 84:15 86:20 87:10,15 95:14 97:11,16 98:2, 9 102:4,17 104:7 105:2 115:8

numbers 34:1,17 35:12 37:2,9,10 38:11,25 39:3,5 40:15,17,18,20 41:2 43:7 44:17 46:12 47:1 50:16 54:13 58:17 65:22 66:4 86:22,23 98:12 102:14

0

object 50:21 71:10 obscene 72:21 Obsolete 50:9,10 obtain 31:7 obtained 31:19,21 116:3 obtaining 39:10 occupance 93:17 occupancies 93:17 94:8 occupancy 94:1 occur 113:23

occurred 10:6,9 11:9 12:14 43:9

occurs 9:21

October 63:15 66:2,6 95:16

odd 69:6 99:19

offering 54:18

office 7:13 8:6 37:6,7 60:20 63:9,11 64:21 66:2 84:1

official 91:23 111:5

oftentimes 115:3

ohms 67:7 78:4,14 79:7,10,23 80:3, 13 81:4,7

older 109:5

oldest 104:3

Olympia 117:6

on-site 94:16,21

one-and-a-half 11:11

one-line 94:14

online 91:15 96:11,13,16,18,23

open 101:25 102:2 117:7

opened 49:12

operated 98:7

operating 45:14 57:22 95:24,25

opinion 33:15 38:7,8 52:15,22 77:4

opportunity 105:11

opposed 6:14 27:6 62:9,24 83:4 119:13

opposite 36:6

order 3:23 7:12 8:10 9:12 10:2 12:20,25 16:12 17:10 20:2 24:24 27:15,25 28:2,5,9,13 52:5 63:5,19 65:12 66:1 68:16 82:14 83:11,19,22 86:16 109:18 117:3,5,8,9,11

orders 28:8 30:15 83:21 117:13

original 11:19 21:19 39:12 69:25 105:22

originally 21:20

outbound 104:20

outdoor 108:19 110:5 111:9 112:3



- outreach 35:6 52:16
- overhead 70:15

overseeing 105:14

oversight 113:13

overturn 57:4 82:11,15

Owada 30:20

owned 42:11

owner 13:14 67:21 68:3 107:11 114:11

owners 56:21

ownership 42:1

Ρ

p.m. 119:15

Pacific 68:2

package 75:10 90:17,18

packet 17:17 30:8 36:16 39:8,22 108:16

Padilla 7:2,3 9:4 16:18,19 27:12,13, 16,19,23 28:17

pages 39:8 98:9

paid 15:21,22 21:3,20 22:9 23:3,6 37:5 42:20 50:15,18 100:8

pains 15:8

panel 68:1,5,7,10 69:18 70:16,19 73:14 77:9 80:9,11 115:23

panels 71:2,3 116:7

paperwork 23:22 24:20

parent 43:16

part 14:6 18:4 25:7 30:6 31:13 40:14 45:12,19 51:1 58:14 61:17 78:8 102:24 110:22 111:10,14 114:8,9, 21,23 115:9

partial 9:11,13 12:19

parties 7:10 8:10 28:7,16 29:13 60:3 62:2,15 63:4 65:24 83:14,20 84:3

partner 27:22

partnership 33:19

party 8:20 9:6 27:14 30:14 31:25

33:10 37:17 47:1 68:17

pass 105:19

passed 10:23 85:21 100:21 105:22 113:16

passes 6:15 27:8 62:25 83:5 119:14

passive 116:14

path 114:8,21,23

pay 15:16,19 24:9 25:21 49:10 99:9 100:24 101:10,13

paying 24:15 25:22 32:11,12 101:1

payroll 13:8 17:6 22:1,4 42:23 56:25

penalties 8:5 58:21 59:19 60:1,16 64:1

penalty 59:14 98:13,14

Penguin 3:4

people 5:19 38:12 45:23 57:5 73:15 88:18 90:2 103:3 108:1 114:16 118:13

percent 60:9 96:4,8,10,12,14,17,19, 22,23 97:3,5,8,9 98:16,18,19,20,21, 22 100:4 102:7,8,10 109:2,3 111:17, 19,20,21

