## PROPOSED RULE MAKING



**CR-102 (December 2017)** (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making

## **CODE REVISER USE ONLY**

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: September 22, 2020

TIME: 10:34 AM

WSR 20-19-128

Agency: Department	of Labor &	industries (L&)	
□ Original Notice     □			
☐ Supplemental No	tice to WS	R	
□ Continuance of V	VSR		
□ Preproposal Stat	ement of Ir	nquiry was filed as WSR <u>20-12-10</u> 9	<u>5</u> ; or
-	_	posed notice was filed as WSR _	
□ Proposal is exem	pt under R	CW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1);	or
☐ Proposal is exem	-		
Chapter 296-17 WAC	C, General F		Fulfillment Centers Classification eeping, Rates and Rating System for Washington ssifications for Washington Workers' Compensation
Hearing location(s)	:		
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:
October 27, 2020	3 p.m.	ZOOM hearing	
		Joining electronically: Join Zoom Meeting at <a href="https://zoom.us/j/97005594668">https://zoom.us/j/97005594668</a> Meeting ID: 970 0559 4668 Passcode: 8V!\$KeC5  Joining by phone: +1-253-215-8782 US (Tacoma) Meeting ID: 970 0559 4668 Passcode: 02185292	
October 29, 2020	3 p.m.	Joining electronically: Join Zoom Meeting at https://zoom.us/j/98210811662 Meeting ID: 982 1081 1662 Passcode: 4a%&u29m  Joining by phone: +1-253-215-8782 US (Tacoma) Meeting ID: 982 1081 1662 Passcode: 40650585	
Date of intended ad	ontion: Nov	vember 30, 2020 (Note: This is NO	T the effective date)

## Submit written comments to:

Name: Jo Anne Attwood Address: PO Box 44148

Olympia WA 98504-4148 Email: JoAnne.Attwood@Lni.wa.gov

Fax: 360-902-5830

Other:							
	By (date) October 30, 2020, 5 p.m.						
•	ersons with disabilities	<b>;</b> :					
Contact <u>Jo Anne <i>I</i></u> Phone: 360-902-4	<del>_</del>						
Fax: 360-902-583							
TTY: 360-902-579							
	wood@Lni.wa.gov						
Other:	40,0000						
proposed to ensur	roposal and its anticipate classifications and rate	ated effects, including any changes in e es accurately reflect expected losses for fu rs and amending two classifications to refle	Ifillment centers. We propose creating				
Proposal:							
<ul><li>Creating a</li><li>Creating a</li></ul>	a new rate for Classificat	Classification 2103 Fulfillment centers (WAC tion 2103 in WAC 296-17-89509;	ŕ				
	g Classification 2102 Wa ssification; and	rehouses (WAC 296-17A-2102) exclusion	language to refer to the new fulfillment				
<ul> <li>Amending</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Amending Classification 6407 Wholesale stores, N.O.C. (WAC 296-17A-6407) exclusion language to refer to the new fulfillment center classification.</li> </ul>						
New: WAC 296-17A-2103 Fulfillment centers; WAC 296-17-89509 Amend: WAC 296-17A-2102 Warehouses; WAC 296-17A-6407 Wholesale stores, N.O.C.							
ulfillment centers heir degree of ha	, that possible classificat zard to ensure fair rates	<ul> <li>We discovered, after evaluating expected tion changes may be required to ensure the in the industry.</li> <li>51.04.020 and RCW 51.16.035</li> </ul>					
-							
Statute being im	plemented: RCW 51.16	035					
Statute being imp	piememeu. Now 31.10	.000					
s rule necessary	because of a:						
Federal Lav			□ Yes ⊠ No				
	urt Decision?		☐ Yes ☒ No				
State Court f yes, CITATION:			□ Yes ⊠ No				
Agency commen		s, if any, as to statutory language, imple	ementation, enforcement, and fiscal				
matters: N/A							
Name of prepare	ent: (person or organizat	tion) I 9 I	□ Drivete				
value of propone	ent. (person or organizar	lion) Lai	☐ Private ☐ Public ☑ Governmental				
Name of agency	personnel responsible	for:					
-	Name	Office Location	Phone				
Orafting:	Jo Anne Attwood	Tumwater, WA	360-902-4777				
mplementation:	Mike Ratko	Tumwater, WA	360-902-6369				
-nforcement:	Victoria Kennedy	Tumwater. WA	360-902-4997				

Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under RCW 28A.305.135? ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, insert statement here:							
The public may obtain a copy of the school district fiscal impact statement by contacting:  Name:  Address:							
PI	none:						
	Fax:						
T	ΓΥ:						
Eı	mail:						
	Other:						
Is a cost-be	enefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.3	28?					
	A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be o		contacting:				
	ame:						
	ddress:						
	none:						
Fa	ax:						
T	ΓY:						
Eı	mail:						
	ther:						
⊠ No:	Please explain: A cost-benefit analysis is not	t required p	er RCW 34.05.328(5)(b)(vi), a	s the proposed rules are			
adjusting	rates pursuant to legislative standards.	· · ·					
Regulatory	Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a Sn	nall Busine	ess Economic Impact Statem	nent:			
-	oposal, or portions of the proposal, <b>may be ex</b>		•				
	85 RCW). Please check the box for any applic			y i aimess Act (see			
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exen						
	ely to conform and/or comply with federal stat						
	nis rule is being adopted to conform or comply	with, and o	describe the consequences to	the state if the rule is not			
adopted.	I de carintian.						
	I description:	ant hagaug	o the agency has completed th	o pilot rulo proceso			
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exen RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this			e pilot rule process			
☐ This rule	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exen			'0(2) because it was			
	a referendum.						
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exen	npt under R	RCW 19.85.025(3). Check all th	nat apply:			
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)				
	(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)				
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)	$\boxtimes$	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)				
	(Incorporation by reference)	_	(Set or adjust fees)				
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)				
	. , . ,		((i) Relating to agency hearing	aga: ar (ii) pragaga			
	(Correct or clarify language)						
			requirements for applying to or permit)	an agency for a license			
☐ This rule	proposal or partiage of the proposal is even	ant undar D	• •				
☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW							
Explanation	of exemptions, if necessary:						
COMPLETE THIS SECTION ONLY IF NO EXEMPTION APPLIES							
If the proposed rule is <b>not exempt</b> , does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?							
1. 1.0 p. op 355 1.1.0 to 110 to 2.0 11 p. 0.0							
□ No Priofly summarize the agency's analysis showing how costs were saleylated							
□ No Briefly summarize the agency's analysis showing how costs were calculated							
☐ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business							
economic impact statement is required. Insert statement here:							

The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:					
Name: Address: Phone:					
Fax: TTY: Email: Other:					
Date: September 22, 2020	Signature:				
Name: Joel Sacks		Lel Jacks			
Title: Director	P				