percentage 97:1,6 102:7

perform 54:18

performance 96:25 97:9

performed 13:11 35:18 36:23 39:24 67:1 97:2,7

performing 35:20

period 75:24 91:17 96:3,7

permit 25:20 33:21 40:2 97:18 114:12,14 115:6 116:2,17

permits 13:22 42:21 54:23 55:7,10, 16,19 96:10,13 98:20

permitting 57:18 112:11

person 14:14,16 33:4,19 37:14 40:17 106:6

person's 36:11

personal 37:14

perspective 61:16

pertaining 9:2

Index: outreach..positive

ph 39:18 69:14

phone 31:4 32:10 37:10,13,14,15 38:11 40:15,18 58:8 104:14

phoney 116:13

photovoltaic 93:8,12,21 114:9

piece 8:16

piecework 25:23

pipe 67:3,4,6,10 68:13,23 69:2,5,16 70:2,9 74:8,13,21,23 75:1,24 77:12 78:6,10,19 79:1,2 81:23 115:14

place 49:17 68:1 70:1 72:23 73:4,17 75:18 85:24 88:23 89:24 105:1

placement 116:1

places 5:16

placing 115:23

plan 93:7,12,16 94:4 98:5 103:2 105:17

planar 93:22

planned 93:17

planner 94:17

plans 94:17 103:8

plate 68:21 75:12

plates 75:14

play 32:15 79:7

plumbing 87:3 115:13

PMW 117:9

point 10:17,25 11:2,6,23 19:17,18 25:8,25 42:6,18,22 46:3 49:14 56:9 57:15,16 59:24 60:25 65:6 68:20 72:15 80:1 82:14 84:14 90:1,6,23 95:16

pointed 61:11

policy 24:3

portion 93:22

portions 114:6

pose 77:18

position 8:14 105:10,12,15

positions 102:1,18,21 105:7

positive 101:5



post-hearing 37:23

posted 4:15 56:2

posting 91:15

potential 61:21

potentially 60:22 70:24

power 98:3

powers 60:20

practice 86:21 87:5

pre-board 37:23

PRE-CONSTRUCTION 106:12

precedent 38:9

preconstruction 106:11,17

preliminary 95:17

premium 32:8

premiums 38:5

prepared 27:15 83:11

preponderance 13:1 16:10,22

present 3:6,10,11 28:4 29:1 63:6,18 64:14 81:22

presented 20:25 21:5 23:22 50:25 83:17

presume 31:14 47:23

pretty 116:18

prevailing 27:14

previous 58:15 69:14 96:14,18 101:9 111:18

previously 116:3

primary 79:3

principals 39:7

prior 42:4 45:1 61:5,8 90:20 91:8,23 104:11

problem 17:14 18:4 19:7 20:12,13 21:7,22 22:12,17 23:10,16 24:20 42:10 56:11 58:16 68:12 73:13

problematic 25:16

problems 20:24 68:7 81:9 85:24

procedure 29:23 66:9

procedures 8:8 13:21

proceeding 64:18

proceedings 3:13

process 41:22 53:1 91:4 92:25 94:18 105:5,6,10 111:13 112:12

processed 96:11 104:3

processing 104:12

product 90:21,22,23

products 111:18

program 7:23 104:14 105:12,13,17 106:4

programming 87:10

projects 13:17

promising 101:15

promotion 100:23

promotional 105:11

proof 26:17 30:15

proper 7:9 20:4 29:12 42:13 43:21 47:5 57:18 65:23 98:21

properly 18:5 20:16 31:9 45:13 49:22 54:24

proposal 90:4 108:17 117:22

proposals 84:22 85:12

proposed 8:10 9:7 27:15 28:8,9 30:15 83:11,21,22 90:12,22 91:7

prove 9:6 79:9

provide 14:15 15:14,15 83:16

provided 11:1 14:1,17 15:18 28:15 84:2

proving 14:16

PSI 86:9,24 87:23

PSIS 87:11

public 40:7,8 90:24 91:16,19 93:18 107:22,24 108:3 113:7

PUD 94:24

pull 69:8

pulled 73:15

pulling 54:23 55:6,9,19

pulls 76:5

pumps 109:15

punishment 54:10

purchased 39:9 41:23 42:5 58:5,7

purpose 24:4

purposes 32:8 33:11 34:16,23 35:22,23 42:11 46:4 48:3

pursuant 7:9 8:7 29:12 65:23

push 107:20

put 17:24 22:7 54:8 61:19 63:5 71:5, 8 75:7,8,11,18 80:19 81:7,10 92:20 112:14

putting 49:20 72:2

PV 93:23

Q

quantity 52:1,19

quarter 26:4,6 96:1,6,12,15,16,18, 20,21,24

quarterly 84:15 86:1,2

question 30:4 44:4,21 46:9,14,17, 19 48:24 49:2,21 51:24 55:4 56:14 59:23 60:10 64:17 69:16 75:16 76:22 77:7,19 80:23 81:1 88:14 89:21 90:10 92:18 93:5 98:25 106:16

questions 8:22 9:3,4 24:22 25:2 26:18 30:2 41:7,12,15 43:2,23 44:12,13 46:7 51:7 52:23 64:25 65:1 66:13,15 74:1 77:5 81:17 82:7 84:4 85:5 88:12 92:3 95:1 105:25 106:9, 10,25 107:3,18,21 108:5 117:1,17 119:2

quick 48:20 113:15

quickly 4:12 5:2

quorum 4:5

quote 89:7

R

rabbit 55:17 raceway 88:22,23 racked 52:20



Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc.

radar 5:21	recommendation 107:9	relies 33:14
raise 100:3	record 4:4 8:16,18 11:12 22:4 25:13 31:16 32:12 36:1,9 50:4,22,25 51:15 52:7,11 54:9,14,18 55:4,23 59:13 63:14,19 70:6,10 71:11,13 72:6,9	rely 12:6
random 50:2		relying 20:10 34:13,14 44:12
Randy 13:13 26:8,14		remaining 16:14
Randy's 6:17,18,20,23 7:7 9:10,13	recorded 104:10	remarks 29:22
10:5,6,14 11:18,21 12:17,21,25 13:4,13,16 14:17,25 15:13,14,17	records 15:16,19 17:5,6 19:5 21:5,6	remedies 52:4
16:1,3,11 17:5,13 18:13,16,23	22:10 23:13 26:3 40:6	remedy 118:14
20:19,24,25 21:18 22:2,6,8,16,21 23:1,8,14,18,20 24:5 26:2,5	recruit 101:7	REMEMBERED 3:1
range 102:10	recruiting 102:1	reminder 108:3
ranks 102:19	red 4:17	remove 47:11
RCW 13:12,19 24:18 113:16	reduced 60:25	removed 47:18
re-adjourn 65:5	refeeding 70:14,15	rendition 92:13
reach 28:3,12 56:10 64:20 83:24,25	refer 39:3	renewable 113:17,22
reached 9:1	reference 56:14 93:20	renewals 96:22
reactions 5:4,9	referenced 8:17	repeat 46:21
read 25:9 37:22 55:4,7 75:13 77:11	referred 18:19 98:15	replaced 68:5
91:22 93:6	referring 71:20	replacing 70:14 71:2
reading 48:15,16 54:17 79:20 99:15	reflect 21:6 53:17	report 31:25 32:6 37:18 38:4 39:11
reads 81:21	reflected 23:23	53:5 84:15 86:1,2,11,12 87:23 88:1, 2,6 90:10 95:7,8,12 97:5 105:18,24
ready 43:5 90:18 91:12 110:12,14	reflects 28:9 83:22	
real 90:18 109:15 118:19	regions 103:9	reported 32:3 34:4 35:21 44:1,2 45:25 46:3 49:25 50:8,18,20 51:5
realized 47:16	register 47:4	53:8,23 54:3 105:2
realm 91:19	registered 41:21 43:11,13,18,19 47:8 114:11	reporter 30:18 67:14 112:23
reapply 82:20	registers 32:19	reporting 31:9 33:10,12 34:23 42:22,25 45:17 48:2 50:19 53:6
reason 12:5 22:7,10,19 24:3 67:25	registration 32:22 58:11	55:3,11,18
102:24	-	reports 14:18 86:8,17 87:24 106:9
reasonable 19:8	regular 13:9 regularly 28:4 83:18	represent 16:20
reasons 12:11 17:1 20:15 24:17		representative 6:20 28:25 29:4
rebar 74:15,17	regulation 35:6	representatives 35:2 104:22
rebuttal 41:11	regulations 55:22	representing 38:22
recall 48:15,16 71:25 72:1 117:5	reiterate 58:3	reputation 58:10
receive 21:18 85:23 88:25	related 51:17	request 90:11 97:2,10 111:3 118:4
received 10:7 13:9 17:16 18:8 21:15	relation 41:24	requests 24:23 96:18 109:3
28:6 83:19 84:21 88:18	relationship 15:17,20 23:1 43:8 115:19,20	require 112:6
receiving 105:3	reliance 34:8	required 13:22 15:7,15 17:1,3 35:21
recess 65:6,7	relied 10:12 32:16 67:2	47:6 48:9 70:20,21,25 75:18,21
recipe 57:20	76:16 79:10,18 86:21 93:17 10	76:16 79:10,18 86:21 93:17 109:20

requirement 75:2 94:12 requirements 33:3 48:4 72:17 85:21 109:19 115:24 requires 15:16 32:1 33:4 67:5 requiring 93:11 residential 81:14 109:16 resistance 67:7 81:6 resolution 61:21 resolve 63:18 resolved 73:3 respiratory 5:14 respond 40:12 43:24 48:19 53:9 108:5 responded 69:20 response 22:3 48:9 responsibilities 105:16 responsibility 13:10 45:16,19,22 47:4.5 53:10.13.18.20 **responsible** 44:5 46:11 47:1 104:13 result 31:12 98:3 resurrect 90:4 retention 100:23 retirement 102:12 retirements 102:20 retrofit 109:5 return 15:24 returns 14:2,17 15:1,18 revenue 32:18 33:5 96:5 reverse 9:14 12:18,22 16:12 38:14 reversed 14:25 66:4 review 8:4,11,13,15 10:22 11:2,10 89:18 91:4,8 93:7,12 94:4,13,20 98:5 105:5 117:14 118:2 reviewed 10:14,19,24 11:6,14,17 30:10 66:22 98:9 reviews 11:3 **Reviser** 90:22 91:13

rock 73:17 rod 67:7.8 69:17 70:9 73:10 74:24 75:1,9,25 76:8,18,23 77:1,13 78:2,5, 12,13,21,22 79:4,13 80:14 rods 67:9 68:12 69:7 70:11,13,16 71:4,5,9,22 72:2 74:7 75:21,24 76:2, 16 80:19 81:24 role 113:14 roll 95:19 roof 114:23 115:5 roofers 114:16 116:16 **Room** 3:4 ropes 103:5 routes 4:14 **rule** 9:23 10:2,3,12 12:1,6,11,12 20:5,7,9 78:21 85:18 108:16 ruled 64:9,12 72:24 rulemaking 94:24 rules 9:2 12:2 13:21 36:7 46:16 58:24 78:13 85:20 91:12 ruling 58:25 73:21 82:12 **rumor** 93:10 run 48:8 111:7 running 9:21,24 10:9,13 12:13 55:17 111:9 runs 112:13 S S-T-E-P-H-E-N 112:25 safe 40:7 110:16 safely 110:2 safety 4:7,9 5:2 13:21 35:10 36:8 salary 13:9 24:16

RH 23:1,4

rides 105:15

Rights 105:8

ridiculous 74:14 115:15

rights/appeals 104:2

Index: requirement..separate

sales 96:16

scale 100:25 101:14

Schartiger 30:21 41:10,14 42:2 43:12,19 44:8 45:5,21 46:20 47:3 48:12,19,22 49:20 50:9,14 53:22

schedule 13:17 85:2

scheduled 28:5 83:18

school 94:19

schools 93:18

scope 110:17

scorecard 97:1

sealed 71:7

seamless 112:2

search 31:16

seat 6:25 7:5

Seattle 113:24

secretary 3:10 4:1,3,4,10 17:3 19:4 23:13 28:14 41:21,25 42:6 57:14 58:11 63:12 64:21 84:1,10,17 85:8 86:4 92:17 93:2 94:11 95:9 99:20 100:1,11,15,19 101:23 102:3,13,16 103:11,18,21,24 106:13 107:15

Secretary's 64:21 95:7,8 106:9

section 22:25 105:8

secure 86:23

securement 116:1

Security 14:13 31:10 51:20 57:8 86:22,23 87:10,15

self-employed 23:2,5

self-reporting 20:10

sell 68:16

selling 68:3

Senate 85:22 105:20

send 27:16 49:9

sense 34:11 47:7

sentiment 58:21

separate 8:3 25:10 32:16 36:3,15, 22 37:1,2,19 39:4,5,16,21,23 41:1 44:15 51:13 56:23 58:17 71:2,24 72:3 82:3



separately 40:16,21 September 29:19 91:14 service 96:9 services 5:20 81:14 111:5 session 100:20 set 28:4 83:17 setting 117:8 settle 63:16 settlement 62:3.15 seven-and-a-half 95:23 share 5:1 sharing 86:22 **shifts** 14:15 shoot 103:13 **shop** 89:13 short 41:11 63:7 65:5 91:6 shortening 31:22 shoulder 5:17 **show** 12:25 13:4 14:18,21 15:11 21:1,12,14 25:14 40:3 69:5,7 78:4 86:13 showed 15:20 88:2 showing 15:20 17:6 21:17 22:4 25:6 116:12 **shown** 39:8 **shows** 14:3 16:9 17:2 26:3 54:3 75:10 94:14 side 4:25 5:6 17:8 53:2 57:17 81:16 103:4 111:16 sign 117:15 signal 62:21 **signature** 19:15,19 117:4,13 signed 28:15 63:13 84:2 105:23 108:1,7 significant 68:15 signify 6:11 **signs** 4:17 siloed 51:21

similar 13:9 15:16,19 39:16 70:23 Similarly 40:3 simple 69:16 72:19 simply 25:5 55:11 single 31:5 36:18 56:20 78:5 sit 8:14 26:10 110:11,14 site 115:3 sites 117:24 sits 114:19 situation 15:10 25:4 31:7 36:5,11 54:7,10 size 73:11 skip 20:21 **skirt** 36:2 slab 74:16 small 67:21 72:1 **smoothly** 112:13 **Social** 86:22,23 87:9,15 solar 113:6 114:2,19 115:10 **sold** 96:10,13 Solex 113:4 sort 52:4 63:18 89:20 113:13 115:18,20 **Sosa** 7:2,3 9:4 16:18,19 27:12,13, 16,19,23 28:17 **sought** 38:11 sounded 63:22,24 92:8 sounds 76:11 source 93:17 space 4:13 71:5 72:2 speak 30:17 67:14 89:25 90:4 92:11 112:22 SPEAKER 27:7 119:5,8 special 116:5 117:6 specialist 84:8 86:3,6 87:21,25 88:5,8,12 94:23 95:2,3 specialists 103:1 specialize 113:6

specific 64:8,12 94:8 106:25 specifically 74:6 93:23 114:6 spell 30:17 67:14 112:22 **spite** 14:4 spoke 38:1 113:7 squared 56:12 staff 104:13 105:3 staffing 104:21 stake 35:11 stakeholder 92:20 stance 54:6 stand 89:22 standard 100:2 104:24 standing 3:10 4:4,10 57:14 84:10, 17 85:8 92:17 93:2 94:11 95:9 99:20 100:1,11,15,19 101:23 102:3,13,16 103:11,18,21,24 106:13 107:15 standoff 114:18 standpoint 111:4 start 5:13,14,16 9:24 10:13 17:12 19:23 27:19 99:3 103:1,2 started 10:9 12:13 19:21 21:23,25 22:5,13 49:15 99:22 starting 85:19 **state** 5:6 13:21 17:3 19:4 23:13 32:20,21 41:21,25 42:6 43:9 47:4,9, 11 49:9 55:22 57:23 58:11 73:1 75:23 86:21 108:14 109:14 110:9 113:18.20 State's 59:24 stated 56:20 statement 39:22 92:7 100:18 states 9:23 39:6 statewide 100:8 101:11 102:6 stating 59:13 status 22:6 46:5 **statute** 9:16,17,18,20,23 10:8,11,12 11:18 12:7,9,13,16 17:10 34:9 38:9

statutes 12:5 35:12

stay 101:22	superior 60:19
stems 107:8	supervise 97:18
Stephen 112:24 113:3 116:24	supervised 13:18
Steven 112:21	supervising 16:1
stings 5:11	supervision 98:2
stop 43:5 45:6 79:19 85:4 98:25	supervisor 73:5
stops 97:25	107:10
strapping 111:12	supervisors 103
strip 71:8	supervisors' 106
structural 114:10	supervisory 12:2 14:4,6,11 15:3,12
structure 51:12,16 99:9 114:5,6,17	19:3,25 20:19,23 2
stubbed 82:4	23:15,19,25 24:7,8
stuck 73:17	supplement 67:9
study 113:20,23	supplemental 77
stuff 4:18 99:18 101:18 115:9	supplementary
116:19 Styph 69:13	supplemented 6 10,15,22 79:4
subcontractor 22:9	supplied 25:6
subject 8:11 108:8 115:24 116:14	supplying 75:24
submission 37:23	support 16:5 52:9
submit 54:19	111:4 114:5,7,10,7
submitted 8:19 18:3,13 30:6 89:16	supported 9:1 52
104:7	supports 16:22
submitting 94:17 104:9	suppose 70:5
substantial 52:6,8	supposed 26:1 4
Substitute 105:20	supposedly 70:1
succession 103:8 105:17	Supreme 20:6
sue 40:17	surprised 73:1
suffice 69:17	suspect 10:20
sufficient 70:9	suspend 76:1,19
suggested 113:8	suspension 76:1
suggestions 107:2 108:6	swept 99:6
suits 12:7 34:16	sympathize 54:6
summarize 84:23	system 47:13,15 89:7 109:25 114:9
summary 9:11,13 12:19 17:11,12 20:15	systems 87:1 93: 113:22
superclean 36:8	systems-study

vised 13:18 rvising 16:10 rvision 98:21 visor 73:5 102:19 105:12 10 visors 103:10 106:20,23 visors' 106:19 visory 12:24 13:1,6,7,10 6,11 15:3,12 16:13,25 17:7 25 20:19,23 21:9,13 22:20 5,19,25 24:7,8,16 105:16 lement 67:9 emental 77:13 78:12,20 79:3 lementary 74:23 emented 67:3 74:7,25 78:3, 5,22 79:4 lied 25:6 lying 75:24 ort 16:5 52:9 77:3 105:12 4 114:5,7,10,17 118:3 orted 9:1 52:6,8 orts 16:22 ose 70:5 osed 26:1 46:12 55:20,21 osedly 70:1 eme 20:6 ised 73:1 ect 10:20 end 76:1,19 ension 76:17 77:3 t 99:6 athize 54:6 57:21 **m** 47:13,15 80:10,11 81:25 109:25 114:9 **ms** 87:1 93:23 109:7,21 22 systems-study 113:17

Index: stay..thing Т table 61:25 62:4 TAC 84:19 89:9,17 90:12 takes 7:25 57:21 talk 20:17 98:11 108:21 talked 36:1 70:22 90:11 99:4 talking 19:16 61:4 110:3 114:17 targeting 97:20 tax 14:2,17,18 15:1,18,24 32:22 team 104:25 105:9,16 111:4 technical 66:22 84:8 86:3,6,9 87:21,25 88:5,8,12 94:23 95:2,3 102:25 111:4,5 technically 77:24 79:11 108:15

techs 110:9

tabled 89:20

taking 73:2

118:1

talks 33:2

teaches 70:6

telling 109:1

tells 76:6

ten 65:5 102:20

term 45:7 48:15

terms 34:8 57:17 101:10 109:23

test 80:3,13 81:11

testified 10:18,22 13:14,15,25 14:10 15:4 36:4 38:1 39:19

testifying 72:7

testimony 14:7,9 21:1 37:24 40:22 71:11 74:10 81:3

testing 81:6,11 105:19

tests 80:20

Theoretically 115:4

thereof 111:14

thing 5:1 24:2 34:7 37:15 45:11 49:24 57:22 74:14 75:23 85:10,17



86:18 94:12 109:17 116:4 118:23 things 5:4,8,17 46:16 51:21 53:2,18 56:4 57:2 61:11 70:4 85:15 88:16 89:8 90:25 95:13 99:10 101:6 third-party 32:2 42:23 45:17 53:3 thought 4:21 33:8 68:14 73:18 76:15 Thursday 3:2 tied 81:24 time 5:4.19 8:22.23 9:17 10:23 11:4 12:3,7 14:21 18:25 21:8,12 22:20 23:24 32:11 39:1 41:23 62:2 65:4 68:15 69:23 72:22 80:19 81:12 90:3 91:3,6,15 92:12 93:16 98:5,7 104:15,22 107:1 112:19 115:17,21 116:23 timecards 15:14,15,16 timeline 90:16 timely 7:19 29:21 47:2 66:8 times 25:8 26:1 41:20 95:23 **Timothy 69:13** title 111:5 Tobin 12:23,25 13:5,7,15,18,22 14:3,5,19,21 15:1,11,21 16:9,13,23 17:2,5,7 18:5 19:2,24 20:18,20,22 21:2,3,8,13,17,20 22:8,11,21 23:2,9, 15,19,25 Tobin's 13:16 17:15 18:1,25 22:6 today 4:2.4 7:6 8:21 28:1.3 29:9 42:12 63:8 64:13 65:19.20 83:15.24 108:21 113:7 today's 64:18 told 71:8,21,22 tools 19:1 top 18:10 76:23 86:20 91:11 100:19, 24 101:13 114:19 topic 5:2 99:7 topics 85:13 total 52:20 97:11,22,24 98:9 104:2, 16 totally 23:16 57:15 touch 5:10 60:14

tours 81:5 tracking 102:12 traction 90:5 trade 33:4 75:22 traditional 109:6 trained 17:21 104:25 trainee 98:20 trainees 97:19 104:9 training 110:17 112:6,7,9 118:15 trans 69:1 transcript 5:23 6:1 64:18,22 79:21 transcripts 72:11 transfer 86:24 Transparency 40:6 transparent 34:19 traveled 4:1 trench 88:22 Trent 69:24 70:5,10,21 73:5 trespassing 115:11 trial 69:13,23 trouble 5:15 Tumelson 3:8 81:19,20 93:4,5 94:22 turn 12:20 turnaround 98:5.7 twelve 49:8 two-year 10:10 100:23 type 64:5 109:25 types 56:22 106:21,23 typically 81:8,9 82:2 90:10 114:17 118:14 U **UBI** 31:2,20,22 32:4,16,17,18,19,21, 24 33:4,6,8,10 34:1,24 36:15,19,20, 21,23 37:2,18 38:25 39:3,5,11,12, 13,19 40:9,20 41:2,23 42:7,11 43:7 46:12 47:1,17,20 48:7,24 49:10,13,

15,25 50:2,8,11 51:19 53:5,23 54:13 56:2,7,22 57:20 **UBIS** 31:6 36:3 47:13 51:11 56:23 57:4 **Uh-huh** 103:21 umbrella 39:20 40:24.25 unable 28:12 unaware 70:1 unclear 15:25 uncommon 69:21 undefined 34:9 underground 67:2,9 74:12,23 78:10 97:19 underlining 14:20 underlying 117:12 understand 15:5,6 22:13 23:7 34:11 50:4 53:1 57:21 77:11 110:1 113:13 understanding 7:14,18 29:16,20 45:5,6 48:13 51:18 66:3,7 81:8 89:20 understood 77:10 **UNIDENTIFIED** 27:7 119:5,8 union 3:4 53:4 unique 87:7,9 unit 110:5 111:9,10 112:4 units 89:4 108:20 unknowingly 31:7 32:3 37:16 unpersuasive 36:20 unreasonable 115:16 unrelated 69:14 **untimely** 19:14 **unused** 47:13 update 70:7 84:7,12,24 88:14 108:16 updated 104:24 105:5 updating 118:25 uphold 27:3 59:5 73:21 upper 100:7

user 85:15
utilize 38:25 53:11,14
utilizes 40:19
V
vacancy 102:5 105:8
vacated 105:10
valid 33:4,6,20 35:19 36:24 39:25 40:1,2 47:20,21,25 51:25 52:15 54:20,21 55:5,6,8,15,16,18,19 74:5
Vance 84:8 86:3,6 87:21,25 88:5,8, 12 94:23 95:2,3
Vancouver 3:3,4,21 7:10 29:13,14 65:24
VEI 97:13
verify 15:17 74:13,15
version 91:1,15,23
versus 25:7 37:14
Vest 37:25 38:1 40:23
view 93:22
violate 38:15
violation 23:24 58:24 61:3 89:6
violations 52:11 53:17 59:15
virtual 97:14
voided 11:21
voltage 112:3

W

W-2 21:16 WAC 13:6 15:15 24:18 85:13 93:21 94:4 114:4 116:9 WACS 33:19 wage 13:9 14:18 wages 21:18 100:6 wait 24:9 75:3 77:16 89:5 wallet 4:18 wanted 5:1 35:13 40:12 52:10 73:1 90:2 92:9 108:20 110:19 116:19 warnings 97:16 98:15,18,19,20,23

- **Washington** 3:5,21,23 5:7 7:10 29:13,14 32:21 39:2,17 55:23 65:24 75:23 108:11,14 112:14,15
- Watalovich 39:18
- water 67:3,9 68:13,23 69:2,4,16 70:2,9 74:8,13,21,23 75:1,24 77:12 78:6,10,18,24 79:1,2 81:23 115:14
- Wayne 89:25 92:19 101:18 106:16 110:13 website 32:18 33:2 week 26:7 104:17 weeks 68:22 98:6 Western 5:8 wheezing 5:15 white 4:17
- wholesaler 110:25 William 28:21,24 29:10 Wilson 7:3 16:19 WIN 94:24 wind 45:12 wipe 78:24 wire 70:18 88:25 89:15 wires 70:15 wiring 89:3 117:7 wit 3:15 wo 34:17 wondering 44:23 woods 87:18 word 54:7 words 88:21 89:13 90:4 work 5:10 10:15,18,20 12:8 13:11,
- 19,20 14:2 15:18 26:11 27:21 33:21 35:17,20 36:23 37:13,20 39:25 42:20 47:6 53:25 54:1 57:17 58:4,14 69:21 70:4 73:13 75:12,17 85:3 86:15 91:11 101:17,19 106:25 108:24 110:10,20,24 112:3 114:15, 24 116:1,2,14,15
- worked 13:17,18 15:22 34:21 80:13 104:9



workers 35:2 39:24

workers' 40:23,24 41:1

workflow 104:24

working 15:17,20 19:10 26:7 27:19 34:16 85:16 86:10 108:14

workload 104:4

world 42:24

worse 115:22

worth 87:12,13

written 61:2 103:8

wrong 19:11 34:18

Y

yard 73:10

year 5:5,19 96:1,3,6,7 97:3,4,8,11, 12,13,14,20,22 98:1,4,6,8,10,16 100:2 101:9 111:17,18,20

year's 87:12,13

years 9:19 11:11,23 12:15 17:9 24:11 26:9 32:10 33:9 47:14,21,22 48:9,14,23 49:8 50:3,6 61:14,15 70:8 73:6 75:22 99:4,7,10 100:4,25 101:14 102:15,20 107:9 108:25 111:3,24

yesterday 102:4

you-all 3:24,25 112:9 117:5

younger 103:4

Ζ

zone 109:12

